arXiv:math/0004117v1 [math.DG] 18 Apr 2000

The Geometry of Bundle Gerbes

Daniel Stevenson

Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in the Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Adelaide

9 February 2000

Abstract

This thesis reviews the theory of bundle gerbes and then examines the higher dimensional notion of a bundle 2-gerbe. The notion of a bundle 2-gerbe connection and 2-curving are introduced and it is shown that there is a class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to any bundle 2-gerbe.

Statement of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any other university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying.

Daniel Stevenson
Adelaide, 9 February, 2000

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my supervisor Michael Murray for his patience, generosity and expert guidance in the writing of this thesis. He has greatly enhanced my understanding and enjoyment of mathematics over the past few years and I would like to say that it has been an honour to work with him during this time. It is my pleasure also to thank Alan Carey for some useful conversations and also Ann Ross for help in administrative matters. I would also like to gratefully acknowledge the support provided by an Australian Post Graduate Award.

Contents

1 Introduction. 1

2 Review of some simplicial techniques 5
- 2.1 Simplicial spaces 5
- 2.2 Geometric realisation 8
- 2.3 Classifying spaces 11
- 2.4 $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles 11

3 Review of bundle gerbes 15
- 3.1 Properties of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles 15
- 3.2 Bundle gerbes and the Dixmier-Douady class 18
- 3.3 Bundle gerbe connections and the extended Mayer-Vietoris sequence 23
- 3.4 Bundle gerbe morphisms and the 2-category of bundle gerbes 25

4 Singular theory of bundle gerbes 35
- 4.1 Construction of a classifying map 35
- 4.2 The singular theory of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles 37
- 4.3 The singular theory of bundle gerbes 41

5 Open covers 51
- 5.1 Bundle gerbes via open covers 51
- 5.2 Bundle gerbes and Deligne cohomology 52

6 Gerbes and bundle gerbes 57
- 6.1 Torsors 57
- 6.2 Sheaves of categories and gerbes 58
- 6.3 Properties of gerbes 61
- 6.4 The gerbe $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ 64
- 6.5 The gerbe associated to a bundle gerbe 66
- 6.6 Higher gluing laws 69

7 Definition of a bundle 2-gerbe 77
- 7.1 Simplicial bundle gerbes and bundle 2-gerbes 77
- 7.2 Stable bundle 2-gerbes 80

8 Relationship of bundle 2-gerbes with bicategories 83
- 8.1 Bicategories 83
- 8.2 Bundle 2-gerbes over a point and bicategories 92

9 Some examples of bundle 2-gerbes 97
9.1 The lifting bundle 2-gerbe 97
9.2 The tautological bundle 2-gerbe 98
9.3 The bundle 2-gerbe of a principal G bundle 100

10 Bundle 2-gerbe connections and 2-curvings 103
10.1 Definitions and some preliminary lemmas 103
10.2 Proof of the main result 106

11 Comparison of the Čech, Deligne and de Rham classes associated to a bundle 2-gerbe 111
11.1 A Čech three class 111
11.2 A Deligne hypercohomology class 115
11.3 The first Pontryagin class 117

12 Trivial bundle 2-gerbes 119
12.1 Trivial bundle 2-gerbes 119
12.2 Trivial stable bundle 2-gerbes 128

13 The relationship of bundle 2-gerbes with 2-gerbes 131
13.1 Simplicial gerbes and 2-gerbes 131

Chapter 1

Introduction.

There has been much recent interest in applying the theory of gerbes to differential geometry [22] and mathematical physics ([24] and [13]). Gerbes were originally introduced by Giraud (see [19]) and were extensively studied in [7]. Loosely speaking a gerbe is a 'sheaf of categories' except that there is an extra level of complication when one comes to discuss sheaf-like glueing laws for objects. Much of the importance of gerbes in the previously cited applications stems from the fact that equivalence classes of gerbes over a manifold M are in a bijective correspondence with $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. This is entirely similar to the fact that $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is in a bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M.

One can think of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles and gerbes as a geometric 'realisation' or 'representation' of the cohomology of the manifold in dimensions two and three respectively. From this perspective it is natural to look for such objects in other dimensions. In dimension zero we can consider the set of all maps $f : M \to \mathbb{Z}$ which are, in fact, in bijective correspondence with $H^0(M;\mathbb{Z})$. In dimension one there are various kinds of objects we can consider which are in bijective correspondence with $H^1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. For example we could consider (isomorphism classes of) principal $\mathbb{Z}$ bundles over M. Or, because the classifying space of $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbb{C}^\times$ we could equally well consider the space of homotopy classes of maps from M into $\mathbb{C}^\times$. A similar story is true for $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$: one could consider $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ to be the set of all homotopy classes of maps $f : M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$, where $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is the classifying space for the group $\mathbb{C}^\times$, or, we could think of an element of $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to a homotopy class of maps $M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ as giving rise to a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on M. In the case of $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ we can go one step further and think of a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on M as a principal $B\mathbb{Z}$ bundle on M. The crucial fact here, as pointed out in [36], is that $B\mathbb{Z}$, can be chosen to be an abelian topological group since $\mathbb{Z}$ is.

This last fact is exploited in the paper [18] by Gajer where the author introduces a notion of a principal $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on M and proves that there is a bijection between $H^{p+2}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and the set of all principal $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M (here $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ is the p-fold iterated classifying space $B\cdots B\mathbb{C}^\times$ of the group $\mathbb{C}^\times$). Therefore, when one comes to consider $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ there are several possibilities: one option is to think of $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ as the set of all homotopy classes of maps $f : M \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$, another is to think of $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ as the set of equivalence classes of gerbes on M. Yet another is to follow Gajer and think of $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ as the set of all principal $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M or, alternatively, the set of all principal $BB\mathbb{Z}$ bundles on M.

In [33] the notion of a *bundle gerbe* was introduced. Bundle gerbes also give rise to classes in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. A bundle gerbe on M is a triple (P, X, M) where $\pi_X : X \to M$ is a surjection which locally admits sections and where P is a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle over the fibre product $X^{[2]} = X \times_M X$. P is required to have a product, that is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism which on the fibres of P takes the form

$$P_{(x_2,x_3)} \otimes P_{(x_1,x_2)} \to P_{(x_1,x_3)}$$

for x_1, x_2 and x_3 in the same fibre of X. It can be shown that every bundle gerbe on M gives rise to a gerbe on M. There is a characteristic class associated to bundle gerbes, the Dixmier-Douady class. This is a class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ which measures the obstruction to the bundle gerbe being 'trivial' in a certain sense.

In [7] the notions of a *connective structure* on a gerbe and a *curving* for the connective structure were introduced. It was shown that one could associate to a gerbe equipped with a connective structure and curving a closed integral three form ω on M — the three curvature of the curving. In [33], it is shown that by considering a connection on P which is compatible with the product above, it is possible to introduce the notion of a bundle gerbe connection and a curving for a bundle gerbe connection and that, furthermore, a bundle gerbe on M equipped with a bundle gerbe connection and curving gives rise to a closed integral three form on M. This three form is a representative of the image of the Dixmier-Douady class of the bundle gerbe in $H^3(M;\mathbb{R})$. It can be shown that a bundle gerbe connection and curving on a bundle gerbe induces a connective structure and curving on the gerbe associated to the bundle gerbe.

In the series of papers [8] and [9] the authors considered a certain *2-gerbe* associated to a principal G bundle $P \to M$ where G was a compact, simple, simply connected Lie group. 2-gerbes, introduced in [5], are higher dimensional analogues of gerbes. Broadly speaking, a 2-gerbe on M is a 'sheaf of bicategories' on M. Bicategories, defined in [1], are higher dimensional analogues of categories. A bicategory consists of a set of objects and for each pair of objects, a category. The objects of this category are then 1-arrows of the bicategory and the arrows of the category are 2-arrows of the bicategory. As a result, the glueing laws involved in the definition of a sheaf of bicategories become quite intricate. One can show that if one considers a certain class of 2-gerbes (2-gerbes *bound* by $\mathbb{C}^\times$) then one can associate an element of $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ to each 2-gerbe and moreover it can be shown that $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is in a bijective correspondence with 'biequivalence' classes of 2-gerbes.

In [14] a related object, a *bundle 2-gerbe*, was introduced. In the latter part of this thesis we will study bundle 2-gerbes using a variation of the definition given in [14]. A bundle 2-gerbe is a quadruple (Q, Y, X, M) where $\pi : X \to M$ is a surjection admitting local sections and where $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ is a bundle gerbe on the fibre product $X^{[2]}$. One also requires that there is a bundle 2-gerbe product. This consists of a 'product' on Y, ie a map which on the fibres of Y takes the form

$$m : Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)} \to Y_{(x_1,x_3)},$$

where x_1, x_2 and x_3 are points of X lying in the same fibre. There is also a product on Q covering the induced product on $Y^{[2]}$. The product on Q is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle morphism $\hat{m}$ given fibrewise by

$$\hat{m} : Q_{(y_{23},y'_{23})} \otimes Q_{(y_{12},y'_{12})} \to Q_{(m(y_{23},y_{12}),m(y'_{23},y'_{12}))}.$$

This is required to commute with the bundle gerbe product on Q and satisfy a certain associativity condition. One can show that there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ valued Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl}

representing a class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to a bundle 2-gerbe. In [33] it was shown that the complex

$$\Omega^p(M) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Omega^p(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^p(X^{[2]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

was exact, where $\delta : \Omega^p(X^{[q]}) \to \Omega^p(X^{[q+1]})$ is the differential formed by adding the projection maps π_i^* with an alternating sign (here $\pi_i : X^{[q]} \to X^{[q-1]}$ deletes the i^{th} factor). By exploiting this fact one can define the notion of a *bundle 2-gerbe connection* and a *2-curving* for a bundle 2-gerbe. This leads to a closed integral four form Θ on M which is a representative in $H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ for the image, in real cohomology, of the class defined by the cocycle g_{ijkl}.

Associated to a principal G bundle $P \to M$ for G a compact, simple, simply connected Lie group, is a bundle 2-gerbe $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}, P, M)$. If one calculates the cocycle g_{ijkl} associated to the bundle 2-gerbe $\tilde{Q}$ then one recovers the result of [8] giving an explicit formula for the first Pontryagin class of P. Finally one can also show that every bundle 2-gerbe on M gives rise to a 2-gerbe on M.

In outline then this thesis is as follows: In Chapter 2 we review the definitions of simplicial spaces and the construction of the universal G bundle $EG \to BG$, we give a description of the group law on EG and we discuss some of the results of Gajers paper [18]. In Chapter 3 we begin with some remarks on principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles before going on to review the definition of a bundle gerbe and some of the main results from [33]. We then discuss the notion of stable isomorphism of bundle gerbes and the result from [34] that the set of all stable isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes on M is in a bijective correspondence with $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Next we discuss bundle gerbe morphisms and transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms as well as the related notion of stable morphisms and stable transformations. Finally we show that the collection of all bundle gerbes on M forms a 2-category with bundle gerbe morphisms as 1-arrows and transformations as 2-arrows. In Chapter 4 we discuss the singular theory of principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles in a form which readily generalises to give a singular theory of bundle gerbes. Chapter 5 discusses bundle gerbes from a local viewpoint along similar lines to the presentation in [22] for gerbes and Deligne cohomology for bundle gerbes. Chapter 6 is mostly devoted to a review of the material presented in [7]. We also discuss the construction from [34] of a gerbe associated to a bundle gerbe. The last section of Chapter 6 contains a discussion of higher glueing laws.

The remainder of the thesis is concerned with 2-gerbes and bundle 2-gerbes. In Chapter 7 we define the notions of simplicial bundle gerbe and bundle 2-gerbe. Chapter 8 starts with the definitions of a bicategory and bigroupoid and goes on to discuss in some detail the homotopy bigroupoid $\Pi_2(X)$ associated to a space X. We also show that a bundle 2-gerbe on a point is the same thing as a bicategory in which the automorphism groups of each 1-arrow are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times$. In Chapter 9 we give some examples of bundle 2-gerbes, namely the tautological bundle 2-gerbe from [14] and the bundle 2-gerbe associated to a principal G bundle $P \to M$. In Chapter 10 we define the notions of bundle 2-gerbe connections and 2-curvings for bundle 2-gerbe connections and show how a bundle 2-gerbe connection with a 2-curving on a bundle 2-gerbe gives rise to a closed, integral four form Θ on the base manifold M. We also show that bundle 2-gerbe connections exist, something that is not immediately obvious. Chapter 11 begins with the construction of a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ valued Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} associated to a bundle 2-gerbe and then goes on to show how a bundle 2-gerbe equipped with a 2-curving and bundle 2-gerbe connection gives rise to a class in the Deligne cohomology group

$H^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M \to \underline{\Omega}^3_M)$. As a consequence, we see that the four form Θ represents the image in $H^4(M;\mathbb{R})$ of the class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to the cocycle g_{ijkl}. Finally we show the four class of the bundle 2-gerbe $\tilde{Q}$ arising from a principal G bundle $P \to M$ is the first Pontryagin class of P.

In Chapter 12 we discuss the notion of a trivial bundle 2-gerbe and prove that if the four class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ of a bundle 2-gerbe vanishes then the bundle 2-gerbe must be trivial. The final Chapter 13 discusses the relationship of bundle 2-gerbes to 2-gerbes.

Chapter 2

Review of some simplicial techniques

2.1 Simplicial spaces

Recall, see [29], the standard n-simplex Δ^n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is defined by $\Delta^n = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) : x_0 + \dots + x_n = 1\}$. If $n \geq 1$ there are face maps $\delta^i : \Delta^{n-1} \to \Delta^n$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ given by

$$\delta^i(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

For the same n we have degeneracy maps $\sigma^i : \Delta^n \to \Delta^{n-1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ with

$$\sigma^i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n).$$

Definition 2.1. A *simplicial space* X is a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of spaces X_n for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ together with face operators $d_i : X_n \to X_{n-1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and degeneracy operators $s_i : X_n \to X_{n+1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ which together satisfy the *simplicial identities*

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i d_j &= d_{j-1} d_i, \ i < j \\
s_i s_j &= s_{j+1} s_i, \ i \leq j \\
d_i s_j &= \begin{cases} s_{j-1} d_i, & i < j \\ \mathrm{id}, & i = j, i = j+1 \\ s_j d_{i-1}, & i > j+1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that there is a definition of simplicial manifold, simplicial group, simplicial ring and so on; we simply replace the topological category by the smooth category or the category of groups and so forth. One then requires that the face and degeneracy operators are morphisms in the appropriate category. Thus a simplicial manifold is a sequence of manifolds $\{X_n\}$ together with smooth maps $d_i : X_n \to X_{n-1}$ for $i = 0, \dots n$ and $s_i : X_n \to X_{n+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots n$ satisfying the same conditions as in Definition 2.1 above. Similarly, a simplicial group is a sequence of groups $\{G_n\}$ with face and degeneracy operators d_i and s_i as above which are required to be homomorphisms between the various groups G_n.

A more concise definition is given as follows (see [27] or [29]). Let Δ be the category whose objects are all finite ordered sets $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and whose morphisms $[m] \to [n]$ are all nondecreasing monotonic functions $[m] \to [n]$. One then restricts attention to the morphisms $\delta^i : [n-1] \to [n]$ and $\sigma^i : [n] \to [n-1]$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ given by

$$\delta^i(j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j < i, \\ j+1 & \text{if } j \geq i, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma^i(j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ j-1 & \text{if } j > i. \end{cases}$$

One can then prove a Lemma which states that every morphism $\mu : [m] \to [n]$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Delta)$ can be written uniquely as

$$\mu = \delta^{i_a}\delta^{i_b} \cdots \delta^{i_c}\sigma^{j_x}\sigma^{j_y} \cdots \sigma^{j_z},$$

where $n \geq i_a > i_b > \cdots > i_c \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j_x < j_y < \cdots < j_z < m$ and $n = m - z + c$. (See for instance [27]). Now we can define a *simplicial object* in the category of topological spaces, a simplicial space in other words, as being a functor $F : \Delta^\circ \to \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of topological spaces. Here Δ° denotes the category opposite to Δ — recall the category $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ opposite to $\mathcal{C}$ is the category with the same objects as $\mathcal{C}$ but with the source and target of each arrow in $\mathcal{C}$ interchanged. The simplicial identities arise because the morphisms δ^i and σ^i satisfy the analogous identities in Δ. Changing $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of smooth manifolds or the category of groups recovers the definitions of simplicial manifolds and simplicial groups.

A good source of examples of simplicial spaces is the *nerve* of a category. (see [35]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. We define a simplicial set $N\mathcal{C}$ called the nerve of the category $\mathcal{C}$ as follows. $N\mathcal{C}_0$ is defined to be the set of objects, $Ob(\mathcal{C})$, of $\mathcal{C}$ (so we had better only consider small categories), $N\mathcal{C}_1$ is defined to be the set of arrows or morphisms $Mor(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and in general $N\mathcal{C}_n$ is the subset $Mor(\mathcal{C}) \circ \cdots \circ Mor(\mathcal{C})$ of $Mor(\mathcal{C}) \times \cdots \times Mor(\mathcal{C})$ (n factors) consisting of all n-tuples $(f_1, \dots f_n)$ of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ with $source(f_i) = target(f_{i+1})$. The face operators are defined by the source and target maps and composition of morphisms while the degeneracy operators are defined by including an identity morphism. More specifically, $d_i : N\mathcal{C}_n \to N\mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$ is defined by

$$d_i(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \begin{cases} (f_2, \dots, f_n) & \text{for } i = 0 \\ (f_1, \dots, f_i \circ f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n) & \text{for } 1 \leq i < n \\ (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) & \text{for } i = n \end{cases}$$

and the source and target maps for $n = 1$. $s_i : N\mathcal{C}_n \to N\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ is defined by

$$s_i(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (f_1, \dots, f_i, \mathrm{id}, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n),$$

where id is the identity arrow at $target(f_i)$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

We will be especially interested in categories $\mathcal{C}$ for which the sets of objects and arrows have topologies such that the source and target maps and the operation of composition of arrows are continuous in these topologies. Segal in [35] calls these *topological categories*. Segal shows that there is a topological category $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ associated to a covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of a topological space X (see [17]). The objects of $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ consist of pairs (x, U_i) where $x \in U_i$. There is a unique morphism $(x, U_i) \to (y, U_j)$ if and only if $x = y \in U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$. The objects of $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ are topologised as $Ob(X_{\mathcal{U}}) = \coprod_{i\in I} U_i$ and the arrows of $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ are topologised as $Arr(X_{\mathcal{U}}) = \coprod_{i,j\in I, U_{ij}\neq\emptyset} U_{ij}$. Clearly $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an example of a topological category.

Of more interest are the two topological categories G and $\bar{G}$ defined by Segal which are associated to a topological group G. Here G is the standard category associated to a group, so G has one object and the morphisms of G are just the set of points of G. Clearly G is a topological category. Thus the nerve of the topological category G is the simplicial space NG with $NG_n = G \times \cdots \times G$ (n factors) and face and degeneracy operators given by

$$d_i(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = \begin{cases} (g_2, \ldots, g_n), & i = 0 \\ (g_1, \ldots, g_i g_{i+1}, \ldots g_n), & 1 \leq i < n \\ (g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}), & i = n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_i(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = (g_1, \ldots, g_i, 1, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n).$$

$\bar{G}$ is the topological category whose objects are the points of G and where the unique arrow joining two objects g_1 and g_2 is (g_1, g_2). Thus the objects have a topology induced by G and the arrows have a topology induced by $G \times G$. Therefore the nerve of the topological category $\bar{G}$ is the simplicial space $N\bar{G}$ with $N\bar{G}_n = G \times \cdots \times G$ ($n+1$ factors). The face and degeneracy operators are given by

$$d_i(g_0, \ldots, g_n) = (g_0, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n)$$

and

$$s_i(g_0, \ldots, g_n) = (g_0, \ldots, g_i, g_i, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n).$$

We shall return to these examples in the next section. Finally, we would like to define the notion of a simplicial map between simplicial spaces.

Definition 2.2. Let X and Y be simplicial spaces. A *simplicial map* $f : X \to Y$ is a sequence of maps $f_n : X_n \to Y_n$ which commute with the face and degeneracy operators. Thus if d_i, s_i and d_i', s_i' denote the face and degeneracy operators of X_n and Y_n respectively, then we have

$$f_{n-1} \circ d_i = d_i' \circ f_n$$

and

$$f_{n+1} \circ s_i = s_i' \circ f_n.$$

If we view simplicial spaces, simplicial groups and so on as functors $F : \Delta^\circ \to \mathcal{C}$ for an appropriate choice of the category $\mathcal{C}$, then a simplicial map corresponds to a natural transformation between functors. More concretely, if $F_1, F_2 : \Delta^\circ \to \mathcal{C}$ represent simplicial objects in some category $\mathcal{C}$, then a simplicial map from F_1 to F_2 is a natural transformation $\tau : F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$. The definition above can be recovered by recalling that a natural transformation $\phi : F \Rightarrow G$ between functors $F, G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ assigns to each object c of $\mathcal{C}$ an arrow $\phi(c) : F(c) \to G(c)$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(c_1) \arrow[r, "F(\alpha)"] \arrow[d, "\phi(c_1)"'] & F(c_2) \arrow[d, "\phi(c_2)"] \\
G(c_1) \arrow[r, "G(\alpha)"] & G(c_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for all arrows $\alpha : c_1 \to c_2$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Thus to each object $[n]$ of Δ°, τ associates an arrow $\tau_n : F_1([n]) \to F_2([n])$, which, by the commutativity of the diagram above, must commute with the face and degeneracy maps $F_1(\delta^i)$, $F_1(\sigma^i)$, $F_2(\delta^i)$ and $F_2(\sigma^i)$.

Notice that a functor $F : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ induces a simplicial map $NF : N\mathcal{C}_1 \to N\mathcal{C}_2$ between simplicial sets by definition of a functor. If $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are topological categories then we of course require that the maps on objects and arrows induced by F are continuous. As an example, take the topological categories $\bar{G}$ and G associated to a topological group G. Then we can define a functor $p : \bar{G} \to G$ by defining $p(g_1, g_2) = g_1^{-1}g_2$. It is easy to check that this defines a continuous functor and hence a map of simplicial spaces $Np : N\bar{G} \to NG$.

2.2 Geometric realisation

There is a procedure by which one can obtain a single topological space from a simplicial space known as *geometric realisation*.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a simplicial space. The *geometric realisation* of X, denoted $|X|$, is the quotient

$$\coprod \Delta^n \times X_n / \sim\ ,$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation $(\delta^i(t), x) \sim (t, d_i(x))$ for $t \in \Delta^{n-1}$, $x \in X_n$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $(\sigma^i(t), x) \sim (t, s_i(x))$ for $t \in \Delta^n$, $x \in X_{n-1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. $|X|$ is made into a topological space in the following way as explained in [30]. Let $F_q|X|$ denote the image of $\coprod_{i=0}^q \Delta^i \times X_i$ in $|X|$ and give $F_q|X|$ the quotient topology. Then $F_q|X|$ is a closed subset of $F_{q+1}|X|$ and $|X|$ is given the topology of the union of the $F_q|X|$.

We remark that there is another realisation associated to a simplicial space X called the *fat* realisation of X and denoted $||X||$. $||X||$ is formed from the same disjoint union $\coprod \Delta^n \times X_n$ as $|X|$ but the equivalence relation $\sim$ now only identifies $(\delta^i(t), x) \sim (t, d_i(x))$. See [37] for a comparison of the two methods of realisation. In particular, Segal proves that under certain conditions on the degeneracy operators of a simplicial space X, there is a homotopy equivalence $||X|| \simeq |X|$. If X is a simplicial manifold, then it is sufficient that the degeneracy operators s_i embed X_{n-1} as a submanifold of X_n, see [31].

Also note that a simplicial map $f : X \to Y$ between simplicial spaces X and Y induces a map $|f| : |X| \to |Y|$ on the geometric realisations of X and Y.

We now examine the effect of realisation on our examples so far. Let $EG = |N\overline{G}|$ and $BG = |NG|$. EG is then the space consisting of all equivalence classes $|(x_0, \dots, x_p)(g_0, \dots, g_p)|$ with $(x_0, \dots, x_p) \in \Delta^p$ and $g_i \in G$ under the appropriate equivalence relations. These are

$$\begin{aligned}
&((0, x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}), (g_0, \ldots, g_p)) \sim ((x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}), ((g_1, \ldots, g_p))\\
&((x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}, 0), (g_0, \ldots, g_p)) \sim ((x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}), (g_0, \ldots, g_{p-1}))\\
&((x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \ldots, x_{p-1}), (g_0, \ldots, g_p)) \sim ((x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}), (g_0, \ldots, \hat{g}_i, \ldots, g_p))\\
&((x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i + x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_p), (g_0, \ldots, g_p)) \sim\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad ((x_0, \ldots, x_p), (g_0, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i, g_i, \ldots, g_p))
\end{aligned}$$

BG is then the space consisting of all equivalence classes

$$|(x_0, \ldots, x_p), [g_1, \ldots, g_p]|$$

with the equivalence relations given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&((0, x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}), [g_1, \ldots, g_p]) \sim ((x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}), [g_2, \ldots, g_p])\\
&((x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1}, 0), [g_1, \ldots, g_p]) \sim ((x_0, \ldots, x_p), [g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1}])\\
&((x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \ldots, x_{p-1}), [g_1, \ldots, g_p]) \sim ((x_0, \ldots, x_p), [g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}g_i, \ldots, g_p])\\
&((x_0, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i + x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_p), [g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1}]) \sim\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad ((x_0, \ldots, x_p), [g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, 1, g_i, \ldots, g_{p-1}])
\end{aligned}$$

These coordinates are called the *homogenous* coordinates on EG and BG. Recall the simplicial map $Np : N\bar{G} \to NG$ defined above. Np induces a map, also denoted p, on geometric realisations $p : EG \to BG$. This map is given in the homogenous coordinates by

$$p(|(x_0, \ldots, x_p), (g_0, \ldots, g_p)|) = |(x_0, \ldots, x_p), [g_0^{-1}g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1}^{-1}g_p]|.$$

We will come back to this later.

Of particular interest to us is the following fact: the geometric realisation of a simplicial group is a group. For a proof of this see [30]. It is not hard to see that the simplicial space $N\bar{G}$ is a simplicial group while NG is a simplicial group if and only if G is abelian. Thus we have the following result (see [36]).

Proposition 2.1 ([36]). *EG is a group and contains G as a closed subgroup.*

To make sense of the group structure on EG it is convenient to introduce new coordinates — the so-called non-homogenous coordinates — (see [18]). If $(x_0, \ldots, x_p) \in \Delta^p$ then let $t_i = x_0 + \cdots + x_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Then $0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_p \leq 1$. An equivalence class $|(x_0, \ldots, x_p), (g_0, \ldots, g_p)|$ in EG is written in the non-homogenous coordinates as $|t_1, \ldots, t_p, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_p]|$ where we have set $h_0 = g_0,\ h_i = g_{i-1}^{-1}g_i,\ i \geq 1$.

Given an element ξ of EG written in the non-homogenous coordinates as $\xi = |t_1, \ldots, t_p, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_p]|$ we may recover the description of ξ in the homogenous coordinates by setting $x_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$ (define $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{p+1} = 1$), $g_0 = h_0$, $g_1 = h_0h_1$, $g_2 = h_0h_1h_2$, etc. Then $\xi = |(x_0, \ldots, x_p), (g_0, \ldots, g_p)|$. When we use non-homogenous coordinates on EG the equivalence relations above take the following form (see [18]).

$$\begin{aligned}
&(0, t_1, \ldots, t_{p-1}, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_p]) \sim (t_1, \ldots, t_{p-1}, h_0h_1[h_2| \cdots |h_p])\\
&(t_1, \ldots, \hat{t}_i, \ldots, t_p, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_p]) \sim (t_1, \ldots, t_p, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_i|1|h_{i+1}| \cdots |h_p])\\
&(t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_i, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{p-1}, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_p]) \sim\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (t_1, \ldots, t_{p-1}, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_ih_{i+1}| \cdots |h_p])
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly an element $\alpha \in BG$ is expressed in the non-homogenous coordinates as $\alpha = |t_1, \dots, t_p, [h_1|\cdots|h_p]|$ where t_i and h_i are as above. The above equivalence relations then take the form (see [18])

$$\begin{aligned}(t_1, \dots, t_p, [h_1|\cdots|h_p])&\\ &= \begin{cases}(t_2, \dots, t_p, [h_2|\cdots|h_p]) & \text{for } t_1 = 0 \text{ or } h_1 = 1\\ (t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_i, \dots, t_p, [h_1|\cdots|h_i h_{i+1}|\cdots|h_p]) & \text{for } t_i = t_{i+1} \text{ or } h_i = 1\\ (t_1, \dots, t_{p-1}, [h_1|\cdots|h_{p-1}]) & \text{for } t_p = 1 \text{ or } h_p = 1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The map $p : EG \to BG$ is expressed in the non-homogenous coordinates as

$$|t_1, \dots, t_p, h_0[h_1|\cdots|h_p]| \mapsto |t_1, \dots, t_p, [h_1|\cdots|h_p]|$$

We can now write down the group law on EG. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|t_1, \dots, t_p, h_0[h_1|\cdots|h_p]| \cdot |t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{p+q}, h_0'[h_{p+1}|\cdots|h_{p+q}]|&\\ = |t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(p+q)}, h_0 h_0'[k_{\sigma(1)}|\cdots|k_{\sigma(p+q)}]|,&\quad (2.1)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$k_{\sigma(r)} = \begin{cases} h_{\sigma(r)} \text{if } \sigma(r) > p\\ (\prod_{i \in S_r} h_{\sigma(i)})^{-1} h_0'^{-1} h_{\sigma(r)} h_0' (\prod_{i \in S_r} h_{\sigma(i)}) \text{ if } \sigma(r) \leq p.\end{cases}$$

Here $S_r = \{i \leq r : \sigma(i) > p\}$ and where $\sigma \in S_{p+q}$ is the permutation on $p+q$ letters such that

$$0 \leq t_{\sigma(1)} \leq \cdots \leq t_{\sigma(p+q)} \leq 1.$$

One can check that this is well defined. It is worth mentioning that Segal gives a much more elegant description of this group law in [36]. Segal takes the viewpoint that one may regard EG as the space of step functions on $[0,1]$ with values in G, ie a G valued function constant on each half open interval $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$ for some partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_p < t_{p+1} = 1$ of $[0,1]$. The group law on EG is then the pointwise multiplication of these step functions.

The unit of EG is the obvious one and an element $\xi = |t_1, \dots, t_p, h_0[h_1|\cdots|h_p]|$ has inverse given by

$$\xi^{-1} = |t_1, \dots, t_p, h_0^{-1}[k_1|\cdots|k_p]|,$$

where

$$k_i = h_0 h_1 \cdots h_{p-1} h_p^{-1} h_{p-1}^{-1} \cdots h_1^{-1} h_0^{-1}.$$

It is worth noting that there is a method of calculating the cohomology of the geometric realisation $|X|$ of a simplicial space X, at least in the case where the homotopy equivalence $||X|| \simeq |X|$ is available, from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a simplicial space. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(||X||; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^\bullet(S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X); \mathbb{R}),$$

where $H^\bullet(S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X); \mathbb{R})$ denotes the total cohomology of the double complex $S^{p,q}(X) = S^q(X_p; \mathbb{R})$ with boundary operators d and δ. Here d is the singular coboundary operator and $\delta : S^q(X_p; \mathbb{R}) \to S^q(X_{p+1}; \mathbb{R})$ is the simplicial coboundary formed by adding the pullback face operators d_i^* with an alternating sign.

For a proof see either [17], or [3]. As explained in both of these papers, the singular functor S can be replaced by the de Rham functor Ω in the above theorem if X is a simplicial manifold.

2.3 Classifying spaces

Recall [23] that a topological principal G bundle for G a topological group is defined as follows.

Definition 2.4 ([23]). A *topological principal G-bundle* is a triple (π, P, M) where P and M are topological spaces such that

1. There is an effective action of G on the right of P (effective means that $p \cdot g = p$ implies $g = 1$).
2. $\pi : P \to M$ is a surjection whose fibres are the orbits of the G-action on P.
3. Let $P^{[2]} = P \times_M P$ denote the fibre product of P with itself. Then the natural map $\tau : P^{[2]} \to G$ is continuous. We say that the action of G on P is *strongly free*.
4. There exist local sections of π, ie there is an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M together with sections $s_i : U_i \to P$ of π.

Recall, see for example [23], that one way of defining a *universal* G bundle is to say that it is a principal G bundle whose total space is contractible. The base space of such a bundle is called a *classifying space* and is unique up to homotopy equivalence. The classifying space has the property that isomorphism classes of topological principal G bundles are in a bijective correspondence with homotopy classes of maps from M into the classifying space. A choice of representative f of the homotopy class corresponding to the bundle P has the property that the pullback of the universal G bundle by f is isomorphic to P.

It is not to difficult to see that the space EG constructed above is contractible with contracting homotopy

$$h_t(|(x_0, \dots, x_p), (g_1, \dots, g_p)|) = |(1 - t, tx_0, \dots, tx_p), (1, g_1, \dots, g_p)|,$$

as given in [17]. Since G is a subgroup of EG, there is a right action of G on EG. By the formula 2.1 above, we have that the right action of G on EG is given by

$$|t_1, \dots, t_p, h_0[h_1| \cdots |h_p]| \cdot g = |t_1, \dots, t_p, h_0 g[h_1| \cdots |h_p]|.$$

Clearly p is invariant under this right action of G.

In [36], it is shown that $p : EG \to BG$ has local sections, provided that G is a locally contractible group, and so $p : EG \to BG$ is a principal G bundle and therefore a universal G bundle. We shall list some properties of EG and BG for G abelian in the next section.

2.4 $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles

In this section we are interested in some properties of EG and BG for $G = \mathbb{C}^\times$. Because $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is abelian, both $E\mathbb{C}^\times$ and $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ are groups — in fact they are abelian groups. The formula of equation 2.1 now takes a particularly simple form. We have for $|t_1, \dots, t_p, z_0[z_1|\cdots|z_p]|$ and $|t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{p+q}, z_0'[z_{p+1}|\cdots|z_{p+q}]|$ elements of $E\mathbb{C}^\times$ the following formula for their product (see [18]).

$$\begin{aligned} &|t_1, \dots, t_p, z_0[z_1|\cdots|z_p]| \cdot |t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{p+q}, z_0'[z_{p+1}|\cdots|z_{p+q}]| \\ &\qquad\qquad = |t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(p+q)}, z_0 z_0'[z_{\sigma(1)}|\cdots|z_{\sigma(p+q)}]|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \in S_{p+q}$ is a permutation on $p+q$ letters such that

$$0 \leq t_{\sigma(1)} \leq \cdots \leq t_{\sigma(p+q)} \leq 1.$$

The group law on $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is given as follows (see [18]). If $|t_1, \dots, t_p[z_1|\cdots|z_p]|$ and $|t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{p+q}[z_{p+1}|\cdots|z_{p+q}]|$ are elements of $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ then their product is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &|t_1, \dots, t_p[z_1|\cdots|z_p]| \cdot |t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{p+q}[z_{p+1}|\cdots|z_{p+q}]| \\ &\qquad\qquad = |t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(p+q)}[z_{\sigma(1)}|\cdots|z_{\sigma(p+q)}]|, \end{aligned}$$

where σ is as above. Clearly the projection $p : E\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is now a group homomorphism. In fact there is a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^\times \to E\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times \to 1.$$

This is a generalisation of the exponential short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times \to 1.$$

Since $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is now an abelian topological group, we can iterate the classifying space construction to form the abelian topological group $BB\mathbb{C}^\times = B^2\mathbb{C}^\times$ and in general $B\cdots B\mathbb{C}^\times = B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$. Again we have the iterated exponential short exact sequence

$$1 \to B^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to EB^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times \to 1.$$

In [18], Gajer develops a theory of smooth principal $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles. To do this he introduces a differentiable space structure on $EB^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ and $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ and defines the notion of a smooth (in this differentiable space sense) $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle. It is important to note that this differentiable space structure is not the same as a differentiable structure on a smooth manifold. Indeed, this differentiable space structure on a Hausdorff space M is defined in [16] (see also [32]) by requiring that there is a family of continuous maps $\gamma : U \to M$ called *plots*, each of whose domain is a convex set in some Euclidean space (the dimension of which need not be fixed), which satisfy

- if $\gamma : U \to M$ is a plot, V a convex set and $\theta : V \to U$ a smooth map, then $\gamma \circ \theta : V \to M$ is also a plot,
- every constant map from a convex set into M is also a plot,
- if U is a convex set and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering of U by convex sets U_i each of which is open in U, and $\gamma : U \to M$ is a map such that each restriction $\gamma_i = \gamma|_{U_i}$ is a plot, then γ is also a plot.

Clearly every smooth manifold has a differentiable space structure, but not every Hausdorff space with a differentiable space structure is a smooth manifold.

Gajer then goes on to show how every $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle is induced by pullback from the universal $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $EB^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times$ and shows that there is an bijection between isomorphism classes of $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles and $H^{p+2}(M;\mathbb{Z})$. For us the most important thing about all of this is that $B^2\mathbb{C}^\times$ provides us with an explicit realisation of an Eilenberg-Maclane space $K(\mathbb{Z},3)$. We see this as follows. From the exact homotopy sequence of the fibering $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to EB^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times$ and the contractibility of $EB^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ we get $\pi_q(B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times) = \pi_{q-1}(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times)$. Hence by induction we get $\pi_q(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times) = \pi_{q-p}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ if $q \geq p$ and $\pi_q(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times) = 0$ if $q < p$. Hence

$$\pi_q(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \text{ if } q = p+1 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, p+1)$. A theorem of algebraic topology asserts that for a given space M and a cohomology class $\omega \in H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ there is a map $f : M \to K(\mathbb{Z},3)$, unique up to homotopy, such that ω is the pullback $f^*\iota$ of the fundamental class $\iota \in H^3(K(\mathbb{Z};3);\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ (see for example [38]). Thus every class $\omega \in H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is the pullback of the generator of $H^3(B^2\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ by some map $M \to B^2\mathbb{C}^\times$ which is unique up to homotopy.

Chapter 3

Review of bundle gerbes

3.1 Properties of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles

Let $\underline{G}_M$ denotes the sheaf of smooth G valued functions on M for G an abelian Lie group. For the definitions and basic properties of sheaves see Chapter 1 of Brylinski's book [7]. For us, it is sufficient to know that a sheaf $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ of abelian groups on a manifold M gives rise to sheaf cohomology groups $H^i(M;\underline{\mathcal{S}})$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots$ and that given an exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups

$$0 \to \underline{\mathcal{A}} \to \underline{\mathcal{B}} \to \underline{\mathcal{C}} \to 0,$$

on M, there is a long exact sequence of sheaf cohomology groups

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} H^n(M;\underline{\mathcal{A}}) \to H^n(M;\underline{\mathcal{B}}) \to H^n(M;\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}(M;\underline{\mathcal{A}}) \to \cdots$$

The homomorphism $\delta : H^n(M;\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \to H^{n+1}(M;\underline{\mathcal{A}})$ is called the connecting homomorphism. In [7][Corollary 1.1.9], Brylinski proves that the sequence of sheaves of abelian groups on M,

$$0 \to \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to 0$$

is exact. Hence there is a long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} H^n(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M) \to H^n(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M) \to H^n(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M) \to \cdots \quad (3.1)$$

In Chapter 1 of his book [7], Brylinski defines the notion of a soft sheaf of abelian groups and proves that the sheaf cohomology groups associated to a soft sheaf are all zero. It is a fact that $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M$ is a soft sheaf. Since the sequence of sheaf cohomology groups 3.1 is exact, we have in particular that $H^1(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \cong H^2(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M)$, the isomorphism being provided by the connecting homomorphism δ. Brylinski in [7] proves that if M is a paracompact manifold, as we will always assume, then Čech cohomology is canonically isomorphic to sheaf cohomology. Thus we have isomorphisms $\check{H}^1(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \cong H^1(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ and $\check{H}^2(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M) \cong H^2(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M)$. Finally, it is shown in [4] that the Čech cohomology groups $\check{H}^n(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M)$ and the singular cohomology groups $H^n(M;\mathbb{Z})$ coincide. Thus we have an isomorphism $\check{H}^1(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \cong H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

Recall that there are several ways of characterising principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles. One such way is to describe a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to M$ by its transition functions $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ relative to some open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M. These transition functions are then representatives of a class in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^1(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$. By the above discussion, the transition functions g_{ij} define a class in $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$. This is the Chern class of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P. It is a standard fact, see [26], that given smooth maps $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying the cocycle condition $g_{jk}g_{ik}^{-1}g_{ij} = 1$, one can construct a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\tilde{P} \to M$ with Chern class represented by g_{ij} in $\check{H}^1(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$.

If P_1 and P_2 are two $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M described by transition functions $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $h_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ respectively, where the transition functions are both defined relative to the same cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M, then we can form a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle with transition functions equal to $g_{ij}h_{ij}$. The reason this is possible is because $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is abelian. The resulting bundle we call the contracted product of P_1 and P_2 and denote by $P_1 \otimes P_2$. Another way of constructing this bundle, see [7][Chapter 2], is to first form the fibre product $P_1 \times_M P_2$ and then factor out by the subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ consisting of all pairs (z, z^{-1}) for $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. The resulting $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle is $P_1 \otimes P_2$ and one can check that $P_1 \otimes P_2$ has transition functions $g_{ij}h_{ij}$.

Given a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to M$ with transition functions g_{ij} then we can construct a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P^* \to M$ with transition functions g_{ij}^{-1}. Again the reason this is possible is because $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is a commutative group. This bundle is easily seen to be the one obtained from P by changing the action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on P to its inverse, that is the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle associated to P via the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, $z \mapsto z^{-1}$. Notice that if we have a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P on M, then the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \otimes P^*$ is canonically trivial (look at the transition functions).

It is often more convenient to work with complex line bundles than with $\mathbb{C}^\times$ principal bundles. Given a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to M$ we may form a complex line bundle L_P on M by the associated bundle construction. Recall, see [26], that L_P is formed from the product $P \times \mathbb{C}$ by factoring out by the equivalence relation $\sim$ defined by $(p, u) \sim (q, v)$ if and only if there is a $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $q = p \cdot z$ and $v = uz^{-1}$. Conversely, given a complex line bundle L on M we can form a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on M by forming the frame bundle associated to L (see [26]). This correspondence gives an equivalence of categories between the category of principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M and the category of complex line bundles on M, see [7][Chapter 2]. Under this correspondence, the operation of contracted product on principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles becomes the operation of tensor product on complex line bundles while the 'inverse' of a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle corresponds to taking the dual of the associated complex line bundle.

Recall, see for example [26], that the pullback of a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\pi : P \to M$ by a smooth map $f : N \to M$ is the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $f^{-1}\pi : f^{-1}P \to N$ where $f^{-1}P$ is the submanifold of $N \times P$ consisting of all pairs (n, p) such that $f(n) = \pi(p)$. Every $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P on M is induced by pullback from the universal bundle $E\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ with a map $g : M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$, the classifying map of P. This classifying map g is unique up to homotopy. If P has transition functions $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ relative to some open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M, then a choice of the classifying map $g : M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is given by

$$g(m) = |\phi_1(m), \ldots, \phi_p(m), [g_{i_0i_1}(m)|\cdots|g_{i_{p-1}i_p}]|, \tag{3.2}$$

see for example [18]. Here $\phi_n(m) = \psi_{i_0}(m) + \cdots + \psi_{i_n}(m)$, where $\{\psi_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a partition of unity subordinated to the cover $\mathcal{U}$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let P and Q be principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on a smooth manifold M. Suppose that P has a classifying map $f : M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ and that Q has a classifying map $g : M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$. Then the contracted product $P \otimes Q$ has a choice of classifying map given by $f \cdot g : M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ and P^* has a choice of classifying map given by $f^{-1} : M \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$. Here f^{-1} means the map $inv \circ f$, where $inv : B\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is the map $\xi \mapsto \xi^{-1}$.*

Proof. First of all, choose an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M such that P and Q have transition functions $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $h_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ respectively, both relative to the same open cover $\mathcal{U}$. Then, as we have already seen, choices for f and g are given by

$$f(m) = |\phi_1(m), \ldots, \phi_p(m), [g_{i_0 i_1}(m)| \cdots |g_{i_{p-1} i_p}(m)]|,$$

and

$$g(m) = |\phi_1(m), \ldots, \phi_p(m), [h_{i_0 i_1}(m)| \cdots |h_{i_{p-1} i_p}(m)]|,$$

where the ϕ_n are defined as above. If one performs the calculation, using the group law in the abelian group $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ given in Section 2.4, then one finds that

$$f(m)g(m) = |\phi_1(m), \ldots, \phi_p(m), [g_{i_0 i_1}(m)h_{i_0 i_1}(m)| \cdots |g_{i_{p-1} i_p}(m)h_{i_{p-1} i_p}(m)]|.$$

But this is precisely the classifying map we would have obtained for $P \otimes Q$ using the recipe of equation 3.2. The other statement of the proposition is proved similarly. ☐

Recall, see [26], that one way of defining a *connection* on a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to M$ is to specify a family of one forms $\{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ with $\omega_i \in \Omega^1(U_i)$, for some open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M relative to which P has transition functions $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, and to require the ω_i to satisfy

$$\omega_j = \omega_i + \frac{dg_{ij}}{g_{ij}}$$

on each overlap $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$. A connection on a complex line bundle $L \to M$ is a linear map $\nabla : \Gamma(M, L) \to \Gamma(M, T^*M \otimes L)$ which satisfies the Liebniz rule $\nabla(fs) = df \otimes s + f\nabla(s)$ for all $s \in \Gamma(M, L)$ and all $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$. If L_1 and L_2 are complex line bundles on M with connections ∇_1 and ∇_2 respectively, then the tensor product bundle $L_1 \otimes L_2$ has a connection $\nabla_1 + \nabla_2$ defined by (see [7][Chapter 2])

$$(\nabla_1 + \nabla_2)(s_1 \otimes s_2) = \nabla_1(s_1) \otimes s_2 + s_1 \otimes \nabla_2(s_2).$$

Recall also that if ∇ is a connection on a complex line bundle L on M, then given any complex valued one form A on M, we can define a new connection $\nabla + A$ on L by

$$(\nabla + A)(s) = \nabla(s) + A \otimes s$$

for a section s of L. Connections on complex line bundles are easier to get a handle on than connections on principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles and so in most calculations we will work with connections on complex line bundles. This is justified by Proposition 2.2.5 of [7].

Let $P \to M$ be a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle, and let $f : N \to M$ and $g : O \to N$ be smooth maps. There is a canonical natural isomorphism $\varphi_{f,g} : (f \circ g)^{-1}P \to g^{-1}f^{-1}P$, where by natural we mean natural with respect to isomorphisms $\psi : P_1 \to P_2$. It has the property that if ∇_L is a connection on the associated line bundle $L = L_P$ over M, then

$$(f \circ g)^{-1}\nabla_L = \tilde{\varphi}_{f,g} \circ g^{-1}f^{-1}\nabla_L \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{f,g},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_{f,g}$ denotes the isomorphism of line bundles induced by $\varphi_{f,g}$. This means that we can freely identify $(f \circ g)^{-1}P = g^{-1}f^{-1}P$ for any calculations.

3.2 Bundle gerbes and the Dixmier-Douady class

Suppose $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is a surjection admitting local sections. We form the fibre product $Y \times_M Y = Y^{[2]}$ in the usual way. That is, $Y^{[2]}$ is the set consisting of all pairs $(y_1, y_2) \in Y \times Y$ such that $\pi(y_1) = \pi(y_2)$. We denote by $Y^{[3]}$ the triple fibre product $Y \times_M Y \times_M Y$ and in general we put $Y^{[p]}$ equal to the p-fold fibre product $Y \times_M \cdots \times_M Y$. Notice that we have projection maps $\pi_i : Y^{[p]} \to Y^{[p-1]}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$, obtained by omitting the i^{th} factor. It is easy to see that in fact we have a simplicial space $Y = \{Y_p\}$ with $Y_p = Y^{[p+1]}$ and the obvious face and degeneracy operators.

Definition 3.1 ([33]). A *bundle gerbe* consists of a triple of manifolds (P, X, M) with a surjection $\pi : X \to M$ admitting local sections and a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle $P \to X^{[2]}$, such that P has a product; that is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundles $m : \pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P \to \pi_2^{-1}P$ over $X^{[3]}$, covering the identity and such that the following diagram of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphisms over $X^{[4]}$ commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_4^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "1\otimes\pi_4^{-1}m"'] & \pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}P \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}m\otimes 1"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_4^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P \arrow[d, equal] & \pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}P \arrow[d, equal] \\
\pi_2^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}m"'] & \pi_3^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) \arrow[d, "\pi_3^{-1}m"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P \arrow[r, equal] & \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here we have used the remarks made at the end of Section 3.1 and the simplicial identities satisfied by the π_i. This last condition gives an 'associativity' constraint on the product m, in the sense that we have $m(u \otimes m(v \otimes w)) = m(m(u \otimes v) \otimes w)$ for $u \in P_{(x_3,x_4)}$, $v \in P_{(x_2,x_3)}$ and $w \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$, where $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in X^{[4]}$.

We will sometimes denote a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) by a single letter P. A bundle gerbe is typically depicted as in the diagram below.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \arrow[d] & \\
Y^{[2]} \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_i"] \arrow[r, shift right] & Y \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
& M
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will need the definition of a *simplicial line bundle* — see [8].

Definition 3.2 ([8]). Let $X = \{X_p\}$ be a simplicial manifold. Let L be a line bundle on X_p. Denote by $\delta(L)$ the line bundle

$$d_0^{-1}L \otimes d_1^{-1}L^* \otimes d_2^{-1}L \otimes \cdots$$

on X_{p+1}, where the last factor in the above tensor product line bundle is $d_{p+1}^{-1}L$ if p is even and $d_{p+1}^{-1}L^*$ if p is odd or zero, where $d_i : X_{p+1} \to X_p$ are the face operators.

Notice that the line bundle $\delta\delta(L)$ on X_{p+2} is canonically trivial. This is a result of the commutation relations satisfied by the d_i which allow us to contract off pairs of line bundles in the tensor product $\delta\delta(L)$. Clearly δ gives rise to a functor from the category of line bundles on X_p to the category of line bundles on X_{p+1}. If s is a section of L, then it induces a section $\delta(s)$ of $\delta(L)$ defined by

$$d_0^{-1}s \otimes d_1^{-1}s^* \otimes d_2^{-1}s \cdots$$

where, as above, the last factor in this induced section is $d_{p+1}^{-1}s$ if p is even and $d_{p+1}^{-1}s^*$ if p is odd or zero. A *simplicial line bundle* on X consists of a line bundle L on X_1, together with a non-vanishing section s of $\delta(L)$ on X_2 such that $\delta(s)$ equals the canonical non-vanishing section $\underline{1}$ of $\delta\delta(L)$ on X_3.

It is easy to see that the bundle gerbe product m_P on a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) forces the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle

$$\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_2^{-1}P^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P \to X^{[3]}$$

to be trivial, and indeed one can define a section s of this bundle as follows. Choose $u \in P_{(x_2,x_3)}$ and $v \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$, then $m_P(u \otimes v) \in P_{(x_1,x_3)}$. We put

$$s(x_1, x_2, x_3) = u \otimes m_P(u \otimes v)^* \otimes v.$$

One can check that this is well defined and one can also show that the associativity of m_P is equivalent to the following condition on s:

$$\pi_1^{-1}s \otimes \pi_2^{-1}s^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}s \otimes \pi_4^{-1}s^* = \underline{1}.$$

It follows that the bundle gerbe P can be viewed as a simplicial line bundle on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$. Simplicial line bundles on the simplicial manifold NG associated to the classifying space of a Lie group G take a particularly simple form. We have the following result.

Proposition 3.2 ([8]). *A simplicial line bundle on the simplicial manifold NG is a central extension of G by $\mathbb{C}^\times$.*

Recall from [33] that a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) has two important algebraic structures; an identity and an inverse. The identity is a section e of the pullback $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\Delta^{-1}P$ over X, where $\Delta : X \to X^{[2]}$ is the inclusion of the diagonal. The inverse is an isomorphism given fibrewise by $P_{(x_1,x_2)} \to P_{(x_2,x_1)}$ and denoted $p \mapsto p^{-1}$. The identity section and inverse satisfy the properties one would expect; for instance $m_P(p \otimes e) = p$ and $m_P(p \otimes p^{-1}) = e$.

It is useful sometimes, particularly when we discuss bundle gerbe connections and curvature, to have a slightly different formulation of the definition of a bundle gerbe (see [13]). In Definition 3.1 above we replace the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to X^{[2]}$ by a line bundle L. We then require that there is a line bundle isomorphism $m_L : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}L \to \pi_2^{-1}L$. The product on L defined by m_L is then required to be associative in the sense described above. The two definitions are easily seen to be equivalent.

Example 3.1. The basic example of a bundle gerbe is the *tautological bundle gerbe* (see [33] and also [14]). Let M be a smooth manifold with a base point m_0 and suppose ω is a closed, integral three form on M. Assume that M is 2-connected. Form the path fibration $\pi : \mathcal{P}M \to M$, where $\mathcal{P}M$ is the path space of M so $\mathcal{P}M$ consists of all piecewise smooth paths $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ with $\gamma(0) = m_0$. The projection π is given by $\pi(\gamma) = \gamma(1)$. The fibre product $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ consists of all pairs of paths (γ_1, γ_2) in $\mathcal{P}M$ which have the same endpoint. There is an identification of $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ with ΩM, the based, piecewise smooth loop space of M, which is given by sending a pair of paths (γ_1, γ_2) to the loop obtained by going along γ_1 at double speed and then going along the path γ_2 at double speed but in the reverse direction. Define a closed integral two form F on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]} = \Omega M$ by pulling back ω to $\Omega M \times [0,1]$ via the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev} : \Omega M \times [0,1] \to M$ and integrating out $[0,1]$. Here the evaluation map ev is defined by $\mathrm{ev}(\gamma, t) = \gamma(t)$ for $\gamma \in \Omega M$ and $t \in [0,1]$. We have $\pi_0(\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}) = \pi_0(\Omega M) = \pi_1(M) = 0$. Note also that $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ is simply connected. We would like to construct the tautological $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ with Chern class F. So let (γ_1, γ_2) be a point in $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$. A path from (m_0, m_0) to (γ_1, γ_2) is the same thing as a piecewise smooth homotopy $\mu : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to M$ with endpoints fixed from γ_1 to γ_2. This means μ satisfies $\mu(0,t) = \gamma_1(t)$, $\mu(1,t) = \gamma_2(t)$, $\mu(s,0) = m_0$ and $\mu(s,1) = \gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1)$. Introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$, where we identify paths in $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ with homotopies μ as above, by saying (μ, z) is equivalent to (μ', w) if and only if, for all piecewise smooth homotopies $H : [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \to M$ with endpoints fixed between μ and μ' we have

$$w = z \exp\left(\int_{I^3} H^*\omega\right).$$

The homotopy H is required to satisfy $H(0,s,t) = \mu(s,t)$, $H(1,s,t) = \mu'(s,t)$, and for each fixed r, the map $(s,t) \mapsto H(r,s,t)$ is a piecewise smooth homotopy with endpoints fixed between γ_1 and γ_2. We let

$$\mathcal{Q} = (\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times)/\sim\ .$$

$\mathcal{Q}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle over $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$. The triple $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}M, M)$ defines a bundle gerbe with bundle gerbe product given by

$$[\mu, z] \otimes [\nu, w] \mapsto [\mu \circ \nu, zw]$$

where the square brackets denote equivalence classes and where μ is a piecewise smooth homotopy with endpoints fixed between paths γ_2 and γ_3, ν is a piecewise smooth homotopy with endpoints fixed between paths γ_1 and γ_2, and where $\mu \circ \nu$ denotes the piecewise smooth homotopy given by

$$(\mu \circ \nu)(s,t) = \begin{cases} \nu(2s,t), s \in [0,1/2], \\ \mu(2s-1,t), s \in [1/2,1]. \end{cases}$$

The proof that this is well defined and associative can be found in [14].

A particularly important example of this construction is when M is G, a simple, simply connected compact Lie group. In this case we can identify $\mathcal{P}G^{[2]}$ with the group of based, piecewise smooth loops in G, ΩG, by taking a pair of paths (γ_1, γ_2) in $\mathcal{P}G^{[2]}$ and forming the loop $\gamma_2^o \circ \gamma_1$, by which we mean the loop which follows γ_1 at double speed and then follows the path γ_2 from its endpoint to its start point at double speed. We can also identify the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\mathcal{Q} \to \Omega G$ with the Kac-Moody group $\widehat{\Omega G}$ which forms part of the central extension of the loop group $\mathbb{C}^\times \to \widehat{\Omega G} \to \Omega G$. This is an example of the following general method of constructing bundle gerbes from central extensions.

Example 3.2. A frequently occurring example of a bundle gerbe is the so-called *lifting* bundle gerbe associated to a principal G bundle $\pi : P \to M$ where G is a Lie group with a central extension

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \to \hat{G} \to G.$$

The lifting bundle gerbe is then formed by pulling back the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{G}$ to $P^{[2]}$ via the natural map $\tau : P^{[2]} \to G$ defined by $p_2 = p_1 \cdot \tau(p_1, p_2)$ for p_1 and p_2 in the same fibre of P. If we let this bundle be $\hat{P}$ then it is easy to see that the triple $(\hat{P}, P, M)$ is a bundle gerbe. For more details see [33].

Various operations are possible with bundle gerbes. For example, given a map $f : N \to M$ we can pullback a bundle gerbe $P = (P, X, M)$ to obtain a new bundle gerbe $f^{-1}P = (f^{-1}P, f^{-1}X, N)$ called the pullback of P by f. Thus $f^{-1}X$ is the manifold obtained by pulling back $\pi : X \to M$ with f as shown in the diagram below.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f^{-1}X \arrow[r, "\bar{f}"] \arrow[d, "f^{-1}\pi"'] & X \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
N \arrow[r, "f"] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $f^{-1}P \to (f^{-1}X)^{[2]}$ is the pullback of $P \to X^{[2]}$ by the map $\bar{f}^{[2]}$. Clearly $f^{-1}P$ inherits a bundle gerbe product from P. Suppose we have a second map $g : O \to N$. Then we have two bundle gerbes $g^{-1}f^{-1}P$ and $(f \circ g)^{-1}P$ over O. We will make the convention once and for all that these two bundle gerbes are the same.

Given two bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) we can form their product. This is a bundle gerbe over M which is described as follows. We first form the fibre product $X \times_M Y \to M$. There are two projection maps $p_1 : X \times_M Y \to X$ and $p_2 : X \times_M Y \to Y$. We use these to form the pullback $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $(p_1^{[2]})^{-1}P$ and $(p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q$ over $(X \times_M Y)^{[2]}$ and then form the contracted product $P \otimes Q = (p_1^{[2]})^{-1}P \otimes (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q$ over $(X \times_M Y)^{[2]}$. This is the bundle whose fibre at $((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$ is

$$P_{(x_1,x_2)} \otimes Q_{(y_1,y_2)}.$$

The triple $(P \otimes Q, X \times_M Y, M)$ is a bundle gerbe on M which we call the product of the bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M). If $X = Y$ then we can form the product above in a slightly different way. If P and Q are as above, then we define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \otimes Q \to X^{[2]}$ by forming the contracted product. The triple $(P \otimes Q, X, M)$ is then a bundle gerbe over M.

Given a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) we can construct a bundle gerbe (P^*, X, M) called the 'inverse' of P. $P^* \to X^{[2]}$ is the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle 'inverse' to $P \to X^{[2]}$, see Section 3.1. P^* inherits a bundle gerbe product via the bundle gerbe product on P using the isomorphism $P \otimes P^* \cong X^{[2]} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Recall from [33] that there is a characteristic class associated to a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) called the Dixmier-Douady class of P. This is a class in $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$. A Čech representative for this class (or rather a representative for its image in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ under the isomorphism $\check{H}^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \cong H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$ — see Section 3.1) can be constructed as follows — see [33]. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M, all of whose intersections are either empty or contractible and such that there exist local sections $s_i : U_i \to X$ of π. Form maps $(s_i, s_j) : U_{ij} \to X^{[2]}$ which map $m \in U_{ij}$ to $(s_i(m), s_j(m)) \in X^{[2]}$. Denote by P_{ij} the pullback $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(s_i, s_j)^{-1}P$ on

U_{ij}. Since U_{ij} is contractible, there exists a section $\sigma_{ij} : U_{ij} \to P_{ij}$ of $P_{ij} \to U_{ij}$. Define a map $g_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$m_P(\sigma_{jk} \otimes \sigma_{ij}) = \sigma_{ik} \cdot g_{ijk}.$$

The associativity of the bundle gerbe product m_P implies that g_{ijk} satisfies the cocycle condition

$$g_{jkl} g_{ikl}^{-1} g_{ijl} g_{ijk}^{-1} = 1$$

on U_{ijkl}. g_{ijk} is then a representative for a class in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ and it therefore defines a class $[g_{ijk}]$ in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ under the isomorphism $\check{H}^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \simeq H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ mentioned in Section 3.1. This class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is the Dixmier-Douady class of the bundle gerbe P and is usually denoted by $DD(P)$ or $DD(P, X)$.

Suppose we have our usual map $\pi : Y \to M$ admitting local sections and also a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $Q \to Y$. Then we can construct a bundle gerbe $(\delta(Q), Y, M)$ where $\delta(Q) \to Y^{[2]}$ is the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\delta(Q) = \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q^*$ of Definition 3.2. $\delta(Q)$ has an associative product because we can regard such a product as a section of $\delta\delta(Q)$ which satisfies the coherency condition 3.2 in $\delta\delta\delta(Q)$. Such a section is provided by the canonical trivialisation $\underline{1}$ of $\delta\delta(Q)$ and one can check that $\delta(\underline{1})$ matches the canonical trivialisation of $\delta\delta\delta(Q)$.

Definition 3.3 ([33]). A bundle gerbe (P, X, M) is said to be *trivial* if there exists a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $Q \to X$ together with an isomorphism $\phi : \delta(Q) \to P$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\delta(Q) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\delta(Q) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}\phi \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\phi"'] & \pi_2^{-1}\delta(Q) \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}\phi"] \\
\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P \arrow[r, "m_P"] & \pi_2^{-1}P,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the map $\pi_1^{-1}\delta(Q) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\delta(Q) \to \pi_2^{-1}\delta(Q)$ denotes the bundle gerbe product in the bundle gerbe $(\delta(Q), X, M)$. The pair (Q, ϕ) is called a *trivialisation* of the bundle gerbe P.

The Dixmier-Douady class behaves as one would expect under operations such as pullbacks and the like. It also has the property that a bundle gerbe P is trivial if and only if its Dixmier-Douady class is zero. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3 ([33]). *Let (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) be bundle gerbes with Dixmier-Douady classes $DD(P)$ and $DD(Q)$ respectively. Then we have*

1. *The product bundle gerbe $(P \otimes_M Q, X \times_M Y, M)$ has Dixmier-Douady class*

$$DD(P \otimes_M Q) = DD(P) + DD(Q).$$

2. *If P^* denotes the bundle gerbe inverse to P then it has Dixmier-Douady class $DD(P^*)$ equal to $-DD(P)$.*
3. *If $f : N \to M$ is a smooth map then the Dixmier-Douady class $DD(f^{-1}P)$ of the pullback bundle gerbe $(f^{-1}P, f^{-1}X, N)$ is equal to $f^*DD(P)$.*

4. The Dixmier-Douady class $DD(P)$ is the obstruction to P being trivial.

Proposition 3.4 ([33]). *Suppose (P, X, M) is the lifting bundle gerbe for some principal G bundle $X \to M$ where G is part of a central extension*

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \to \hat{G} \to G,$$

see example 3.2. Then the Dixmier-Douady class of P is the obstruction to lifting the structure group of X to $\hat{G}$.

Finally we note that it is possible to consider 'A bundle gerbes' where A is an abelian Lie group. For this we need to know that there is a well defined notion of contracted product of principal A bundles. To define the contracted product $P_1 \otimes_A P_2$ of two principal A bundles P_1 and P_2 we simply mimic the procedure for the case $A = \mathbb{C}^\times$, ie we set $P_1 \otimes_A P_2$ to be the quotient of the $A \times A$ bundle $P_1 \times_M P_2$ by the anti-diagonal subgroup of $A \times A$ consisting of all pairs (a, a^{-1}). Now we can define an A-bundle gerbe to be a triple (P, X, M) where $P \to X^{[2]}$ is a principal A bundle with an associative product $\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes_A \pi_3^{-1}P \to \pi_2^{-1}P$. Such an A bundle gerbe will then give rise to class in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^2(M; \underline{A}_M)$ rather than $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

3.3 Bundle gerbe connections and the extended Mayer-Vietoris sequence

Let $\pi : Y \to M$ be a surjection admitting local sections. Then we have seen that we can define a simplicial space $Y = \{Y_p\}$ with $Y_p = Y^{[p+1]}$ and face operators $\pi_i : Y^{[p+1]} \to Y^{[p]}$ given by omitting the ith factor as mentioned above. Then for $p = 0, 1, \ldots$ we can form a complex $K^\bullet$ with $K^q = \Omega^p(Y^{[q]})$ and $\delta : K^q \to K^{q+1}$ given by the alternating sum of pullbacks π_i^*. The simplicial identities satisfied by the π_i ensure that $\delta^2 = 0$.

Proposition 3.5 ([33]). *The complex*

$$0 \to \Omega^p(M) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Omega^p(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^p(Y^{[q]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \tag{3.3}$$

is exact for $p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

We also have an analogue of this proposition with the de Rham functor Ω replaced by the singular functor S. For the definitions and basic properties of singular cohomology see for example [38]. Again we make $S^p(Y^{[q]}; \mathbb{Z})$ a complex for fixed p by defining $\delta : S^p(Y^{[q]}; \mathbb{Z}) \to S^p(Y^{[q+1]}; \mathbb{Z})$ by the alternating sum of pullbacks π_i^*. As before $\delta^2 = 0$ and we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *For fixed $p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ the complex*

$$0 \to S^p(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} S^p(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} S^p(Y^{[q]}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \tag{3.4}$$

is acyclic.

The following proof is due to M. Murray.

Proof. Consider first the case of a map $\pi : Z \to X$ which is onto. Here Z and X only have to be sets and π is a function. Denote as usual by $Z^{[p]}$ the p-fold fibre product over π. Then define

$$\delta : \mathrm{Map}(Z^{[p]}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Map}(Z^{[p+1]}, \mathbb{Z})$$

by $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^i \pi_i^*$ where $\pi_i(z_1, \ldots, z_p) = (z_1, \ldots, \hat{z}_i, \ldots, z_p)$. In the case that X is a point there is a standard proof that this complex has no cohomology, see for example [38]. This can be adapted completely to the present case by choosing a section s of π. Notice that because we are dealing with sets the question of existence of a section depends only on the fact that π is onto. Indeed if $f \in \mathrm{Map}(Z^{[p]}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\delta(f) = 0$ then define $F \in \mathrm{Map}(Z^{[p-1]}, \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$F(z_1, \ldots, z_{p-1}) = f(s(\pi(z_1)), z_1, \ldots, z_{p-1})$$

and it is straightforward to check that $\delta(F) = f$. Notice that if $f : Z \to \mathbb{Z}$ then $\delta(f) = 0$ means that f is constant on the fibres of π so f descends to a map $F : M \to \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $f = \pi^* F$. Now return to the case at hand and define X to be the space of all singular simplices in M and Z to be the space of all singular simplices in Y. $\pi : Y \to M$ induces a surjective map $\pi_* : Z \to X$. Notice that the space of all singular simplices in $Y^{[p]}$ is just $Z^{[p]}$ with respect to π_*. To prove the proposition we just have to notice that the space of all singular cochains in $Y^{[p]}$ is just $\mathrm{Map}(Z^{[p]}, \mathbb{Z})$. □

Note 3.1. One could think of the complexes 3.3 and 3.4 above as generalisations of the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of an open cover (see [4]). One sees this by choosing an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M and letting Y be the disjoint union

$$\coprod_{i \in I} U_i.$$

Then the fibre products $Y^{[2]}$ and $Y^{[3]}$ correspond to disjoint unions of double intersections $\coprod U_{ij}$ and disjoint unions of triple intersections $\coprod U_{ijk}$ and so on. Thus we can identify the exact sequences 3.3 and 3.4 with the de Rham and singular Mayer-Vietoris sequences of an open cover respectively.

In fact we can think of surjections $\pi : Y \to M$ admitting local sections as being 'generalised open sets' of M. This is the viewpoint adopted in Chapter 5 of [7] where open sets are replaced by local homeomorphisms. In this framework fibre products correspond to intersections of open sets and the relationship between the extended Mayer-Vietoris sequences 3.3 and 3.4 and the more familiar Mayer-Vietoris sequences associated to an open covering of M discussed in [4] becomes more apparent.

Recall from [33] that there is a notion of *bundle gerbe connection* and *curving* for a bundle gerbe connection. We will briefly recall these definitions. Let (P, X, M) be a bundle gerbe and let $L \to X^{[2]}$ denote the line bundle on $X^{[2]}$ associated to P. We let m_L denote the line bundle isomorphism induced by the bundle gerbe product m_P on P. As we noted earlier, m_L defines a product on L which is associative. We make the following definition.

Definition 3.4 ([33]). A *bundle gerbe connection* on L is a connection ∇_L on L such that

$$\pi_1^{-1}\nabla_L + \pi_3^{-1}\nabla_L = m_L^{-1} \circ \pi_2^{-1}\nabla_L \circ m_L \tag{3.5}$$

on the line bundle $\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}L$ over $X^{[3]}$.

∇_L induces a connection ∇_P on P and we say that ∇_P is a bundle gerbe connection on P. Bundle gerbe connections always exist since we can choose a connection ∇_L on L and then notice that the two connections $\pi_1^{-1}\nabla_L + \pi_3^{-1}\nabla_L$ and $m_L^{-1} \circ \pi_2^{-1}\nabla_L \circ m_L$ on $\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}L$ differ by the pullback of a one form A on the base $X^{[3]}$. The associativity condition on m_L forces $\delta(A) = 0$ and so we conclude by Proposition 3.5 that there exists a one form B on $X^{[2]}$ such that $\delta(B) = A$. The connection $\nabla_L - B$ on L defines a bundle gerbe connection on P. It is shown in [33] that the space of all bundle gerbe connections on P is an affine space for $\Omega^1(X)$.

If we let F_{∇_L} denote the curvature of ∇_L then equation 3.5 implies that we must have $\delta(F_{\nabla_L}) = 0$, and so by Proposition 3.5 again we see that there is a two form f on X such that $F_{\nabla_L} = \delta(f)$. Note that f is unique only up to pullbacks of two forms on M. A choice of f is called a *curving* for the bundle gerbe connection ∇_L. Therefore we have $\delta(df) = 0$ and hence there exists a closed three form ω on M such that $df = \pi^*\omega$. Furthermore it can be shown that ω is an integral three form, ie the integral of ω over any closed three manifold in M is $2\pi i$ times an integer. We call ω the *three curvature* of the bundle gerbe connection and curving. In [33] it is shown that ω is a de Rham representative for the image of the Dixmier-Douady class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{R})$. Note that a bundle gerbe can have a non-zero Čech representative for its Dixmier-Douady class but, due to the phenomenon of torsion, the de Rham representative for the image of the Dixmier-Douady class inside $H^3(M;\mathbb{R})$ can be zero.

Example 3.3. Recall from example 3.1 the tautological bundle gerbe $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}M, M)$ associated to a closed integral three form ω on a 2-connected manifold M. In [33] it is shown that there is a connection ∇ on the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ which is compatible with the bundle gerbe product on $\mathcal{Q}$ and whose curvature equals $\delta(f)$ where f is the two form on $\mathcal{P}M$ defined by $f = \int_I \mathrm{ev}^*\omega$ (here $\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{P}M \times I \to M$ is the evaluation map sending (γ, t) to $\gamma(t)$). Therefore ∇ and f is an example of a bundle gerbe connection and curving on $\mathcal{Q}$.

3.4 Bundle gerbe morphisms and the 2-category of bundle gerbes

Recall the following definition from [33].

Definition 3.5 ([33]). Let (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, N) be bundle gerbes on M and N respectively. A *bundle gerbe morphism* $\bar{f} : P \to Q$ consists of a triple $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, f')$ of smooth maps $f' : M \to N$, $f : X \to Y$ covering f' and $\hat{f} : P \to Q$ covering the induced map $f^{[2]} : X^{[2]} \to Y^{[2]}$. The map $\hat{f}$ is required to commute with the bundle gerbe products on P and Q.

As an example, consider the situation in which we have a smooth map $f : N \to M$ and a bundle gerbe (P, X, M). We can pullback the bundle gerbe P to a bundle gerbe $(f^{-1}P, f^{-1}X, N)$ on N. By definition of the pullback construction there is a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} : f^{-1}P \to P$.

We will almost always be interested in the case where $M = N$ and f' is the identity. In this case, a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} : P \to Q$ is a triple $(\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id}_M)$.

Definition 3.6 ([34]). Let (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) be bundle gerbes. A *bundle gerbe isomorphism* $\bar{f} : P \to Q$ is a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id}_M)$ from P to Q such that $f : X \to Y$ is a diffeomorphism and $\hat{f} : P \to Q$ covering $f^{[2]} : X^{[2]} \to Y^{[2]}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism.

Unfortunately, bundle gerbe isomorphisms seldom appear in practice. Given bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M), it is not clear when a bundle gerbe morphism between them exists. Obviously, a necessary condition is that $DD(P) = DD(Q)$. One way of dealing with this problem is to consider stable isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes on M.

Definition 3.7 ([12], [34]). We say that bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) are *stably isomorphic* if there exist $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles T_1 and T_2 over $X \times_M Y$ such that there is an isomorphism

$$(p_1^{[2]})^{-1}P \otimes \delta(T_1) \cong \delta(T_2) \otimes (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q$$

covering the identity on $(X \times_M Y)^{[2]}$ and commuting with the bundle gerbe products. (Here p_1 and p_2 are the two projections of $X \times_M Y$ onto X and Y respectively).

Suppose bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) have $DD(P) = DD(Q)$. Then the product bundle gerbe $(P \otimes Q^*, X \times_M Y, M)$ has $DD(P \otimes Q^*) = 0$. Therefore there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $T \to X \times_M Y$ together with an isomorphism $P \otimes Q^* \to \delta(T)$ covering the identity on $(X \times_M Y)^{[2]}$ and commuting with the respective bundle gerbe products. Now form the bundle gerbe $(P \otimes Q^*) \otimes (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q \to (X \times_M)^{[2]}$. The isomorphism above translates into

$$(P \otimes Q^*) \otimes (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q \to \delta(T) \otimes (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q.$$

We have

$$(P \otimes Q^*) \otimes (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q = (p_1^{[2]})^{-1}P \otimes (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}(Q^* \otimes Q).$$

The bundle gerbe $Q^* \otimes Q \to Y^{[2]}$ has zero Dixmier-Douady class, hence it is trivial. Therefore its pullback to $(X \times_M Y)^{[2]}$ is trivial and so P and Q are stably isomorphic. Conversely, if P and Q are stably isomorphic, then it is easy to see that $DD(P) = DD(Q)$. Thus we have the proposition (see [34]):

Proposition 3.7 ([34]). *Two bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) have the same Dixmier-Douady class if and only if they are stably isomorphic.*

This shows that the notion of stable isomorphism is an equivalence relation and so we can form the set of equivalence classes. Taking products of bundle gerbes clearly respects stable isomorphism and indeed there is a group structure on the set of stable isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes. In Chapter 4 we shall see that there is an isomorphism between stable isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes and $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

There is another way of looking at the question of whether a bundle gerbe morphism exists between two given bundle gerbes or not. Before we explain this, we need to digress for a moment. Let $P \to X$ be a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on X and let $\pi : X \to M$ be an onto map admitting local sections. We have the following lemma (see [7][pages 185–186]).

Lemma 3.1 ([7]). *A necessary and sufficient condition for P to descend to a bundle on M is that there is an isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1^{-1}P \to \pi_2^{-1}P$ covering the identity on $X^{[2]}$ which satisfies the gluing law*

$$\pi_3^{-1}\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}\phi = \pi_2^{-1}\phi \tag{3.6}$$

over $X^{[3]}$. ϕ is known as a descent isomorphism. P descends to a bundle on M means that there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $Q \to M$ together with an isomorphism $P \simeq \pi^{-1}Q$.

Note that another way of looking at this is that a necessary and sufficient condition for P to descend to a bundle on M is that there is a section $\hat{\phi}$ of $\delta(P) \to X^{[2]}$ such that $\delta(\hat{\phi}) = \underline{1}$, where $\underline{1}$ is the canonically trivialising section of $\delta\delta(P)$, see Definition 3.2. We have the following slight generalisation of this lemma.

Suppose $\pi_X : X \to M$ and $\pi_Y : Y \to M$ are surjections admitting local sections. Then $X \times_M Y$ is also a surjection admitting local sections. Given a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P on $X \times_M Y^{[p]}$, let $\delta_Y(P)$ denote the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on $X \times_M Y^{[p+1]}$ whose fibre at a point $(x, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{p+1})$ of $X \times_M Y^{[p+1]}$ is

$$P_{(x,y_1)} \otimes P^*_{(x,y_2)} \otimes \cdots ,$$

where the last factor is $P_{(x,y_{p+1})}$ if p is even and $P^*_{(x,y_{p+1})}$ if p is odd — compare with Definition 3.2. As in Definition 3.2 it is easy to check that $\delta_Y\delta_Y(P)$ is canonically trivialised. We will denote this canonical trivialisation by $\underline{1}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose π_X and π_Y are as above and that P is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on $X \times_M Y$ such that there is a section $\hat{\phi}$ of $\delta_Y(P)$ on $X \times_M Y^{[2]}$ which satisfies the coherency condition $\delta_Y(\hat{\phi}) = \underline{1}$ on $X \times_M Y^{[3]}$. Then P descends to a bundle Q on X, ie there is an isomorphism $P \simeq p_1^{-1}Q$, where $p_1 : X \times_M Y \to X$ is the projection onto the first factor.*

Proof. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of M such that there exist local sections $t_\alpha : U_\alpha \to Y$ of π_Y. Let $X_\alpha = \pi_X^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P_α on X_α by $P_\alpha = \hat{t}_\alpha^{-1}P$, where $\hat{t}_\alpha : X_\alpha \to X \times_M Y$ sends $x \in X_\alpha$ to $(x, t_\alpha(m))$, where $m = \pi_X(x) \in U_\alpha$. The section $\hat{\phi}$ of $\delta_Y(P)$ induces a section $\hat{\phi}_{\alpha\beta}$ of $P_\beta \otimes P^*_\alpha$ and hence an isomorphism $\phi_{\alpha\beta} : P_\alpha \to P_\beta$. The coherency condition on $\hat{\phi}$ ensures that the $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfy the glueing condition $\phi_{\beta\gamma}\phi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_{\alpha\gamma}$. Hence we can use the standard clutching construction to form a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle Q from the P_α and one can check that there is an isomorphism $P \simeq p_1^{-1}Q$. ☐

As an example of this, suppose we have a closed, integral two form F on a non-simply connected manifold M. If $\tilde{M}$ denotes the simply connected universal covering space of M then we can lift F to a closed integral two form on $\tilde{M}$ which we denote by $\tilde{F}$. We cannot construct the tautological line bundle on M directly, but we can on $\tilde{M}$. Let $\tilde{L}$ denote the line bundle on $\tilde{M}$ with curvature $\tilde{F}$ constructed by the tautological method. We know that $\tilde{L}$ descends to a line bundle L on M. In [14] it is shown that if $\pi_1(M)$ has no non-trivial extensions by $\mathbb{C}^\times$, then there is an isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1^{-1}\tilde{L} \to \pi_2^{-1}\tilde{L}$ satisfying 3.6 above.

Suppose we are given two bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) together with bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f}, \bar{g} : P \to Q$. Let $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id}_M)$ and $\bar{g} = (\hat{g}, g, \mathrm{id}_M)$. Form a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{D} \to X$ by letting $\hat{D} = (f, g)^{-1}Q$, where $(f, g) : X \to Y^{[2]}$ is the map which sends $x \in X$ to $(f(x), g(x)) \in Y^{[2]}$. We have the following series of lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *$\hat{D}$ descends to a bundle $D_{\bar{f},\bar{g}} \to M$, that is, we have $\hat{D} \cong \pi_X^{-1}D_{\bar{f},\bar{g}}$, where π_X denotes the projection $X \to M$.*

Proof. We first define a map $\phi : \pi_1^{-1}\hat{D} \to \pi_2^{-1}\hat{D}$. If $\xi \in \pi_1^{-1}\hat{D}_{(x_1,x_2)} = Q_{(f(x_2),g(x_2))}$ then choose $p \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$ and define

$$\phi(\xi) = m_Q(m_Q(\hat{g}(p)^* \otimes \xi) \otimes \hat{f}(p)).$$

Here m_Q is the bundle gerbe multiplication in Q. Clearly ϕ is independent of the choice of p. We need to show that ϕ is a descent isomorphism, ie that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}\hat{D} = \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}\hat{D} \arrow[rr, "\pi_2^{-1}\phi"] \arrow[dr, "\pi_1^{-1}\phi"'] & & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}\hat{D} = \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}\hat{D} \\
& \pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}\hat{D} = \pi_3^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}\hat{D} \arrow[ur, "\pi_3^{-1}\phi"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So let $\xi \in Q_{(f(x_3),g(x_3))}$, $p \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$, and $p' \in P_{(x_2,x_3)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_3^{-1}\phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}\phi(\xi) &= \pi_3^{-}\phi(m_Q(m_Q(\hat{g}(p')^* \otimes \xi) \otimes \hat{f}(p'))) \\
&= m_Q(m_Q(\hat{g}(p)^* \otimes m_Q(m_Q(\hat{g}(p')^* \otimes \xi) \otimes \hat{f}(p'))) \otimes \hat{f}(p)) \\
&= \vdots \\
&= m_Q(m_Q(\hat{g}(m_P(p' \otimes p))^* \otimes \xi) \otimes \hat{f}(m_P(p' \otimes p))) \\
&= \pi_2^{-1}\phi(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\hat{D}$ descends to a bundle $D_{\bar{f},\bar{g}}$. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose we are given bundle gerbes* (P, X, M) , (Q, Y, M) *and three bundle gerbe morphisms* $\bar{f}_i = (\hat{f}_i, f_i, id_M) : P \to Q$ *for* $i = 1, 2, 3$. *Then we have the following isomorphism of* $\mathbb{C}^\times$ *bundles on* M

$$D_{\bar{f}_2,\bar{f}_3} \otimes D_{\bar{f}_1,\bar{f}_2} \cong D_{\bar{f}_1,\bar{f}_3}.$$

Proof. The bundle gerbe product in Q defines an isomorphism $\psi : (f_2, f_3)^{-1}Q \otimes (f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \to (f_1, f_3)^{-1}Q$. We need to check that this isomorphism is compatible with the descent isomorphism defined in Lemma 3.3. That is, we need to check that the following diagram over $X^{[2]}$ commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}(f_2, f_3)^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}\psi"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{23}\otimes\phi_{12}"'] & \pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_3)^{-1}Q \arrow[d, "\phi_{13}"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}(f_2, f_3)^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}\psi"] & \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_3)^{-1}Q,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\phi_{ij} : \pi_1^{-1}(f_i, f_j)^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}(f_i, f_j)^{-1}Q$ is the descent isomorphism constructed in Lemma 3.3. Let $\xi_{23} \in \pi_1^{-1}(f_2, f_3)^{-1}Q_{(x_1,x_2)}$, $\xi_{12} \in \pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q_{(x_1,x_2)}$, and $p \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_2^{-1}\psi \circ (\phi_{23} \otimes \phi_{12})(\xi_{23} \otimes \xi_{12}) \\
= \; & \pi_2^{-1}\psi(m_Q(m_Q(\hat{f}_3(p)^* \otimes \xi_{23}) \otimes \hat{f}_2(p)) \otimes m_Q(m_Q(\hat{f}_2(p)^* \otimes \xi_{12}) \otimes \hat{f}_1(p))) \\
= \; & m_Q(m_Q(m_Q(\hat{f}_3(p)^* \otimes \xi_{23}) \otimes \hat{f}_2(p)) \otimes m_Q(m_Q(\hat{f}_2(p)^* \otimes \xi_{12}) \otimes \hat{f}_1(p))) \\
= \; & \cdots \\
= \; & m_Q(m_Q(\hat{f}_3(p)^* \otimes m_Q(\xi_{23} \otimes \xi_{12})) \otimes \hat{f}_1(p)) \\
= \; & \phi_{13} \circ \pi_1^{-1}\psi(\xi_{23} \otimes \xi_{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

☐

Lemma 3.5. *Given three bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) and (R, Z, M) together with pairs of bundle gerbe morphisms*

$$\bar{f}_i = (\hat{f}_i, f_i, id_M) : P \to Q$$
$$\bar{g}_i = (\hat{g}_i, g_i, id_M) : Q \to R$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on M.

$$D_{\bar{g}_1 \circ \bar{f}_1, \bar{g}_2 \circ \bar{f}_2} \cong D_{\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2} \otimes D_{\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2}.$$

Proof. First of all define a map $< g_1, g_2 >: Y^{[2]} \to Z^{[2]}$ by mapping a point (y_1, y_2) of $Y^{[2]}$ to the point $(g_1(y_1), g_2(y_2))$ of $Z^{[2]}$. We then have $(g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2) =< g_1, g_2 > \circ (f_1, f_2)$. Note that $< g_1, g_2 >^{-1} R \cong (g_2^{[2]})^{-1} R \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(g_1, g_2)^{-1} R$. We therefore have the following series of isomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2)^{-1} R &= (< g_1, g_2 > \circ (f_1, f_2))^{-1} R \\
&= (f_1, f_2)^{-1} < g_1, g_2 >^{-1} R \\
&= (f_1, f_2)^{-1}((g_2^{[2]})^{-1} R \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(g_1, g_2)^{-1} R) \\
&= (f_1, f_2)^{-1}(g_2^{[2]})^{-1} R \otimes f_2^{-1} \pi_Z^{-1} D_{\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2} \\
&= (f_1, f_2)^{-1}(g_2^{[2]})^{-1} R \otimes \pi_X^{-1} D_{\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where π_X denotes the projection $\pi_X : X \to M$ and so on. The $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle map $\hat{g}_2 : Q \to R$ pulls back to define an isomorphism

$$\psi = (f_1, f_2)^{-1} \hat{g}_2 : (f_1, f_2)^{-1} Q \to (f_1, f_2)^{-1}(g_2^{[2]})^{-1} R.$$

We need to show that ψ is compatible with the descent isomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1} Q \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}\psi"] \arrow[d, "\phi_Q"'] & \pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}(g_2^{[2]})^{-1} R \arrow[d, "\phi_R"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1} Q \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}\psi"] & \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}(g_2^{[2]})^{-1} R.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This is clearly true, since ψ is induced by the bundle gerbe morphism $\hat{g}_2$. Therefore there is an isomorphism $\pi_X^{-1} D_{\bar{g}_1 \circ \bar{f}_1, \bar{g}_2 \circ \bar{f}_2} \cong \pi_X^{-1}(D_{\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2} \otimes D_{\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2})$ which is compatible with the descent isomorphisms. Therefore we must have $D_{\bar{g}_1 \circ \bar{f}_1, \bar{g}_2 \circ \bar{f}_2} \cong D_{\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2} \otimes D_{\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2}$. ☐

Motivated by the results above, we make the following definitions.

Definition 3.8. Let (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) be bundle gerbes and suppose $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id}_M)$ and $\bar{g} = (\hat{g}, g, \mathrm{id}_M)$ are bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f}, \bar{g} : P \to Q$. A *transformation* of bundle gerbe morphisms $\theta : \bar{f} \Rightarrow \bar{g}$ is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{\bar{f}, \bar{g}}$ on M.

Definition 3.9. Let (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) be bundle gerbes. A bundle gerbe *equivalence* consists of a pair of bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id}_M)$ and $\bar{g} = (\hat{g}, g, \mathrm{id}_M)$ with $\bar{f} : P \to Q$ and $\bar{g} : Q \to P$ such that there exist transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms $\theta_1 : \bar{g} \circ \bar{f} \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_P$ and $\theta_2 : \bar{f} \circ \bar{g} \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_Q$.

Now we can look at bundle gerbe morphisms in a different light. We can view the collection of all bundle gerbes on a manifold M as objects of a *2-category* $\mathcal{B}$ (for the definition of a 2-category we refer to Section 8.1). For given two bundle gerbes P and Q on M we can define a category $\mathrm{Hom}(P,Q)$ whose objects consist of all bundle gerbe morphisms from P to Q. Given two objects $\bar{f}_1$ and $\bar{f}_2$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(P,Q)$, that is 1-arrows of $\mathcal{B}$, the arrows from $\bar{f}_1$ to $\bar{f}_2$, ie 2-arrows of $\mathcal{B}$, consist of all transformations θ_{f_1,f_2} from $\bar{f}_1$ to $\bar{f}_2$. Composition of arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(P,Q)$ is by tensor product, with the identifications of Lemma 3.4. (For details on 2-categories and bicategories see Chapter 8). We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *$\mathcal{B}$ as defined above is a 2-category.*

All we really need to do is show that there is composition functor, in other words if P, Q and R are three objects of $\mathcal{B}$, then there is a functor

$$m : \mathrm{Hom}(Q,R) \times \mathrm{Hom}(P,Q) \to \mathrm{Hom}(P,R)$$

which is associative. If we define the action of m on objects to be composition of bundle gerbe morphisms and the action of m on 2-arrows to be tensor product, with the identifications of Lemma 3.5, then this condition is clearly satisfied.

As mentioned in [33], an annoying feature of bundle gerbes is that two bundle gerbes can have the same Dixmier-Douady class and yet fail to be isomorphic by a bundle gerbe isomorphism nor even equivalent by a bundle gerbe equivalence. There is a way to circumvent this problem by introducing the notion of a *stable morphism* between bundle gerbes. The following definition is due to M. Murray.

Definition 3.10. Let (P,X,M) and (Q,Y,M) be bundle gerbes. A *stable morphism* $f : P \to Q$ is a choice of trivialisation (L_f, ϕ_f) of the bundle gerbe $P^* \otimes Q$, ie a choice of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $L_f \to X \times_M Y$ and a choice of isomorphism $\phi_f : \delta(L_f) \to P^* \otimes Q$ such that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\delta(L_f) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\delta(L_f) \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}\phi_f \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\phi_f"] \arrow[d] & \pi_1^{-1}(P^* \otimes Q) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}(P^* \otimes Q) \arrow[d] \\
\pi_2^{-1}\delta(L_f) \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}\phi_f"] & \pi_2^{-1}(P^* \otimes Q),
\end{tikzcd}$$

see Definition 3.3. Suppose we have two stable morphisms $f, g : P \to Q$ corresponding to choices of trivialisations (L_f, ϕ_f) and (L_g, ϕ_g) of $P^* \otimes Q$ respectively. Then we have an isomorphism $\phi_g^{-1} \circ \phi_f : \delta(L_f) \to \delta(L_g)$ which corresponds to a section $\hat{\phi}_{f,g} \in \Gamma(\delta(L_f^* \otimes L_g))$. Because the isomorphisms ϕ_f and ϕ_g commute with the bundle gerbe products, the section $\hat{\phi}_{f,g}$ will satisfy the coherency condition $\delta(\hat{\phi}_{f,g}) = \underline{1}$. Therefore there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{f,g}$ on M and an isomorphism $L_f^* \otimes L_g \simeq D_{f,g}$. We define a transformation $\theta : f \Rightarrow g$ to be a section $\hat{\theta}$ of $L_f^* \otimes L_g$ such that $\delta(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\phi}_{f,g}$. Thus $\hat{\theta}$ will descend to a section θ of $D_{f,g}$.

Note 3.2. 1. Note that a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id}_M) : P \to Q$ gives rise to a stable morphism $f : P \to Q$ because we can define a trivialisation L_f of $P^* \otimes Q$ by letting L_f have fibre $L_f(x,y)$ at $(x,y) \in X \times_M Y$ equal to $Q_{(f(x),y)}$ — the bundle gerbe product on Q and the isomorphism $P \simeq (f^{[2]})^{-1}Q$ provides an isomorphism $Q_{(f(x_2),y_2)} \otimes Q^*_{(f(x_1),y_1)} \simeq Q_{(y_1,y_2)} \otimes P^*_{(x_1,x_2)}$. Suppose we have stable morphisms $f : P \to Q$ and $g : P \to Q$ arising from bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f}, \bar{g} : P \to Q$. Then the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{f,g}$ of Definition 3.10 above is the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{\bar{f},\bar{g}}$ of Lemma 3.3.

2. Note that a stable morphism exists if and only if P and Q have the same Dixmier-Douady class.

3. Suppose we have bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) and stable morphisms $f, g, h : P \to Q$ corresponding to trivialisations (L_f, ϕ_f), (L_g, ϕ_g) and (L_h, ϕ_h) respectively. Suppose also that we have transformations $\theta_{f,g} : f \Rightarrow g$ and $\theta_{g,h} : g \Rightarrow h$ corresponding to sections $\hat{\theta}_{f,g} \in \Gamma(L_f^* \otimes L_g)$ and $\hat{\theta}_{g,h} \in \Gamma(L_g^* \otimes L_h)$ respectively. We would like to define a composed transformation $\theta_{g,h}\theta_{f,g} : f \Rightarrow h$. We do this as follows. Let $\theta_{g,h}\theta_{f,g}$ denote the image of the section $\hat{\theta}_{f,g} \otimes \hat{\theta}_{g,h}$ of $L_f^* \otimes L_g \otimes L_g^* \otimes L_h$ under the isomorphism can : $L_f^* \otimes L_g \otimes L_g^* \otimes L_h \to L_f^* \otimes L_h$ induced by contraction. To show that $\theta_{g,h}\theta_{f,g}$ defines a transformation we need to show that $\delta(\theta_{g,h}\theta_{f,g}) = \hat{\phi}_{f,h}$. We have $\delta(\hat{\theta}_{f,g} \otimes \hat{\theta}_{g,h}) = \hat{\phi}_{f,g} \otimes \hat{\phi}_{g,h}$ and $\hat{\phi}_{f,g} \otimes \hat{\phi}_{g,h}$ is mapped to $\hat{\phi}_{f,h}$ under the isomorphism $\delta(L_f)^* \otimes \delta(L_g) \otimes \delta(L_g)^* \otimes \delta(L_h) \to \delta(L_f)^* \otimes \delta(L_h) = \delta(L_f^* \otimes L_h)$. Therefore we can compose transformations. This operation of composition is clearly associative. Note that there is an identity transformation $1_f : f \Rightarrow f$ and so it follows that we have defined a category $\mathrm{Hom}_s(P, Q)$ whose objects consist of the stable morphisms $P \to Q$ and whose arrows are the transformations between these stable morphisms. Finally note that all the arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}_s(P, Q)$ are invertible.

Suppose we have bundle gerbes (P, X, M), (Q, Y, M) and (R, Z, M) and stable morphisms $f : P \to Q$ and $g : Q \to R$ corresponding to trivialisations (L_f, ϕ_f) and (L_g, ϕ_g) of $P^* \otimes Q$ and $Q^* \otimes R$ respectively. So ϕ_f is an isomorphism $P^* \otimes Q \simeq \delta(L_f) = \pi_1^{-1} L_f \otimes \pi_2^{-1} L_f^*$ and ϕ_g is an isomorphism $Q^* \otimes R \simeq \pi_1^{-1} L_g \otimes \pi_2^{-1} L_g^*$. Both isomorphisms respect the bundle gerbe products. Fibrewise, ϕ_f is an isomorphism

$$P^*(x_1, x_2) \otimes Q(y_1, y_2) \simeq L_f(x_2, y_2) \otimes L_f^*(x_1, y_1)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$P(x_1, x_2) \otimes L_f(x_2, y_2) \simeq Q(y_1, y_2) \otimes L_f(x_1, y_1).$$

Similarly we can write

$$Q(y_1, y_2) \otimes L_g(y_2, z_2) \simeq R(z_1, z_2) \otimes L_g(y_1, z_1).$$

We want to define a trivialisation $(L_{g \circ f}, \phi_{g \circ f})$ of $P^* \otimes R$ corresponding to a stable morphism $g \circ f : P \to R$. Consider the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle L on $X \times_M Z \times_M Y^{[2]}$ whose fibre at a point $(x, z, y_1, y_2) \in X \times_M Z \times_M Y^{[2]}$ is

$$L(x, z, y_1, y_2) = L_f(x, y_1) \otimes L_g(y_2, z) \otimes Q(y_1, y_2).$$

We will show there is a section $\hat{\phi}$ of $\delta_{Y^{[2]}}(L)$ on $X \times_M Z \times_M (Y^{[2]})^{[2]}$ satisfying $\delta_{Y^{[2]}}(\hat{\phi}) = \underline{1}$.

We construct $\hat{\phi}$ as an isomorphism given fibrewise by $L(x, z, y_1, y_2) \to L(x, z, y_3, y_4)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_f(x, y_1) \otimes L_g(y_2, z) \otimes Q(y_1, y_2) \\
\simeq\; & L_f(x, y_1) \otimes L_g(y_2, z) \otimes Q(y_4, y_2) \otimes Q(y_3, y_4) \otimes Q(y_1, y_3) \\
\simeq\; & L_f(x, y_3) \otimes P(x, x) \otimes L_g(y_2, z) \otimes Q(y_4, y_2) \otimes Q(y_3, y_4) \\
\simeq\; & L_f(x, y_3) \otimes L_g(y_2, z) \otimes Q(y_4, y_2) \otimes Q(y_3, y_4) \\
\simeq\; & L_f(x, y_3) \otimes L_g(y_4, z) \otimes R(z, z) \otimes Q(y_3, y_4) \\
\simeq\; & L_f(x, y_3) \otimes L_g(y_4, z) \otimes Q(y_3, y_4).
\end{aligned}$$

One can check that this isomorphism is coherent given a third point (y_5, y_6) of $Y^{[2]}$. Hence by Lemma 3.2 L descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $L_{g\circ f}$ on $X \times_M Z$. We now need to show that $L_{g\circ f}$ trivialises $P^* \otimes R$. Consider $\delta(L)$ on $(X \times_M Z \times_M Y^{[2]})^{[2]}$. It has fibre at a point $((x_1, z_1, y_1, y_2), (x_2, z_2, y_1', y_2'))$ of $(X \times_M Z \times_M Y^{[2]})^{[2]}$ equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta(L)((x_1, z_1, y_1, y_2), (x_2, z_2, y_1', y_2')) \\
=\; & L(x_2, z_2, y_1', y_2') \otimes L^*(x_1, z_1, y_1, y_2) \\
=\; & L_f(x_2, y_1') \otimes L_g(y_2', z_2) \otimes Q(y_1', y_2') \otimes L_f^*(x_1, y_1) \otimes L_g^*(y_2, z_1) \otimes Q^*(y_1, y_2) \\
\simeq\; & P^*(x_1, x_2) \otimes Q(y_1, y_1') \otimes Q(y_2, y_2') \otimes R(z_1, z_2) \otimes Q(y_1', y_2') \otimes Q^*(y_1, y_2) \\
\simeq\; & P^*(x_1, x_2) \otimes R(z_1, z_2).
\end{aligned}$$

This isomorphism commutes with the bundle gerbe products on $\delta(L)$ and $P^* \otimes R$ and is also compatible with the descent isomorphism for $\delta(L)$ induced from the descent isomorphism for L. Hence this isomorphism descends to $(X \times_M Z)^{[2]}$ to provide a trivialisation $\phi_{g\circ f} : \delta(L_{g\circ f}) \simeq P^* \otimes R$. We define the composite stable morphism $g \circ f : P \to R$ to be the trivialisation $(L_{g\circ f}, \phi_{g\circ f})$.

Given bundle gerbes (P, X, M), (Q, Y, M) and (R, Z, M) and stable morphisms $f_1, f_2 : P \to Q$ and $g_1, g_2 : Q \to R$ together with transformations $\theta : f_1 \Rightarrow f_2$ and $\rho : g_1 \Rightarrow g_2$, one can define a composed transformation $\rho \circ \theta : g_1 \circ f_1 \Rightarrow g_2 \circ f_2$ using a similar technique to that in the preceding paragraph (except that we now descend a morphism). One can show that this operation of composing transformations is compatible with the operations of composing transformations in the categories $\mathrm{Hom}_s(P, Q)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_s(Q, R)$. It follows that we have defined a functor

$$\circ : \mathrm{Hom}_s(P, Q) \times \mathrm{Hom}_s(Q, R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_s(P, R).$$

We would like to know whether or not this operation of composition is associative. Suppose we have bundle gerbes (P, X, M), (Q, Y, M), (R, Z, M) and (S, W, M) together with stable morphisms $f : P \to Q$, $g : Q \to R$ and $h : R \to S$. Let $p : X \times_M Y^{[2]} \times_M Z^{[2]} \times_M W \to X \times_M W$ denote the natural projection obtained by omitting the factors $Y^{[2]}$ and $Z^{[2]}$ in the fibre product. Then there is an isomorphism

$$p^{-1}L_{h\circ(g\circ f)}(x, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, w) \simeq L_h(z_2, w) \otimes L_g(y_2, z_1) \otimes L_f(x, y_1) \otimes Q(y_1, y_2) \otimes R(z_1, z_2)$$

of fibres at a point $(x, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, w)$. At the same point there is an isomorphism

$$p^{-1}L_{(h\circ g)\circ f}(x, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, w) \simeq L_h(z_2, w) \otimes L_g(y_2, z_1) \otimes L_f(x, y_1) \otimes Q(y_1, y_2) \otimes R(z_1, z_2).$$

It follows from this that $p^{-1}L_{h\circ(g\circ f)} \simeq p^{-1}L_{(h\circ g)\circ f}$ and hence that $L_{h\circ(g\circ f)} \simeq L_{(h\circ g)\circ f}$. Furthermore this isomorphism is compatible with the isomorphisms $\phi_{h\circ(g\circ f)}$ and $\phi_{(h\circ g)\circ f}$

and hence defines a transformation $\theta_{f,g,h} : h \circ (g \circ f) \Rightarrow (h \circ g) \circ f$. One can show that this transformation $\theta_{f,g,h}$ satisfies a coherency condition given a fifth bundle gerbe (T, V, M) and a fourth stable morphism $k : S \to T$. This coherency condition is

$$(\theta_{g,h,k} \circ 1_f)\theta_{f,h\circ g,k}(1_k \circ \theta_{f,g,h}) = \theta_{f,g,k\circ h}\theta_{g\circ f,h,k}.$$

Given a stable morphism $f : P \to Q$ between bundle gerbes $P = (P, X, M)$ and $Q = (Q, Y, M)$, there is a natural stable morphism $\tilde{f} : Q \to P$. If f is given by a trivialisation (L_f, ϕ_f) of $P^* \otimes Q$, then $\tilde{f}$ corresponds to the trivialisation (L_f^*, ϕ_f^*) of $Q^* \otimes P$. Let us calculate the trivialisation of $P^* \otimes P$ corresponding to the stable morphism $\tilde{f} \circ f$. First we form the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle L on $X \times_M X \times_M Y^{[2]}$ whose fibre at a point (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) of $X^{[2]} \times_M Y^{[2]}$ is

$$L(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = L_f(x_1, y_1) \otimes L_f^*(x_2, y_2) \otimes Q(y_1, y_2).$$

Since (L_f, ϕ_f) is a trivialisation of $P^* \otimes Q$, we see there is an isomorphism

$$L(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \simeq P(x_1, x_2).$$

This isomorphism preserves the descent isomorphism for L and so we see there is an isomorphism $L_{\tilde{f}\circ f} \simeq P$. This is a transformation $\tilde{f} \circ f \Rightarrow 1_P$, where 1_P is the stable morphism $P \to P$ induced by the identity bundle gerbe morphism $P \to P$. Similarly there is a transformation $f \circ \tilde{f} \Rightarrow 1_Q$. These transformations are compatible with the transformations $\theta_{f,g,h}$ in the sense of Definition 8.2. We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.9. *Given a manifold M, we can form a bicategory $\mathcal{B}_s$ whose objects are the bundle gerbes on M and where the 1-arrows are the objects of the categories $Hom_s(P, Q)$ and where the 2-arrows are the arrows of the categories $Hom_s(P, Q)$. This bicategory is in fact a bigroupoid.*

We refer to Definitions 8.1 and 8.2 for the precise meanings of the terms *bicategory* and *bigroupoid*.

Chapter 4

Singular theory of bundle gerbes

4.1 Construction of a classifying map

Definition 4.1. The *universal bundle gerbe* $(\widetilde{EB\mathbb{C}^\times}, EB\mathbb{C}^\times, BB\mathbb{C}^\times)$ is the lifting bundle gerbe associated to the principal $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $EB\mathbb{C}^\times \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ via the short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \to E\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times.$$

So $\widetilde{EB\mathbb{C}^\times} \to (EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^{[2]}$ is the pullback $\tau^{-1}E\mathbb{C}^\times$ of the universal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $E\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ via the canonical map $\tau : (EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^{[2]} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$.

Let $\widetilde{EB\mathbb{C}^\times}$ have Dixmier-Douady class ω in $H^3(BB\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose (P, Y, M) is a bundle gerbe on M with Dixmier-Douady class $DD(P)$ in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We will review a construction of [18] which shows how to construct a map $g : M \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ from a Čech cocycle g_{ijk} representing the Dixmier-Douady class of P. It will follow from this that the two classes $DD(P)$ and $g^*\omega$ are equal.

Suppose the class $DD(P)$ is represented by a Čech 2-cocycle $g_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, relative to a Leray cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M, in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ via the isomorphism induced by the short exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups on M

$$1 \to \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to 1,$$

see Section 3.1. Thus g_{ijk} satisfies the Čech 2-cocycle condition

$$g_{jkl}g_{ikl}^{-1}g_{ijl}g_{ijk}^{-1} = 1 \tag{4.1}$$

on U_{ijkl}. We will construct maps $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ which satisfy the Čech 1-cocycle condition $g_{jk}g_{ik}^{-1}g_{ij} = 1$ on U_{ijk}. These will be transition functions for a $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on M, whose classifying map will be the map $g : M \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ referred to above.

We will make the assumption that every manifold M we deal with has a locally finite, countable Leray cover $\mathcal{U}$ such that there is a smooth partition of unity $\{\phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ subordinate to the open cover $\mathcal{U}$. For each $m \in M$ form the subset $I_m = \{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n(m)}\}$ of I consisting of those $i \in I$ such that $\phi_i(m)$ is not zero. Since our Leray covering is locally finite, this subset will always be finite. For $r = 0, 1, \dots, n(m)$, let

$$\psi_r(m) = \phi_{i_0}(m) + \cdots + \phi_{i_{r-1}}(m).$$

Then we will have $\psi_0(m) \leq \cdots \leq \psi_{n(m)}(m)$ and using the non-homogenous coordinates on $B\mathbb{C}^\times$, see Section 2.2 and [18], we can define $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$g_{ij}(m) = |\psi_1(m), \dots , \psi_n(m), [g_{iji_0}(m)^{-1} g_{iji_1}(m)| \cdots |g_{iji_{n-1}}(m)^{-1} g_{iji_n}(m)]|$$

where $m \in U_{ij}$, $n = n(m)$ and $\{i_0, \dots , i_{n(m)}\}$ is the set I_m above. Notice that this makes sense, that is respects the face and degeneracy operators, precisely because each $g_{iji_r}^{-1} g_{iji_s}$ defines a trivial cocycle. By Lemma 1.2 of [18] we have that $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is smooth — that is smooth in the differentiable space sense of [16] and [32] — see also Section 2.4 — and hence is continuous.

Lemma 4.1. *The maps $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfy the 1-cocycle condition*

$$g_{jk}(m) g_{ik}(m)^{-1} g_{ij}(m) = 1$$

on U_{ijk}.

Proof. To show this we need to use the group multiplication in $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ using the non-homogenous coordinates on $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ as reviewed in [18] and see also Section 2.2. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{ij} g_{jk} \\ = \; & |\psi_0, \dots , \psi_n, [g_{iji_0}^{-1} g_{iji_1} g_{jki_0}^{-1} g_{jki_1}| \cdots |g_{iji_{n-1}}^{-1} g_{iji_n} g_{jki_{n-1}}^{-1} g_{jki_n}]| \\ = \; & |\psi_0, \dots , \psi_n, [g_{iki_0}^{-1} g_{iki_1}| \cdots |g_{iki_{n-1}} g_{iki_n}]| \\ = \; & g_{ik}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the 2-cocycle condition 4.1 to write $g_{iji_0}^{-1} g_{iji_1} g_{jki_0}^{-1} g_{jki_1}$ equal to $g_{iki_0}^{-1} g_{iki_1}$ and so on. ☐

Hence the g_{ij} represent transition functions for a $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle X_P on M. We want to show that the class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ determined by X_P and the Dixmier-Douady class $DD(P)$ of the bundle gerbe P are the same.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\tilde{X}_P, X_P, M)$ denote the lifting bundle gerbe associated to X_P via the short exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^\times \to E\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times \to 1.$$

Then $DD(\tilde{X}_P) = DD(P)$.

Proof. We will calculate the Dixmier-Douady class of $\tilde{X}_P$ relative to the same open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of M used above. We choose sections s_i of $X_P \to M$ above each U_i and form the pullback $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $(s_i, s_j)^{-1}\tilde{X}_P$ over U_{ij}. Since the sections s_i and s_j are related by the transition cocycles g_{ij}, we see that a classifying map for $(s_i, s_j)^{-1}\tilde{X}_P$ is given by $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$.

Thus choosing sections σ_{ij} of $(s_i, s_j)^{-1}\tilde{X}_P \to U_{ij}$ corresponds to choosing lifts $\hat{g}_{ij} : U_{ij} \to E\mathbb{C}^\times$ of the g_{ij}. We have a canonical choice for the $\hat{g}_{ij}$ given in the non-homogenous coordinates by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{ij}(m) \; = \; & |\psi_0(m), \dots , \psi_n(m), g_{iji_0}(m)[g_{iji_0}(m)^{-1} g_{iji_1}(m)| \cdots \\ & |g_{iji_{n-1}}(m)^{-1} g_{iji_n}(m)]|, \end{aligned}$$

where again $n = n(m)$ and the ψ_r are defined as above. The $\hat{g}_{ij}$ need not be cocycles and indeed their failure to be is measured exactly by the 2-cocycle g_{ijk} for a calculation similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.1 above shows that

$$\hat{g}_{jk}\hat{g}_{ik}^{-1}\hat{g}_{ij} = i(g_{ijk}),$$

where $i : \mathbb{C}^\times \to E\mathbb{C}^\times$ is the homomorphism induced by the inclusion of the subgroup $\mathbb{C}^\times$. In other words, the lifting bundle gerbe $\tilde{X}_P$ has Dixmier-Douady class equal to $[g_{ijk}]$. ☐

4.2 The singular theory of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles

In this section we will show that there is an analogue of the curvature two form F associated to a connection one form A on a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\pi : P \to X$ in singular cohomology. To be more precise, we will construct a singular 1-cochain $A \in S^1(P;\mathbb{Z})$ and a singular 2-cocycle $F \in S^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\pi^*F = dA$.

We first digress for a moment. Recall from Chapter 3 that we can replace the structure group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ of a bundle gerbe $P \to X^{[2]}$ with any abelian Lie group A and still get a well defined notion of bundle gerbe. In particular we can replace $\mathbb{C}^\times$ by $\mathbb{Z}$ and get the notion of a '$\mathbb{Z}$ bundle gerbe'. $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle gerbes arise whenever we have a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to X$, since we have the canonical map $\tau : P^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ defined by $p_2 = p_1\tau(p_1, p_2)$ for p_1 and p_2 points in the same fibre of P. Then we can pullback the universal $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ with the map τ to get a $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle $\tilde{P} \to P^{[2]}$. Because the map τ satisfies $\tau(p_2, p_3)\tau(p_1, p_2) = \tau(p_1, p_3)$ we clearly get an associative product on $\tilde{P}$ and hence the triple $(\tilde{P}, P, X)$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle gerbe. Of course this is a rather artificial construction, but it does have the useful property that if one calculates the class in $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ defined by the $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle gerbe $\tilde{P}$ then one finds that it is equal to the Chern class of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P (as one would hope). To see this we first choose an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X such that there exist local sections $s_i : U_i \to P$ of $P \to X$. We then form the pullback $\mathbb{Z}$ bundles $(s_i, s_j)^{-1}\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_{ij}$ and choose sections $\sigma_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \tilde{P}_{ij}$ — this is like choosing lifts $z_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}$ of the transition functions $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ of P. Finally we can define an integer valued Čech 2-cocycle $n_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $m(\sigma_{jk} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \sigma_{ij}) = \sigma_{ik} + n_{ijk}$ — this translates into the condition $z_{jk} + z_{ij} = z_{ik} + n_{ijk}$. From this it is clear that $[n_{ijk}]$ is the image of $[g_{ij}]$ under the isomorphism $\check{H}^1(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times) \simeq \check{H}^2(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M)$ induced from the long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology arising from the short exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times.$$

Therefore the class $[n_{ijk}]$ in $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is the Chern class of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a singular cocycle $c \in Z^1(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ and a singular cochain $b \in S^0(\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$d_0^*c - d_1^*c + d_2^*c = db \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$d_0^*b - d_1^*b + d_2^*b - d_3^*b = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Here the d_i denote the face operators in the simplicial manifold $N\mathbb{C}^\times$.

Proof. First of all note that a singular 0-chain in $S_0(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{Z})$ is a linear combination of 0-simplices $\sigma : \Delta^0 \to \mathbb{Z}$ with integer coefficients. Since Δ^0 is just a point, it follows that we may identify a singular 0-chain of $S_0(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{Z})$ with a formal linear combination $n_1m_1 + \cdots + n_km_k$. A singular 0-cochain is a linear map $S_0(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$. There is a canonical choice of a singular 0-cochain in $S^0(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{Z})$, namely the linear map which sends a formal linear combination $n_1m_1+\cdots+n_km_k$ to the integer $n_1m_1+\cdots+n_km_k$. Let us call this singular 0-cochain a. It has the property that $\mathrm{pr}_1^*a+\mathrm{pr}_2^*a = m^*a$, where pr_1 and pr_2 are the projections on the first and second factors of $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ respectively, and where $m : \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is addition. This is because a singular 0-chain of $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ may be identified in the same way as above with a formal linear combination $n_1(m_1, m_1^{'})+\cdots+n_k(m_k, m_k^{'})$ and it is easy to see that the value of m^*a on such a 0-chain is equal to the value of $\mathrm{pr}_1^*a+\mathrm{pr}_2^*a$ on such a 0-chain. We also have $da = 0$, where d is the singular coboundary, since a singular 1-chain of $S_1(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{Z})$ must be a linear combination of constant maps $\Delta^1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ with integer coefficients from which it follows that da evaluated at such a 1-chain must be zero.

Next we have the short exact sequence of groups $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, where $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the inclusion and $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the map sending $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)$. We will think of $\mathbb{C}$ as a 'principal $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle' on $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Form the fibre product $\mathbb{C}^{[2]}$. We then have a canonical map $\tau : \mathbb{C}^{[2]} \to \mathbb{Z}$. Form a 0-cochain τ^*a of $S^0(\mathbb{C}^{[2]};\mathbb{Z})$ by pullback with τ. The property $\mathrm{pr}_1^*a + \mathrm{pr}_2^*a = m^*a$ of a shows that $\delta(\tau^*a) = 0$ in $S^0(\mathbb{C}^{[3]};\mathbb{Z})$, where $\delta : S^0(\mathbb{C}^{[2]};\mathbb{Z}) \to S^0(\mathbb{C}^{[3]};\mathbb{Z})$ is the coboundary defined in Proposition 3.6 by adding the pullback maps π_i^* with alternating signs. It follows from Proposition 3.6 that there exists $\hat{c} \in S^0(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\tau^*a = \delta(\hat{c})$. Since $da = 0$, we have $\delta(d\hat{c}) = 0$ so by Proposition 3.6 again we get $d\hat{c} = p^*c$ for some $c \in S^1(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$, where $p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the projection.

Denote multiplication in $\mathbb{C}^\times$ by m and denote multiplication (addition) in $\mathbb{C}$ by $\hat{m}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C})^{[2]} \arrow[r, "(\tau\circ\mathrm{pr}_1^{[2]}{,}\tau\circ\mathrm{pr}_2^{[2]})"] \arrow[d, "\hat{m}^{[2]}"'] & \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{C}^{[2]} \arrow[r, "\tau"] & \mathbb{Z},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where now $\mathrm{pr}_1 : \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2 : \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the projections on the first and second factors in $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}$ respectively and $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is addition in $\mathbb{Z}$. From this diagram we get $(\hat{m}^{[2]})^*\tau^*a = (\tau\circ\mathrm{pr}_1^{[2]})^*a + (\tau\circ\mathrm{pr}_2^{[2]})^*a$ and since $\tau^*a = \delta(\hat{c})$, this becomes $\delta(\hat{m}^*\hat{c}) = \delta(\mathrm{pr}_1^*\hat{c}+\mathrm{pr}_2^*\hat{c})$. Using Proposition 3.6 again, we see that there exists $b \in S^0(\mathbb{C}^\times\times\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(p\times p)^*b = \mathrm{pr}_1^*\hat{c}-\hat{m}^*\hat{c}+\mathrm{pr}_2^*\hat{c}$, where $p\times p$ is the projection $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times\times\mathbb{C}^\times$. From this last equation, it is easy to see that b satisfies equation 4.3 above. Also from the last equation we see that $(p\times p)^*db = (p\times p)^*(\mathrm{pr}_1^*c-m^*c+\mathrm{pr}_2^*c)$, in other words equation 4.2 is satisfied. □

Note 4.1. We will briefly check here that the singular cochains $\hat{c}$ and c defined above are not identically zero and that c is not the coboundary of a 0-cochain. To solve $\tau^*a = \delta(\hat{c})$ for $\hat{c}$, recall from the proof of Proposition 3.6 that we put $\hat{c}$ equal to the 0-cochain that sends a 0-simplex $\sigma : \Delta^0 \to \mathbb{C}$ to $\tau^*a(\sigma, s(p(\sigma)))$, where $s : S_0(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z}) \to S_0(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z})$ is a section of the set map $p_* : S_0(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z}) \to S_0(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$. Since we may identify $S_0(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z})$ and $S_0(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ with the free abelian groups on the points of $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^\times$ respectively, choosing such a section s amounts to choosing a section of $p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ where we regard $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^\times$ as sets so s need not be continuous. The obvious candidate in this case is the map $s : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}$ sending $r\exp(\sqrt{-1}\theta)$ (where $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$) to $\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}(\log r + \sqrt{-1}\theta)$. Now consider the 0-simplex 1 sending the point 1 of Δ^0 to $1 \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $\hat{c}(1) = a(\tau(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\log(1), 1))) = a(\tau(0,1)) = a(1) = 1$. In a similar way one can show that $d\hat{c}$ is not identically zero, and so c is not identically zero. We will now check that we do not have $c = de$, for some $e \in S^0(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose we did, then we would have $d(\hat{c} - p^*e) = 0$ in $S^1(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z})$. This means that $\hat{c} - p^*e$ must be constant on the 0-simplexes $\Delta^0 \to \mathbb{C}$. Let this constant value be n say. Then $e + n$ defines a new 0-cochain of $S^0(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ and we have $d(e+n) = c$. Also we have $\hat{c} = p^*(e+n) = p^*e + n$ and so $\delta(\hat{c}) = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\delta(\hat{c}) = \tau^*a \neq 0$. In fact, one can give an explicit formula for c. First of all suppose we have chosen a set map $s : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}$ which is also a section of $p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. We need to find a section of $p_* : S_1(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z}) \to S_1(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$. There is a canonical way of doing this. Let $\sigma : \Delta^1 \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a 1-simplex. Then there is a unique way of lifting σ to a 1-simplex $\tilde{\sigma} : \Delta^1 \to \mathbb{C}$ with $p \circ \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(0) = s(\sigma(0))$. Now we can write down a formula for c:

$$c_\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}(1) - s(\sigma(1)),$$

where $\sigma : \Delta^1 \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a 1-simplex. It is not hard to check that c defined in this manner is closed and it value on the canonical generator of $H_1(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$, a triangle in $\mathbb{C}^\times$ around 0, is the winding number of the triangle ie 1. Hence c represents the generator or fundamental class of $H^1(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly one can write down a formula for b,

$$b_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2} = s(\sigma_1\sigma_2) - s(\sigma_1) - s(\sigma_2),$$

where $\sigma_1 : \Delta^0 \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\sigma_2 : \Delta^0 \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ are 0-simplexes.

Now suppose we are given a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to X$. Let π_P denote the projection $P \to X$. Let, as usual, $P^{[2]}$ denote the fibre product of P with itself over X and let $P^{[p]}$ denote the p-fold such fibre product. We have the natural map $\tau : P^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ defined by $p_2 = p_1 \cdot \tau(p_1, p_2)$ for p_1 and p_2 in the same fibre of P. τ satisfies

$$\tau(p_2, p_3)\tau(p_1, p_2) = \tau(p_1, p_3) \tag{4.4}$$

for $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \in P^{[3]}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{P} \arrow[r, "\tilde{\tau}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{\tilde{P}}"'] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "p"] \\
P^{[2]} \arrow[r, "\tau"] & \mathbb{C}^\times.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\alpha = \tau^*c$ and let $\hat{\alpha} = \tilde{\tau}^*\hat{c}$ so $d\hat{\alpha} = \pi_{\tilde{P}}^*\alpha$. Notice that even if P has zero Chern class in $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$, in which case the structure group of P will reduce to $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for some n, α will be non zero since the map $\tau : P^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is onto $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Then equations 4.2 and 4.4 imply that

$$\pi_1^*\alpha - \pi_2^*\alpha + \pi_3^*\alpha = d\beta, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\beta \in S^0(P^{[3]};\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by $\beta = (\tau \circ \pi_1, \tau \circ \pi_3)^* b$. Another way of seeing this is to note that we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\tilde{P} \times_{P^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}\tilde{P} \arrow[r, "(\pi_1^{-1}\tilde{\tau}\circ \mathrm{pr}_1, \pi_3^{-1}\tilde{\tau}\circ \mathrm{pr}_2)"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{m}_{\tilde{P}}"'] & \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "\hat{m}"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}\tilde{P} \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}\tilde{\tau}"] & \mathbb{C},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\tilde{m}_{\tilde{P}} : \pi_1^{-1}\tilde{P} \times_{P^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}\tilde{P} \to \pi_2^{-1}\tilde{P}$ is induced by $m_{\tilde{P}}$. From the commutativity of this diagram and the equation $\mathrm{pr}_1^*\hat{c} + \mathrm{pr}_2^*\hat{c} = \hat{m}^*\hat{c} + (p \times p)^* b$ in $S^0(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z})$, we get the following equation in $S^0(\pi_1^{-1}\tilde{P} \times_{P^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}\tilde{P};\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^*\pi_1^{-1}\hat{\alpha} + \mathrm{pr}_2^*\pi_3^{-1}\hat{\alpha} = \tilde{m}_{\tilde{P}}^*\pi_2^{-1}\hat{\alpha} + (\pi_{\tilde{P}} \times \pi_{\tilde{P}})^*\beta. \tag{4.6}$$

Taking d of this equation gives equation 4.5. Also it follows from equation 4.6 or equation 4.3 that $\delta(\beta) = 0$ in $S^0(P^{[4]};\mathbb{Z})$. Hence Proposition 3.6 implies that there exists $\gamma \in S^0(P^{[3]};\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\delta(\gamma) = \beta$. Then, from equation 4.5, we get $\delta(\alpha) = \delta(d\gamma)$ and hence from Proposition 3.6 again, we get $\alpha = d\gamma + \delta(A)$ for some $A \in S^1(P;\mathbb{Z})$. Since $d\alpha = 0$ we have that $\delta(dA) = 0$ and hence there exists $F \in S^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\pi_P^* F = dA$.

Lemma 4.4. *F is a representative of the Chern class of $P \to X$ in $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. The problem is to show that F is a representative in singular cohomology of the Chern class of P. A singular representative for the Chern class of P can be constructed by observing as above that P gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle gerbe $(\tilde{P}, P, X)$ on X — the lifting $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle gerbe associated to the short exact sequence of groups $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. As we saw above, the Čech 2-cocycle $n_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \mathbb{Z}$ relative to some open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X associated to the $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle gerbe $\tilde{P}$ maps to the Chern class of P under the isomorphism $\check{H}^2(X;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M) \simeq H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$.

To construct the singular cocycle representing the Chern class of P we use the fact (see [4] and the note following Proposition 3.6) that the singular Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is exact. Thus we view n_{ijk} as living in $S^0(U_{ijk};\mathbb{Z})$ and use the exactness of the singular Mayer-Vietoris sequence to solve $n_{ijk} = \delta(u_{ij})$ for some $u_{ij} \in S^0(U_{ij};\mathbb{Z})$. Since n_{ijk} is locally constant we have $dn_{ijk} = 0$ and so $\delta(du_{ij}) = 0$. Applying the exactness of the singular Mayer-Vietoris sequence again implies that there is an $A_i \in S^1(U_i;\mathbb{Z})$ so that $du_{ij} = \delta(A_i)$. Applying d to this equation gives us $\delta(dA_i) = 0$ and so there exists F' in $S^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ with $dF' = 0$ and $\delta(F') = dA_i$.

We want to show that F and F' represent the same class in cohomology. We use the same open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ as above and form the principal $\mathbb{Z}$ bundles $\tilde{P}_{ij} = (s_i, s_j)^{-1}\tilde{P}$ over U_{ij}. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{P}_{ij} \arrow[r, "\bar{(s_i,s_j)}"] \arrow[d] & \tilde{P} \arrow[r, "\tilde{\tau}"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[d] \\
U_{ij} \arrow[r, "(s_i,s_j)"] & P^{[2]} \arrow[r, "\tau"] & \mathbb{C}^\times,
\end{tikzcd}$$

which yields by composition the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{P}_{ij} \arrow[r, "\bar{g}_{ij}"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[d] \\
U_{ij} \arrow[r, "g_{ij}"] & \mathbb{C}^{\times}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We let $\hat{\alpha}_{ij} \in S^0(\tilde{P}_{ij}; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the pullback $\bar{g}_{ij}^* \hat{c}$ and we let $\alpha_{ij} \in S^1(U_{ij}; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the pullback $g_{ij}^* c$. By pulling back equation 4.6 to $S^0(\tilde{P}_{jk} \times_{U_{ijk}} \tilde{P}_{ij}; \mathbb{Z})$ we get

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^* \hat{\alpha}_{jk} + \mathrm{pr}_2^* \hat{\alpha}_{ij} = \tilde{m}_{\tilde{P}}^* \hat{\alpha}_{ik} + (\pi_{\tilde{P}_{jk}} \times_{U_{ijk}} \pi_{\tilde{P}_{ij}})^* \beta_{ijk},$$

where $\beta_{ijk} = (s_i, s_j, s_k)^* \beta$. Since $\beta = \delta(\gamma)$, we may rewrite β_{ijk} as $\gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ik} + \gamma_{ij}$ where $\gamma_{ij} = (s_i, s_j)^* \gamma$. Now the lifts $z_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \mathbb{C}$ of the g_{ij} furnish us with sections σ_{ij} of $\tilde{P}_{ij}$. Also it follows that we have $\tilde{m}_{\tilde{P}}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}) = \sigma_{ik} + n_{ijk}$. Hence from the equation above we get

$$\sigma_{jk}^* \hat{\alpha}_{jk} + \sigma_{ij}^* \hat{\alpha}_{ij} = (\sigma_{ik} + n_{ijk})^* \hat{\alpha}_{ik} + \gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ik} + \gamma_{ij}.$$

It follows from the definition of $\hat{\alpha}_{ik}$ that $(\sigma_{ik} + n_{ijk})^* \hat{\alpha}_{ik} = \sigma_{ik}^* \hat{\alpha}_{ik} + n_{ijk}$. This is because $(\sigma_{ik} + n_{ijk})^* \hat{\alpha}_{ik} = (\sigma_{ik} + n_{ijk})^* \bar{g}_{ik}^* \hat{c} = (z_{ik} + n_{ijk})^* \hat{c}$ so if we can show that $\hat{c}(\sigma + n) = \hat{c}(\sigma) + n$ for a 0-simplex $\sigma : \Delta^0 \to \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we will be done. By definition $\hat{c}(\sigma + n) = (\tau^* a)(\sigma + n, s(p(\sigma + n))) = (\tau^* a)(\sigma + n, s(p(\sigma))) = (\tau^* a)(\sigma, s(p(\sigma))) + n = \hat{c}(\sigma) + n$. Hence we have

$$\sigma_{jk}^* \hat{\alpha}_{jk} - \gamma_{jk} + \sigma_{ij}^* \hat{\alpha}_{ij} - \gamma_{ij} = \sigma_{ik}^* \hat{\alpha}_{ik} - \gamma_{ik} + n_{ijk}.$$

Therefore $\sigma_{ij}^* \hat{\alpha}_{ij} - \gamma_{ij}$ plays the role of u_{ij}. Note that $d(\sigma_{ij}^* \hat{\alpha}_{ij} - \gamma_{ij})$ equals $\sigma_{ij}^* p^* \alpha_{ij} - d\gamma_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} - d\gamma_{ij} = s_j^* A - s_i^* A$. It follows from this that F and $F^{'}$ represent the same class in cohomology. □

4.3 The singular theory of bundle gerbes

In this section we utilise the results of Section 4.2 to show that there are singular analogues of the curving and three curvature of a bundle gerbe. So let (Q, Y, M) be a bundle gerbe. We will assume that we have constructed $\hat{\alpha} \in S^0(\tilde{Q}; \mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha \in S^1(Q^{[2]}; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\beta \in S^0(Q^{[3]}; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying equations 4.5 and 4.6 leading to $A \in S^1(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ and $F \in S^2(Y^{[2]}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Form the pullback $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ bundles $\pi_i^{-1} Q$ over $Y^{[3]}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ so that there exist $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ bundle morphisms $\bar{\pi}_i : \pi_i^{-1} Q \to Q$ covering π_i as pictured in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_i^{-1} Q \arrow[r, "\bar{\pi}_i"] \arrow[d] & Q \arrow[d] \\
Y^{[3]} \arrow[r, "\pi_i"] & Y^{[2]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The natural map $\tau : Q^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ induces maps $\tau^{'} : (\pi_i^{-1} Q)^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that $\tau^{'} = \tau \circ \bar{\pi}_i^{[2]}$. Form the fibre product $\pi_1^{-1} Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1} Q$. Let τ_1 denote the map

$$(\tau \circ \bar{\pi}_1{}^{[2]} \circ p_1^{[2]}, \tau \circ \bar{\pi}_3^{[2]} \circ p_2^{[2]}) : (\pi_1^{-1} Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1} Q)^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}.$$

where p_1 and p_2 denote the projections $\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_1^{-1}Q$ and $\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_3^{-1}Q$ respectively. Also, let τ_2 denote the map $\tau \circ \bar{\pi}_2^{[2]} : (\pi_2^{-1}Q)^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. The bundle gerbe product m_Q gives rise to a map $\tilde{m}_Q : \pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q)^{[2]} \arrow[r, "\tilde{m}_Q^{[2]}"] \arrow[d, "\tau_1"'] & (\pi_2^{-1}Q)^{[2]} \arrow[d, "\tau_2"] \\
\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \arrow[r, "m"] & \mathbb{C}^\times
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence we have $\tau_2^*(\tilde{m}_Q^{[2]})^*c = \tau_1^* m^* c$. Using equation 4.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_1^* m^* c \\
= \; & (p_1^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_1^{[2]})^*\alpha + (p_2^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_3^{[2]})^*\alpha - d(\tau \circ \bar{\pi}_1^{[2]} \times \tau \circ \bar{\pi}_3^{[2]})^* b \\
= \; & (\tilde{m}_Q^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_2^{[2]})^*\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta(p_1^*\bar{\pi}_1^*A + p_2^*\bar{\pi}_3^*A) - d(\tau \circ \bar{\pi}_1^{[2]} \circ p_1^{[2]} \times \tau \circ \bar{\pi}_3^{[2]} \circ p_2^{[2]})^* b - d(\tilde{m}_Q^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_2^{[2]})^*\gamma \\
= \; & \delta(\tilde{m}_Q^*\bar{\pi}_2^*A) - d(p_1^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_1^{[2]})^*\gamma - d(p_2^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_3^{[2]})^*\gamma. \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho \in S^0((\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q)^{[2]}; \mathbb{Z})$ be defined by

$$\rho = (\tau \circ \bar{\pi}_1^{[2]}, \tau \circ \bar{\pi}_3^{[2]} \circ p_2^{[2]})^* b + (\tilde{m}_Q^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_2^{[2]})^*\gamma - (p_1^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_1^{[2]})^*\gamma - (p_2^{[2]})^*(\bar{\pi}_3^{[2]})^*\gamma.$$

Then equation 4.7 above becomes

$$\delta(p_1^*\bar{\pi}_1^*A + p_2^*\bar{\pi}_3^*A) = \delta(\tilde{m}_Q^*\bar{\pi}_2^*A) + d\rho. \qquad (4.8)$$

Lemma 4.5. *$\delta(\rho) = 0$ in $S^0((\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q)^{[3]}; \mathbb{Z})$.*

We will omit the straightforward but tedious proof of this lemma.

Thus there exists $B \in S^0(\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\rho = \delta(B)$. Hence from equation 4.8 we get $\delta(p_1^*\bar{\pi}_1^*A + p_2^*\bar{\pi}_3^*A) = \delta(\tilde{m}_Q^*\bar{\pi}_2^*A + dB)$. Therefore there exists $C \in S^1(Y^{[3]}; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$p_1^*\bar{\pi}_1^*A + p_2^*\bar{\pi}_3^*A = \tilde{m}_Q^*\bar{\pi}_2^*A + dB + \pi_Q^*C, \qquad (4.9)$$

where we abuse notation and denote by π_Q the projection $\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q \to Y^{[3]}$. Taking d of equation 4.9 implies $\pi^*(\pi_1^*F + \pi_3^*F) = \pi^*(\pi_2^*F + dC)$ and hence $\delta(F) = dC$. We would like to show that $\delta(C) = 0$. A singular 1-simplex in $S_1(Y^{[2]}; \mathbb{Z})$ is a pair (σ_1, σ_2) of 1-simplexes $\sigma_i : \Delta^1 \to Y$ such that $\pi(\sigma_1) = \pi(\sigma_2)$. Given such a pair (σ_i, σ_j) there exists a lift $\sigma_{ij} : \Delta^1 \to Q$ of the 1-simplex (σ_i, σ_j). Notice that $(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij})$ is then a 1-simplex in $S_1(\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q; \mathbb{Z})$ which satisfies $\pi_Q(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}) = (\sigma_i, \sigma_j, \sigma_k)$. From equation 4.9 and from the associativity of m_Q we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{34}, \sigma_{23})} + A_{\sigma_{12}} - dB_{(\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{34}, \sigma_{23}), \sigma_{12})} - C_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_4)} \\
= \; & A_{\sigma_{34}} + A_{\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{23}, \sigma_{12})} - dB_{(\sigma_{34}, \tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{23}, \sigma_{12}))} - C_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_3, \sigma_4)}
\end{aligned}$$

which, using equation 4.9 again, gives

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{\sigma_{34}} + A_{\sigma_{23}} + A_{\sigma_{12}} - dB_{(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23})} - dB_{(\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23}),\sigma_{12})} - C_{(\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4)} - C_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_4)} \\ = \; & A_{\sigma_{34}} + A_{\sigma_{23}} + A_{\sigma_{12}} - dB_{(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12})} - dB_{(\sigma_{34},\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12}))} - C_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)} - C_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_3,\sigma_4)}. \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\delta(C)_{(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3,\sigma_4)} = dB_{(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12})} - dB_{(\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23}),\sigma_{12})} + dB_{(\sigma_{34},\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12}))} - dB_{(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23})} \tag{4.10}$$

Notice that if the σ_{ij} are now all zero simplices and we can show

$$B_{(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12})} - B_{(\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23}),\sigma_{12})} + B_{(\sigma_{34},\tilde{m}_Q(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12}))} - B_{(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23})} = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

then we will have $\delta(C) = 0$ as we want. To establish equation 4.11 we first need a more explicit formula for B. To achieve this we need to digress for a moment. Notice that if we regard Q and $Y^{[2]}$ as sets then because $\pi_Q : Q \to Y^{[2]}$ is onto we can find a section s say, of π_Q (obviously s will not be continuous unless Q is trivial). Then we can define a set map $c : Y^{[3]} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying

$$c(y_2,y_3,y_4)c(y_1,y_3,y_4)^{-1}c(y_1,y_2,y_4)c(y_1,y_2,y_3)^{-1} = 1,$$

for y_i all lying in the same fibre of Y over M, by defining $m_Q(s(y_2,y_3),s(y_1,y_2)) = s(y_1,y_3)c(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ for $(y_1,y_2,y_3) \in Y^{[3]}$. Since $\pi : Y \to M$ is onto, we can find a set map $\sigma : M \to Y$ which is a section of π. Therefore we can define a function $c' : Y^{[2]} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by $c'(y_1,y_2) = c(y_1,y_2,\sigma(m))$, where $m = \pi(y_1) = \pi(y_2)$. Then it is easy to check that $c'(y_2,y_3)c'(y_1,y_3)^{-1}c'(y_1,y_2) = c(y_1,y_2,y_3)$. Hence we can rescale our section s so that it satisfies

$$m_Q(s(y_2,y_3),s(y_1,y_2)) = s(y_1,y_3). \tag{4.12}$$

Next, observe from the proof of Proposition 3.6 that a formula for $B_{(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12})}$ is then given by

$$B_{(\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12})} = b_{(\tau(s(p(\sigma_{23})),\sigma_{23}),\tau(s(p(\sigma_{12})),\sigma_{12}))}.$$

From this and equation 4.12 we see that B satisfies equation 4.11. Therefore C satisfies $\delta(C) = 0$ and so we may find $D \in S^1(Y^{[2]};\mathbb{Z})$ such that $C = \delta(D)$. Thus if we rename F to $F - dD$ then we have $\delta(F) = 0$ and F is still a representative for the Chern class of $Q \to Y^{[2]}$. Also we can solve $F = \delta(f)$ for some $f \in S^2(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ which must therefore satisfy $\delta(df) = 0$. Hence there exists $\omega \in S^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\pi^*\omega = df$ and $d\omega = 0$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *The class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ defined by the singular 3-cocycle ω is a representative for the Dixmier-Douady class of the bundle gerbe (Q, Y, M).*

We will assume this result for the moment and delay a proof until later. We use Proposition 4.1 to give an alternative proof of the following theorem from [11].

Theorem 4.1 ([11]). *Let $\nu \in S^2(G;\mathbb{Z})$ be a representative for the Chern class of $\hat{G}$. Then the transgression of $[\nu]$ in the principal bundle $P \to M$ is the Dixmier-Douady class of the lifting bundle gerbe (Q, P, M) associated to $P \to M$ via the central extension $\mathbb{C}^\times \to \hat{G} \to G$.*

The fact that the transgression of $[\nu]$ is the Dixmier-Douady class $DD(Q)$ of Q and not minus $DD(Q)$, as is the case in [11], is due to differing sign conventions.

Proof. Suppose in the above construction of the singular 2-cocycle $F \in S^2(Y^{[2]};\mathbb{Z})$ and the singular 2-cochain $C \in S^1(Y^{[3]};\mathbb{Z})$ that we had been unable to define a set map $s : Y^{[2]} \to Q$ which was a section of $\pi_Q : Q \to Y^{[2]}$ and which satisfied equation 4.12. We rewrite equation 4.10 as

$$(\pi_Q \times_{Y^{[4]}} \pi_Q \times_{Y^{[4]}} \pi_Q)^* \delta(C)_{(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12})} = dB'_{(\sigma_{34},\sigma_{23},\sigma_{12})} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\pi_Q \times_{Y^{[4]}} \pi_Q \times_{Y^{[4]}} \pi_Q$ denotes the projection from $\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q) \times_{Y^{[4]}} \pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}Q = \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[4]}} \pi_4^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q) \overset{\text{def}}{=} Q_{1234}$ to $Y^{[4]}$ (compare with Definition 3.1) and where $B' \in S^0(Q_{1234};\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by

$$B' = 1 \times B + (1 \times \tilde{m}_Q)^* B - B \times 1 - (\tilde{m}_Q \times 1)^* B.$$

If we can show that $\delta(B') = 0$ in $S^0(Q_{1234}^{[2]};\mathbb{Z})$ then we will have $B' = \delta(E)$ for some $E \in S^0(Y^{[4]};\mathbb{Z})$ and hence $\delta(C) = dE$. Also it is easy to see that we must have $\delta(E) = 0$ in $S^0(Y^{[5]};\mathbb{Z})$. We see that $\delta(B') = 0$ as follows. Recall that $\delta(B) = \rho \in S^0((\pi_1^{-1}Q \times_{Y^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Q);\mathbb{Z})$. Hence we get $\delta(B') = \rho'$ where $\rho' \in S^0(Q_{1234}^{[2]};\mathbb{Z})$ is defined by

$$1 \times \rho + (1 \times \tilde{m}^{[2]})^* \rho - \rho \times 1 - (\tilde{m}^{[2]} \times 1)^* \rho.$$

It is a tedious but straightforward calculation to verify, using equation 4.3, that $\rho' = 0$ and we will omit it. Notice that we could have considered a simplicial line bundle (Definition 3.2) on an arbitrary simplicial manifold, the constructions above will still work but we will replace the π_i by the face operators d_i for whatever simplicial manifold we are working with. Of course we will not be able to use Proposition 3.5, as that only applies for the special simplicial manifold $Y = \{Y_p\}$ with $Y_p = Y^{[p+1]}$. So it follows that we could consider the central extension $\mathbb{C}^\times \to \hat{G} \to G$ as defining a simplicial line bundle (or simplicial $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle rather) on the simplicial manifold NG as per Proposition 3.2 and constructed $\nu \in S^2(G;\mathbb{Z})$ representing the Chern class of $\hat{G}$ in $H^2(G;\mathbb{Z})$, $\mu \in S^1(G^2;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d_0^*\nu - d_1^*\nu + d_2^*\nu = d\mu$ and $\lambda \in S^0(G^3;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d_0^*\mu - d_1^*\mu + d_2^*\mu - d_3^*\mu = d\lambda$ and $d_0^*\lambda - d_1^*\lambda + d_2^*\lambda - d_3^*\lambda + d_4^*\lambda = 0$. (Thus the triple (ν,μ,λ) represents a class in $H^3(S^{\bullet,\bullet}(NG);\mathbb{Z})$ — the cohomology of the total complex of the double complex $S^{\bullet,\bullet}(NG)$ of Theorem 2.1 — and hence a class in $H^3(BG;\mathbb{Z})$).

We have the natural map $\tau : P^{[2]} \to G$ defined by $p_2 = p_1\tau(p_1,p_2)$ for p_1 and p_2 in the same fibre of P. In fact τ gives rise to a simplicial map $P \to NG$ where $P = \{P_n\}$ is the simplicial manifold with $P_n = P^{[n+1]}$, a portion of which is pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P^{[3]} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left=2] \arrow[d] \arrow[d, shift right=2] & G \times G \arrow[d, shift left=2] \arrow[d] \arrow[d, shift right=2] \\
P^{[2]} \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & G \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
P \arrow[r] & \{1\}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By pulling back ν, μ and λ to $S^2(P^{[2]};\mathbb{Z})$, $S^1(P^{[3]};\mathbb{Z})$ and $S^0(P^{[4]};\mathbb{Z})$ respectively by this simplicial map and using the conditions $d_0^*\nu - d_1^*\nu + d_2^*\nu = d\mu$, $d_0^*\mu - d_1^*\mu + d_2^*\mu - d_3^*\mu = d\lambda$

and $d_0^*\lambda - d_1^*\lambda + d_2^*\lambda - d_3^*\lambda + d_4^*\lambda = 0$ it follows from Proposition 3.6 that we can adjust $\tau^*\nu$ by a coboundary $d\alpha$ so that $F = \tau^*\nu + d\alpha$ satisfies $\delta(F) = 0$ in $S^2(P^{[3]};\mathbb{Z})$. It follows that there exists $f \in S^2(P;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\delta(f) = F$ and hence there exists $\omega \in S^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ with $d\omega = 0$ and $\pi^*\omega = df$. We need to show that $[\omega]$ is the transgression of $[\nu]$. Recall from [2] that the transgression $[t(\nu)]$ of $[\nu]$ is characterised by the property that there exists $e \in S^2(P;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $de = \pi^*c$, where c is a representative of $[t(\nu)]$, and $[i^*e|_{P_m}] = [\nu]$, where $i : G \to P_m$ is the injection of the fibre G into P_m and where $e|_{P_m}$ denotes the restriction of e to the fibre P_m. So we need to show that $[i^*f|_{P_m}] = [\nu]$. The injection i is defined by choosing a point p of P_m and mapping g to pg (this needs G connected). If we consider $\{p\} \times P_m \subset P^{[2]}$ then $\delta(f) = F = \tau^*\nu + d\alpha$ gives us $[i^*f|_{P_m}] = [\nu]$. Thus ω is the transgression of ν. Hence from Proposition 4.1 we have that the transgression and the Dixmier-Douady class are equal. $\square$

In general, given a central extension of groups $A \to \hat{G} \to G$, if one gives each of the groups the discrete topology then there will not exist a homomorphism $s : G \to \hat{G}$ which is also a section of $\hat{G} \to G$. In certain circumstances however, such a section can be shown to exist. An example of this occurs if A is an abelian topological group and we consider the central extension of groups $A \to EA \to BA$. Let A^δ, EA^δ and BA^δ denote the groups A, EA and BA equipped with the discrete topology. Then we can define a section $s : BA^\delta \to EA^\delta$ of $EA^\delta \to BA^\delta$ which is also a group homomorphism as follows. A point of BA is of the form

$$|(x_0, \dots, x_p), (a_1, \dots, a_p)|,$$

or, in the non-homogenous coordinates,

$$|(t_1, \dots, t_p), [a_1| \cdots |a_p]|,$$

where $t_i = x_0 + \cdots + x_{i-1}$. A point of EA is of the form

$$|(x_0, \dots, x_p), (a'_0, \dots, a'_p)|,$$

or, expressed in the non-homogenous coordinates,

$$|t_1, \dots, t_p, a'_0[(a'_0)^{-1}a'_1| \cdots |(a'_{p-1})^{-1}a'_p]|.$$

The projection $p : EA \to BA$ is given by

$$p(|(x_0, \dots, x_p), (a'_0, \dots, a'_p)|) = |(x_0, \dots, x_p), ((a'_0)^{-1}a'_1, \dots, (a'_{p-1})^{-1}a'_p)|$$

or, alternatively,

$$p(|t_1, \dots, t_p, a'_0[(a'_0)^{-1}a'_1| \cdots |(a'_{p-1})^{-1}a'_p]|) = |t_1, \dots, t_p, [(a'_0)^{-1}a'_1| \cdots |(a'_{p-1})^{-1}a'_p]|.$$

So what we seek is a homomorphism $s : BA^\delta \to EA^\delta$ such that $p \circ s = 1$. Let $|(x_0, \dots, x_p), (a_1, \dots, a_p)|$ be a point of BA^δ and assume that there are no $0's$ appearing amongst the x_i. Define

$$s(|(x_0, \dots, x_p), (a_1, \dots, a_p)|) = |(x_0, \dots, x_p), (1, a_1, a_1a_2, \dots, a_1 \cdots a_p)|.$$

We just need to check that s is well defined with respect to degeneracies. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& s((x_0, \dots, x_i + x_{i+1}, \dots, x_p), (a_1, \dots, a_{p-1})) \\
= \; & ((x_0, \dots, x_i + x_{i+1}, \dots, x_p), (1, a_1, a_1a_2, \dots, a_1 \cdots a_{p-1})) \\
= \; & ((x_0, \dots, x_p), (1, a_1, \dots, a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}, a_1 \cdots a_i, a_1 \cdots a_i, a_1 \cdots a_{i+1}, \dots, a_1 \cdots a_{p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s((x_0,\dots,x_p),(a_1,\dots,a_i,1,a_{i+1},\dots,a_{p-1})) \\ = \ & ((x_0,\dots,x_p),(1,a_1,\dots,a_1\cdots a_{i-1},a_1\cdots a_i,a_1\cdots a_i,a_1\cdots a_{i+1},\dots,a_1\cdots a_{p-1})) \\ = \ & s((x_0,\dots,x_i+x_{i+1},\dots,x_p),(a_1,\dots,a_{p-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence s is well defined. To check that s is a homomorphism of discrete groups we will use the non-homogenous coordinates. The condition that there are no x_i's equal to zero in $(x_0,\dots,x_p)$ translates into no t_i's repeated in $(t_1,\dots,t_p)$. s expressed in the non-homogenous coordinates is

$$s(|t_1,\dots,t_p,[a_1|\cdots|a_p]|)=|t_1,\dots,t_p,1[a_1|\cdots|a_p]|.$$

Let $|t_{p+1},\dots,t_{p+q},[a_{p+1}|\cdots|a_{p+q}]|$ be another point of BA^δ. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & s(|t_1,\dots,t_p,[a_1|\cdots|a_p]|)s(|t_{p+1},\dots,t_{p+q},[a_{p+1}|\cdots|a_{p+q}]|) \\ = \ & |t_1,\dots,t_p,1[a_1|\cdots|a_p]|\cdot|t_{p+1},\dots,t_{p+q},1[a_{p+1}|\cdots|a_{p+q}]| \\ = \ & |t_{\sigma(1)},\dots,t_{\sigma(p+q)},1[a_{\sigma(1)}|\cdots|a_{\sigma(p+q)}]|, \end{aligned}$$

where σ is a permutation of $\{1,\dots,p+q\}$ such that $t_{\sigma(1)}\leq\cdots\leq t_{\sigma(p+q)}$. This equals

$$|t_{\sigma(1)},t_{\sigma(i)},\dots,t_{\sigma(p+q)},1[a_{\sigma(1)}|a^{'}|\cdots|a^{''}]|$$

for some $a^{'}$, $a^{''}$ upon removing all repeated t's. This is exactly the result of applying s to the point

$$|t_1,\dots,t_p,[a_1|\cdots|a_p]|\cdot|t_{p+1},\dots,t_{p+q},[a_{p+1}|\cdots|a_{p+q}]|.$$

Therefore $s:BA^\delta\to EA^\delta$ is a homomorphism and it is straightforward to check that s is also a section of p. Note however that s considered as a map $s:BA\to EA$ is not continuous.

It now follows from the remarks made during the course of the proof of Theorem 4.1 that if we regard the central extension $\mathbb{C}^\times\to E\mathbb{C}^\times\to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ as a simplicial $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on the simplicial manifold $N\mathbb{C}^\times$ then we can choose a representative $F\in S^2(B\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ of the Chern class of $E\mathbb{C}^\times\to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ so that there exists $C\in S^1((B\mathbb{C}^\times)^2;\mathbb{Z})$ such that the following two equations are satisfied:

$$d_0^*F-d_1^*F+d_2^*F=dC, \tag{4.14}$$

$$d_0^*C-d_1^*C+d_2^*C-d_3^*C=0. \tag{4.15}$$

It also follows that we can find $A_2\in S^1(E\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $p^*F=dA_2$, $\delta(A_2)=\tau^*c-d\alpha$, and such that there also exists $B_2\in S^0(E\mathbb{C}^\times\times E\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ so that the following equation in $S^1(E\mathbb{C}^\times\times E\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ is valid:

$$p_1^*A_2-m^*A_2+p_2^*A_2=(p\times p)^*C+dB_2.$$

Let us rename F to ι_2 and C to κ_2. If one examines the construction of ι_2 and κ_2 then one notices the construction relies upon the fact proved in Lemma 4.3 that there exist $c\in S^1(\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ and $b\in S^0((\mathbb{C}^\times)^2;\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the analogues of equations 4.14 and 4.15.

Let us see what happens if we try to iterate this procedure using ι_2 in place of c and κ_2 in place of b. So we start with the principal $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $EB\mathbb{C}^\times \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$. We form the canonical map $\tau : (EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^{[2]} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ and pullback ι_2 to a class $\tau^*\iota_2$ on $(EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^{[2]}$. Then as above we get $\delta(\tau^*\iota_2) = d(\tau \circ \pi_1, \tau \circ \pi_3)^*\kappa_2$. Because κ_2 satisfies the analogue of equation 4.3 we get $\delta((\tau \circ \pi_1, \tau \circ \pi_3)^*\kappa_2) = 0$ and hence there exists $\alpha_3 \in S^1((EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^{[2]}; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $(\tau\circ\pi_1, \tau\circ\pi_3)^*\kappa_2 = \delta(\alpha_3)$. Therefore $\tau^*\iota_2 - d\alpha_3$ satisfies $\delta(\tau^*\iota_2 - d\alpha_3) = 0$ and so we can solve $\tau^*\iota_2 - d\alpha_3 = \delta(A_3)$ for some $A_3 \in S^2(EB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$. Hence there exists $\iota_3 \in S^3(BB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ with $p^*\iota_3 = dA_3$. Following this procedure through leads in a straightforward way to the following analogue of equation 4.9:

$$p_1^*A_3 + p_2^*A_3 = m^*A_3 + dB_3 + (p \times p)^*\kappa_3,$$

where $\kappa_3 \in S^2(BB\mathbb{C}^\times \times BB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ and where $B_3 \in S^1(EB\mathbb{C}^\times \times EB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies

$$\delta(B_3) = (\tau \circ p_1^{[2]} \times \tau \circ p_2^{[2]})^*\kappa_2 - (p_1^{[2]})^*\alpha_3 - (p_2^{[2]})^*\alpha_3 + (m^{[2]})^*\alpha_3.$$

This time however there will not exist a section $s : S_1(BB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z}) \to S_1(EB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ compatible with the group structures on $S_1(BB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ and $S_1(EB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the group structures on $BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ and $EB\mathbb{C}^\times$ and so we can only conclude, following the argument of Theorem 4.1, with ι_2 in place of c and κ_2 in place of b, that there exists $\lambda_3 \in S^1((EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^3; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$d_0^*\kappa_3 - d_1^*\kappa_3 + d_2^*\kappa_3 - d_3^*\kappa_3 = d\lambda_3 \tag{4.16}$$

$$d_0^*\lambda_3 - d_1^*\lambda_3 + d_2^*\lambda_3 - d_3^*\lambda_3 + d_4^*\lambda_3 = 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Therefore it follows that we have defined $\iota_3 \in S^3(BB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$, $A_3 \in S^2(EB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$, $\kappa_3 \in S^2((BB\mathbb{C}^\times)^2; \mathbb{Z})$, $B_3 \in S^1((EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^2; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\lambda_3 \in S^1((EB\mathbb{C}^\times)^3; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $d\iota_3 = 0$, $p^*\iota_3 = dA_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &p_1^*A_3 + p_2^*A_3 = m^*A_3 + dB_3 + (p \times p)^*\kappa_3 \\ &d_1^*\iota_3 - d_1^*\iota_3 + d_2^*\iota_3 = d\kappa_3 \\ &d_0^*\kappa_3 - d_1^*\kappa_3 + d_2^*\kappa_3 - d_3^*\kappa_3 = d\lambda_3, \end{aligned}$$

and λ_3 and κ_3 satisfy equations 4.17 and 4.17 above. It is clear that ι_3 is the transgression of ι_2 in the principal $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $EB\mathbb{C}^\times \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$. It is also clear that ι_2 is the transgression of c in the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $E\mathbb{C}^\times \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$. The fundamental class of $H^{p+1}(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ is mapped to the fundamental class of $H^{p+2}(B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ under the transgression in the principal $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $EB^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times$. Indeed if we consider the long exact sequence in homotopy for the fibering $B^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to EB^p\mathbb{C}^\times \to B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times$ then we get $\pi_{p+1}(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times) \simeq \pi_{p+2}(B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times)$. Moreover, since these are Eilenberg-MacLane spaces we have the sequence of isomorphisms $\pi_{q+1}(B^q\mathbb{C}^\times) \simeq H^{q+1}(B^q\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and with respect to these isomorphisms the isomorphism $\pi_{p+1}(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times) \simeq \pi_{p+2}(B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times)$ can easily be seen to be the transgression (in the case we are considering the transgression $H^{p+1}(B^p\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{p+2}(B^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism). It follows that ι_2 is a representative of the fundamental class of $H^2(B\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ and that ι_3 represents the fundamental class of $H^3(BB\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$. It would not be too hard to go further and prove a similar result by induction for the fundamental class $\iota_n \in S^n(B^{n-1}\mathbb{C}^\times; \mathbb{Z})$ although we will not do so here.

Recall from Section 4.1 above the recipe for constructing from a Čech cocycle $g_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ maps $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying the cocycle condition $g_{ij}g_{jk} = g_{ik}$ and maps $\hat{g}_{ij} : U_{ij} \to E\mathbb{C}^\times$ lifting g_{ij} such that $\hat{g}_{jk}\hat{g}_{ik}^{-1}\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ijk}$. It is not difficult to go further and construct maps $g_i : U_i \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $g_i = g_j$ on U_{ij} and lifts $\hat{g}_i : U_i \to EB\mathbb{C}^\times$ of g_i which satisfy $\hat{g}_j\hat{g}_i^{-1} = g_{ij}$. The map $g : M \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ is obtained from the g_i. Suppose we are given such a cocycle g_{ijk}. We will use the Čech-singular double complex (see [4]) to show that the class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ represented by g_{ijk} and the class represented by $g^*\iota_3$ are equal.

Let $i_{U_i} : U_i \to M$ denote the inclusion map. We have $i_{U_i}^* g^*\iota_3 = g_i^*\iota_3 = (p \circ \hat{g}_i)^*\iota_3 = d\hat{g}_i^* A_3$. Next, $\hat{g}_j^* A_3 - \hat{g}_i^* A_3 = (\hat{g}_i, \hat{g}_j)^*\delta(A_3) = (\hat{g}_i, \hat{g}_j)^*(\tau^*\iota_2 - d\alpha_3)$. Since $\hat{g}_j\hat{g}_i^{-1} = g_{ij}$ we get that $\hat{g}_j^* A_3 - \hat{g}_i^* A_3 = g_{ij}^*\iota_2 - d(\hat{g}_i, \hat{g}_j)^*\alpha_3$. Using $p(\hat{g}_{ij}) = g_{ij}$ we get $g_{ij}^*\iota_2 = d\hat{g}_{ij}^* A_2$,where $A_2 \in S^1(E\mathbb{C}^\times;\mathbb{Z})$ is constructed as in the paragraph preceding Lemma 4.4. Let $\alpha_i = \hat{g}_i^* A_2$ and let $\beta_{ij} = \hat{g}_{ij}^* - (\hat{g}_i, \hat{g}_j)^*\alpha_3$. Since $\delta(\alpha_3) = (\tau \circ \pi_1, \tau \circ \pi_3)^*\kappa_2$, we get

$$\beta_{jk} - \beta_{ik} + \beta_{ij} = \hat{g}_{jk}^* A_2 - \hat{g}_{ik}^* A_2 + \hat{g}_{ij}^* A_2 - (g_{jk}, g_{ij})^*\kappa_2.$$

Using the equation $p_1^* A_2 + p_2^* A_2 = m^* A_2 + dB_2 + (p \times p)^*\kappa_2$, we get

$$\delta(\beta_{ij}) = (\hat{g}_{ik} \cdot g_{ijk})^* A_2 - \hat{g}_{ik}^* A_2 + d(\hat{g}_{jk}, \hat{g}_{ij})^* B_2.$$

Using the same equation again we get

$$(i(g_{ijk}))^* A_2 - d(\hat{g}_{ik}, i(g_{ijk}))^* B_2 + d(\hat{g}_{jk}, \hat{g}_{ij})^* B_2 - (g_{ik}, 1)^*\kappa_2.$$

It is straightforward to check that $(g_{ik}, 1)^*\kappa_2 = 0$. It is also not difficult to check that $i^* A_2 = c$. Let $\hat{g}_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a lift of g_{ijk}. We have

$$\beta_{jk} - \beta_{ik} + \beta_{ij} = d(\hat{g}_{ijk}^*\hat{c} - (\hat{g}_{ik}, i(g_{ijk}))^* B_2 + (\hat{g}_{jk}, \hat{g}_{ij})^* B_2),$$

where $\hat{c} \in S^0(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z})$ is constructed in Lemma 4.4. Put $\gamma_{ijk} = \hat{g}_{ijk}^*\hat{c} - (\hat{g}_{ik}, i(g_{ijk}))^* B_2 + (\hat{g}_{jk}, \hat{g}_{ij})^* B_2$. Define z_{ij}, z_{jk} and z_{ik} in $\mathbb{C}^\times$ by $z_{ij} = \tau(s(g_{ij}), g_{ij})$, $z_{jk} = \tau(s(g_{jk}), g_{jk})$ and $z_{ik} = \tau(s(g_{ik}), g_{ik})$ respectively. Then one can check that γ_{ijk} is given by

$$\hat{g}_{ijk}^*\hat{c} - (\sigma(z_{jk}) - \sigma(z_{ik}) + \sigma(z_{ij})),$$

where $\sigma : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^\delta \to (\mathbb{C})^\delta$ is the section constructed in Lemma 4.4. It now follows that $\gamma_{jkl} - \gamma_{ikl} + \gamma_{ijl} - \gamma_{ijk} = n_{ijkl}$ where $n_{ijkl} : U_{ijkl} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the image of the cocycle g_{ijk} under the coboundary map $\check{H}^2(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times) \to \check{H}^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_M)$. Hence g_{ijk} and $g^*\iota_3$ represent the same class in $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$. This argument constitutes a proof of Proposition 4.1

As an application of this, if we are given a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) on M then we know that there is a map $g : M \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$, unique up to homotopy, such that the Dixmier-Douady class $DD(P)$ of P is equal to $g^*\iota_3$. It follows that the pullback $\pi_X^* g^*\iota_3$ of the Dixmier-Douady class to X is cohomologous to zero. Hence there is a lift $\hat{g} : X \to EB\mathbb{C}^\times$ of the map $g \circ \pi_X : X \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$, ie a map $\hat{g} : X \to EB\mathbb{C}^\times$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\hat{g}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_X"'] & EB\mathbb{C}^\times \arrow[d, "p"] \\
M \arrow[r, "g"'] & BB\mathbb{C}^\times
\end{tikzcd}$$

Notice that we can therefore define a map $f : X^{[2]} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying

$$f(x_2, x_3)f(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_3)$$

for x_1, x_2 and x_3 in the same fibre of X, by $f(x_1, x_2) = i^{-1}(\hat{g}(x_2)\hat{g}(x_1)^{-1})$. It is easy to see that the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $f^{-1}E\mathbb{C}^\times \to X^{[2]}$ has a bundle gerbe product and that the resulting bundle gerbe $(f^{-1}E\mathbb{C}^\times, X, M)$ has Dixmier-Douady class equal to $DD(P)$. Therefore the bundle gerbes $f^{-1}E\mathbb{C}^\times$ and P are stably isomorphic and so there exists a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $L \to X$ such that $P \simeq f^{-1}E\mathbb{C}^\times \otimes \delta(L)$. Let $f_L : X \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ be a classifying map for L and redefine $\hat{g}$ to $\hat{g} \cdot f_L$. Denote the rescaled f by the same letter as well. It follows that the bundle gerbes P and $f^{-1}E\mathbb{C}^\times$ are isomorphic. In effect we have proved the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let (P, X, M) be a bundle gerbe. Then there is a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{g} : (P, X, M) \to (\widetilde{EB\mathbb{C}^\times}, EB\mathbb{C}^\times, BB\mathbb{C}^\times)$ with $\bar{g} = (\tilde{g}, \hat{g}, g)$. The map $g : M \to BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ is unique up to homotopy.*

Chapter 5

Open covers

5.1 Bundle gerbes via open covers

We now turn to some calculations involving bundle gerbe connections and curvings on open covers. Similar results for gerbes appear in the lecture notes [22].

Suppose (P, Y, M) and (Q, X, M) are bundle gerbes. and we have a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id})$ which maps (P, Y, M) to (Q, X, M). It is easily seen that P and Q must have the same Dixmier-Douady class. We will exploit this fact to give a method of calculating the Dixmier-Douady class of a bundle gerbe P on M from an open cover of M.

Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ be an open cover of M, and suppose we are given line bundles $L_{\alpha\beta} \to U_{\alpha\beta}$. Suppose further that we have sections $\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ of the line bundles $L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L^*_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes L_{\alpha\beta}$ over $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. These sections are required to satisfy

$$\sigma_{\beta\gamma\delta}\sigma^{-1}_{\alpha\gamma\delta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta\delta}\sigma^{-1}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \underline{1},$$

where $\underline{1}$ is the non-vanishing section induced by the canonical trivialisation of

$$\delta(L_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = L_{\beta\gamma\delta} \otimes L^*_{\alpha\gamma\delta} \otimes L_{\alpha\beta\delta} \otimes L^*_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$$

over $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ and where we have put $L_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L^*_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes L_{\alpha\beta}$. Assume that we are also given connections $\nabla_{\alpha\beta}$ on each $L_{\alpha\beta}$. Denote the induced connection on $L_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ by $\nabla_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Hence we can find 1-forms $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ on $U_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ such that

$$\nabla_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

If we denote the induced connection on $\delta(L_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ by $\delta(\nabla_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ then $\delta(\nabla_{\alpha\beta\gamma})(\underline{1}) = 0$ so clearly we will have

$$A_{\beta\gamma\delta} - A_{\alpha\gamma\delta} + A_{\alpha\beta\delta} - A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Suppose we have a partition of unity $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ subordinate to the cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of M. Define a 1-form $B_{\alpha\beta}$ on $U_{\alpha\beta}$ as follows. Let $B_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma\in\Sigma} \psi_\gamma A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Then it is easily checked that we have

$$B_{\beta\gamma} - B_{\alpha\gamma} + B_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tag{5.2}$$

Define new connections on the $L_{\alpha\beta}$ by $\nabla_{\alpha\beta} - B_{\alpha\beta}$. If $F_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the curvature of the connection $\nabla_{\alpha\beta}$, then the new connection will have curvature $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ equal to $F_{\alpha\beta} - dB_{\alpha\beta}$. From the equations above, we see that

$$F_{\beta\gamma} - F_{\alpha\gamma} + F_{\alpha\beta} = dA_{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence the new curvature will satisfy

$$\mathcal{F}_{\beta\gamma} - \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\gamma} + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Using the same trick as before, define 2-forms μ_α on U_α satisfying $\mu_\beta - \mu_\alpha = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ by

$$\mu_\alpha = -\sum_{\beta\in\Sigma} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta. \tag{5.5}$$

One can check that on $U_{\alpha\beta}$ we have $d\mu_\alpha = d\mu_\beta$, and so the $d\mu_\alpha$ are the restrictions to U_α of a global 3-form ω on M. It will follow from the discussion in the next section on Deligne cohomology that ω lies in the image of $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ inside $H^3(M;\mathbb{R})$.

5.2 Bundle gerbes and Deligne cohomology

We now briefly review the notion of *sheaf hypercohomology.* We refer to [7] or [21] for more details.

Suppose we are given a complex of sheaves $\underline{K}^\bullet$ on our manifold M. Thus we have sheaves of abelian groups $\underline{K}^q$ on M together with morphisms of sheaves $d_q : \underline{K}^q \to \underline{K}^{q+1}$ which satisfy $d_{q+1} \circ d_q = 0$. Suppose we are given an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of M. Then we can form a double complex $K_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ with $K_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q} = C^p(\mathcal{U}, \underline{K}^q)$, ie the Čech p-cochains with values in the sheaf $\underline{K}^q$. The differentials of $K_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ are $d : K_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q} \to K_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q+1}$ and $\delta : K_{\mathcal{U}}^{p,q} \to K_{\mathcal{U}}^{p+1,q}$ and are induced by the morphism of sheaves $d : \underline{K}^q \to \underline{K}^{q+1}$ and the Čech coboundary operator $\delta : C^p(\mathcal{U}, \underline{K}^q) \to C^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \underline{K}^q)$ respectively. d and δ clearly satisfy $d^2 = 0$, $\delta^2 = 0$ and $d\delta + \delta d = 0$, thus $K_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is a double complex.

Let $Tot(K_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet)$ denote the total complex of $K_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet,\bullet}$. A refinement $\mathcal{V} < \mathcal{U}$ will induce mappings $Tot(K_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet) \to Tot(K_{\mathcal{V}}^\bullet)$ and mappings $H^\bullet(Tot(K_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet)) \to H^\bullet(Tot(K_{\mathcal{V}}^\bullet))$ of the corresponding cohomology groups. We define the *sheaf hypercohomology groups* $H^\bullet(M; \underline{K}^\bullet)$ of M with values in the complex of sheaves $\underline{K}^\bullet$ to be

$$H^\bullet(M; K^\bullet) = \overset{Lim}{\rightarrow}_{\mathcal{U}} H^\bullet(Tot(K_{\mathcal{U}}^\bullet)).$$

As an example consider the complex of sheaves $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times \overset{dlog}{\to} \underline{\Omega}_M^1$ on a manifold M. Then, relative to an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of M, a class in the hypercohomology group $H^1(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times \overset{dlog}{\to} \underline{\Omega}_M^1)$ consists of smooth maps $g_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ and one forms A_α on U_α which together satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\beta\gamma} g_{\alpha\gamma}^{-1} g_{\alpha\beta} &= 1 \\ A_\beta - A_\alpha &= dg_{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then it is not hard to see that classes in $H^1(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times \overset{dlog}{\to} \underline{\Omega}_M^1)$ are in a one to one correspondence with isomorphism classes of line bundles with connection on M.

Consider the complex of sheaves

$$\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{M} \overset{dlog}{\to} \underline{\Omega}^{1}{}_{M} \overset{d}{\to} \underline{\Omega}^{2}{}_{M}$$

on a manifold M. It is shown in [33] (see also [34]) that a bundle gerbe (L, Y, M) with bundle gerbe connection ∇ and curving f gives rise to a class $D(L, \nabla, f)$ in the Deligne hypercohomology group $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{M} \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M)$. Let us briefly recall how this class is constructed.

Choose as usual an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ of M such that there exist local sections of $\pi : Y \to M$ above each U_α and all of whose non-empty finite intersections $U_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\alpha_p}$ are contractible. Form the maps $(s_\alpha, s_\beta) : U_{\alpha\beta} \to Y^{[2]}$ and choose sections $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ of the pullback line bundle $L_{\alpha\beta} = (s_\alpha, s_\beta)^{-1}L$ over $U_{\alpha\beta}$. The connection ∇ induces a connection $\nabla_{\alpha\beta}$ on $L_{\alpha\beta}$ and we get

$$\nabla_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}) = A_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}$$

for some one form $A_{\alpha\beta}$ on $U_{\alpha\beta}$. $L_{\alpha\beta}$ has curvature $F_{\alpha\beta} = (s_\alpha, s_\beta)^* F_\nabla$ with respect to $\nabla_{\alpha\beta}$. Since $F_\nabla = \delta(f)$ we get $F_{\alpha\beta} = f_\beta - f_\alpha$. On the other hand, we clearly have $F_{\alpha\beta} = dA_{\alpha\beta}$, and so we get

$$dA_{\alpha\beta} = f_\beta - f_\alpha. \tag{5.6}$$

Finally, the isomorphism of line bundles $m : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}L \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ induces an isomorphism of line bundles $m : L_{\beta\gamma} \otimes L_{\alpha\beta} \to L_{\alpha\gamma}$ and we have $m(\sigma_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}) = \sigma_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ where $g_{\alpha\beta\gamma} : U_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a representative of the Dixmier-Douady class of L and satisfies the cocycle condition

$$g_{\beta\gamma\delta} g^{-1}_{\alpha\gamma\delta} g_{\alpha\beta\delta} g^{-1}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 1. \tag{5.7}$$

Therefore we have on the one hand

$$(\nabla_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\alpha\beta})(\sigma_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}) = (A_{\beta\gamma} + A_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes (\sigma_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}),$$

but on the other, from equation 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_{\alpha\beta})(\sigma_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}) &= m^{-1} \circ \nabla_{\alpha\gamma} \circ m(\sigma_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}) \\
&= m^{-1} \circ \nabla_{\alpha\gamma}(\sigma_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) \\
&= m^{-1}((A_{\alpha\gamma} + g^{-1}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} dg_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) \otimes (\sigma_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma})) \\
&= (A_{\alpha\gamma} + g^{-1}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} dg_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) \otimes (\sigma_{\beta\gamma} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence we get

$$A_{\beta\gamma} - A_{\alpha\gamma} + A_{\alpha\beta} = g^{-1}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} dg_{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \tag{5.8}$$

From equations 5.6, 5.7 and 5.8, we see that the triple $(f_\alpha, A_{\alpha\beta}, g_{\alpha\beta\gamma})$ represents a class $D(L, \nabla, f)$ in the Deligne hypercohomology group $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{M} \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M)$ and one can check that this is independent of the choices made. If we consider bundle gerbes (L, Y, M) equipped only with a bundle gerbe connection ∇ then the discussion above shows that every such bundle gerbe L with bundle gerbe connection ∇ gives rise to a class in the truncated Deligne hypercohomology group $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{M} \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M)$.

Suppose we are given a bundle gerbe (L, Y, M) with bundle gerbe connection ∇_L and curving f and suppose moreover that the bundle gerbe L is trivial. Hence there exists a line bundle J on Y such that there is an isomorphism of bundle gerbes $\phi : L \to \delta(J)$ over $Y^{[2]}$. Suppose that the line bundle J has a connection ∇_J. Then $\delta(J)$ inherits the tensor product connection $\delta(\nabla_J)$. Therefore there exists a complex valued one form η on $Y^{[2]}$ such that $\nabla_L = \phi^{-1} \circ \delta(\nabla_J) \circ \phi + \eta$. Since ϕ commutes with the bundle gerbe products, we must have $\delta(\eta) = 0$ and hence there exists a complex valued one form μ on Y such that $\delta(\mu) = \eta$. Then if we give J the new connection $\nabla_J - \mu$ and rename this new connection ∇_J, it is not hard to see that we have an isomorphism of bundle gerbes with bundle gerbe connection $\phi : L \to \delta(J)$ over $Y^{[2]}$. This result is not surprising in light of the fact that there is an isomorphism $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \cong H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M)$ of cohomology groups.

Suppose that the class $D(L, \nabla_L, f)$ of L in the Deligne hypercohomology group $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M)$ is zero, so that (using the notation above) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= h_{\beta\gamma} h^{-1}_{\alpha\gamma} h_{\alpha\beta} \\ A_{\alpha\beta} &= -k_\beta + k_\alpha + dh_{\alpha\beta} h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \\ f_\alpha &= dk_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

From $f_\alpha = dk_\alpha$ we see that the three curvature ω of the bundle gerbe L equipped with the bundle gerbe connection ∇_L and curving f is zero. In other words the map $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M) \to \Omega^3(M)$ applied to $D(L, \nabla_L, f)$ is zero. So we must have $df = \pi^*(\omega) = 0$. The bundle gerbes L and $\delta(J)$ may not have the same curvings, in general the curvings f and F_{∇_J} of L and $\delta(J)$ respectively will differ by the pullback of a two form K on M (here F_{∇_J} is the curvature of J with respect to the connection ∇_J defined above). Since F_{∇_J} is the curvature two form of a connection on J it is closed and we have just seen that f is closed, so it follows that K must also be closed. Since K is also an integral two form, there exists a line bundle I on M with Chern class equal to the class $[K]$ in $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. We may endow I with a connection ∇_I whose curvature is equal to K. It follows that the line bundles L and $\delta(J \otimes \pi^{-1} I^*)$ are isomorphic by an isomorphism which preserves the bundle gerbe products and the connections ∇_L and $\nabla_J - \pi^{-1}\nabla_I$. What is more, the bundle gerbes L and $\delta(J \otimes \pi^{-1} I^*)$ have the same curvings. In effect, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1 ([34]). *A bundle gerbe (L, Y, M) with bundle gerbe connection ∇_L and curving f has trivial class $D(L, \nabla_L, f)$ in $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M)$ if and only if $\nabla_L = \delta(\nabla_J)$, where ∇_J is a connection on a line bundle $J \to Y$ which trivialises L and $f = F_{\nabla_J}$, where F_{∇_J} is the curvature of the line bundle J with respect to the connection ∇_J.*

Note 5.1. One can define a notion of stable isomorphism of bundle gerbes with bundle gerbe connection and curving — see [34]. One says that two bundle gerbes (L_1, Y_1, M) and (L_2, Y_2, M) with bundle gerbe connections ∇_1 and ∇_2 and curvings f_1 and f_2 respectively are stably isomorphic if there exist line bundles S and T on $Y_1 \times_M Y_2$ with connections ∇_S and ∇_T respectively and there is a line bundle isomorphism $(p_1^{[2]})^{-1} L_1 \otimes \delta(S) \to (p_2^{[2]})^{-1} L_2 \otimes \delta(T)$ which commutes with the bundle gerbe products and preserves the connections $(p_1^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_1 + \delta(\nabla_S)$ and $(p_2^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_2 + \delta(\nabla_T)$ and such that the curvings $p_1^* f_1 + F_{\nabla_S}$ and $p_2^* f_2 + F_{\nabla_T}$ are equal. One can then prove ([34]) a version of the above proposition for stable isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes with bundle gerbe connections and curvings. One can also show ([34]) that there is a bijection between stable isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes with bundle gerbe connections and curvings and the Deligne hypercohomology group $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M)$.

Given a bundle gerbe L with bundle gerbe connection ∇_L and curving f with trivial Deligne class $D(L, \nabla_L, f)$ we will explicitly construct a line bundle $J \to Y$ which trivialises L and a connection ∇_J on J such that $\nabla_L = \delta(\nabla_J)$ under the isomorphism $L \to \delta(J)$ and such that the curvature F_{∇_J} of ∇_J is equal to f. Since L has trivial class in $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M)$ then there exists $h_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $k_\alpha \in \Omega^1(U_\alpha)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= h_{\beta\gamma} h^{-1}_{\alpha\gamma} h_{\alpha\beta} && (5.9) \\ A_{\alpha\beta} &= -k_\beta + k_\alpha + \frac{dh_{\alpha\beta}}{h_{\alpha\beta}} && (5.10) \\ f_\alpha &= dk_\alpha && (5.11) \end{aligned}$$

where we have continued to use the notation from above. First we construct the bundle $J \to Y$ which trivialises L. This is done in [33] but we need to review the construction. We define line bundles $J_\alpha \to Y_\alpha$ by $J_\alpha = \hat{s}_\alpha^{-1} L$ where $\hat{s}_\alpha : Y_\alpha \to Y^{[2]}$ is the map $y \mapsto (y, s_\alpha(\pi(y)))$. ∇_L induces a connection $\nabla_\alpha = \hat{s}_\alpha^{-1} \nabla$ on J_α. We use the sections $\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \in \Gamma(U_{\alpha\beta}, L_{\alpha\beta})$ to define line bundle isomorphisms $\phi_{\alpha\beta} : J_\alpha \to J_\beta$ by $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(u) = m(\sigma_{\alpha\beta} h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes u)$ for $u \in J_\alpha$. The condition 5.9 above shows that $\phi_{\beta\gamma} \circ \phi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_{\alpha\gamma}$ on $Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Hence we can glue the J_α together using the $\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ to get a line bundle J on Y. It is easy to see that if the connections ∇_α on the J_α satisfied $\nabla_\alpha = \phi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \circ \nabla_\beta \circ \phi_{\alpha\beta}$ then we could glue them together to form a new connection ∇_J on J. We calculate $\phi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \circ \nabla_\beta \circ \phi_{\alpha\beta}$ for an arbitrary section $t_\alpha \in \Gamma(Y_\alpha, J_\alpha)$ restricted to $Y_{\alpha\beta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \circ \nabla_\beta \circ \phi_{\alpha\beta}(t_\alpha) \\ = \; & \phi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \circ \nabla_\beta \circ m(\sigma_{\alpha\beta} h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes t_\alpha) \\ = \; & \phi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \circ (m(\pi^{-1} \nabla_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_{\alpha\beta} h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes t_\alpha) + m(\sigma_{\alpha\beta} h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \nabla_\alpha(t_\alpha))) \\ = \; & \phi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \circ (m(((\pi^* A_{\alpha\beta} - \pi^* \frac{dh_{\alpha\beta}}{h_{\alpha\beta}}) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}) \otimes t_\alpha) + m(\sigma_{\alpha\beta} h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \nabla_\alpha(t_\alpha)) \\ = \; & \pi^* A_{\alpha\beta} - \pi^* \frac{dh_{\alpha\beta}}{h_{\alpha\beta}} + \nabla_\alpha(t_\alpha) \\ = \; & -\pi^* k_\beta + \pi^* k_\alpha + \nabla_\alpha(t_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we let $\nabla'_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha + \pi^* k_\alpha$ then we get $\nabla'_\alpha = \phi^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \circ \nabla'_\beta \circ \phi_{\alpha\beta}$ and so the ∇'_α patch together to define a connection ∇_J on J. Finally we need to show that $\nabla_L = \delta(\nabla_J)$ and that $f = F_{\nabla_J}$. The map $m : \pi_1^{-1} L \otimes \pi_3^{-1} L \to \pi_2^{-1} L$ gives rise to isomorphisms of bundle gerbes $\eta_\alpha : \delta(J_\alpha) \to L$ over $Y^{[2]}_\alpha$ and furthermore we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \delta(J_\alpha) \arrow[dd, "\delta(\phi_{\alpha\beta})"'] \arrow[dr, "\eta_\alpha"] & \\ & L \\ \delta(J_\beta) \arrow[ur, "\eta_\beta"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

over $Y_{\alpha\beta}^{[2]}$. Thus we can define an isomorphism of bundle gerbes $\eta : \delta(J) \to L$ over $Y^{[2]}$. We have $\delta(\nabla_\alpha + \pi^* k_\alpha) = \delta(\nabla_\alpha)$ which equals $\eta_\alpha^{-1} \circ \nabla_L \circ \eta_\alpha$ and thus we get $\nabla_J = \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla_L \circ \eta$. Finally $\nabla_\alpha + \pi^* k_\alpha$ has curvature $F_\alpha = f|_{Y_\alpha} + \pi^*(dk_\alpha - f_\alpha$ which equals $f|_{Y_\alpha}$ by equation 5.11. Thus J has curvature F_{∇_J} equal to f.

Chapter 6

Gerbes and bundle gerbes

6.1 Torsors

In this section we briefly review the definition and basic properties of *torsors*.

Definition 6.1 ([7]). A $\underline{G}$-*torsor* on a space X (here $\underline{G}$ is a sheaf of groups on X) is a sheaf $\underline{P}$ on X plus an action of $\underline{G}$ on the right of $\underline{P}$, ie a morphism of sheaves $\underline{P} \times \underline{G} \to \underline{P}$, with the property that for any point of X there is an open neighbourhood U of that point such that for any open set $V \subset U$ the set $\underline{P}(V)$ is a right principal homogenous space under the group $\underline{G}(V)$.

Similarly one can define the notion of a morphism $\underline{P} \to \underline{Q}$ between two $\underline{G}$-torsors on X. One can show that any such morphism is automatically an isomorphism. A good example of a torsor is the torsor $\underline{P}_X$ associated to a principal G bundle P on a space X. Here $\underline{P}_X$ is the sheaf of sections of P. It is then easy to see that the sheaf of groups $\underline{G}_X$ acts on $\underline{P}_X$ on the right so as to make $\underline{P}_X$ into a $\underline{G}_X$-torsor.

Suppose we have a morphism of sheaves of groups $\underline{G} \to \underline{H}$ over a space X and a $\underline{G}$-torsor $\underline{P}$ on X. Then one can construct (see [7][page 191]) an $\underline{H}$-torsor on X denoted by $\underline{P} \times^{\underline{G}} \underline{H}$. $\underline{P} \times^{\underline{G}} \underline{H}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto (\underline{P}(U) \times \underline{H}(U))/\underline{G}(U)$, where $\underline{G}(U)$ acts on $\underline{H}(U)$ via the morphism of sheaves $\underline{G} \to \underline{H}$. So a section of this presheaf would be an equivalence class $[p, h]$, where p is a section of $\underline{P}(U)$ and h is a section of $\underline{H}(U)$, under the equivalence relation $(p_1, h_1) \sim (p_2, h_2)$ if and only if there is a section g_{12} of $\underline{G}(U)$ such that $p_2 = p_1 g_{12}$ and $h_2 = g_{12}^{-1} \cdot h_1$. Clearly $\underline{H}$ will act on the right of $\underline{P} \times^{\underline{G}} \underline{H}$ so as to make $\underline{P} \times^{\underline{G}} \underline{H}$ into a $\underline{H}$-torsor. From now on we will only be interested in torsors under the sheaf of groups $\underline{A}_X$ of smooth A valued functions on X associated to an abelian group A.

Given another space Y and a continuous mapping $f : Y \to X$ we have the notion of the pullback of a $\underline{A}_X$-torsor $\underline{P}$ on X (see [7][pages 190–191]). We can pullback the sheaf $\underline{P}$ to a new sheaf $f^{-1}\underline{P}$ on Y. This is now a torsor under the pullback sheaf of groups $f^{-1}\underline{A}_Y$ on Y. There is a canonical morphism of sheaves of groups $f^{-1}\underline{A}_X \to \underline{A}_Y$ over Y. Hence we can construct, using the method outlined above, an $\underline{A}_Y$-torsor $f^{-1}\underline{P} \times^{f^{-1}\underline{A}_X} \underline{A}_Y$ on Y. We will denote this $\underline{A}_Y$-torsor by $f^*\underline{P}$. Given another space Z and a continuous mapping $g : Z \to Y$, we can form two $\underline{A}_Z$-torsors on Z from an $\underline{A}_X$-torsor $\underline{P}$ on X; namely $(fg)^*\underline{P}$ and $g^*f^*\underline{P}$. As mentioned in [7][page 187] these two torsors are not identical but there is an isomorphism $g^*f^*\underline{P} \to (fg)^*\underline{P}$ between them which satisfies a commutativity condition similar to that of diagram (5-4) on page 187 of [7].

Given two $\underline{A}_X$ torsors $\underline{P}_1$ and $\underline{P}_2$ on X we have the notion of the *contracted product* $\underline{A}_X$-torsor $\underline{P}_1 \otimes \underline{P}_2$ on X (see [7][page 191]). To construct $\underline{P}_1 \otimes \underline{P}_2$ we first take the $\underline{A}_X \times \underline{A}_X$-torsor $\underline{P}_1 \times \underline{P}_2$ on X and then using the canonical morphism of sheaves $\underline{A}_X \times \underline{A}_X \to \underline{A}_X$ induced by the product map $A \times A \to A$, we form the $\underline{A}_X$- torsor $(\underline{P}_1 \times \underline{P}_2) \times^{\underline{A}_X \times \underline{A}_X} \underline{A}_X := \underline{P}_1 \otimes \underline{P}_2$.

6.2 Sheaves of categories and gerbes

Definition 6.2 ([5],[7]). A *presheaf of categories* $\mathcal{C}$ on X consists of the following data.

1. for each open set $U \subset X$ a category $\mathcal{C}(U)$.
2. given open sets $V \subset U \subset X$ there is a functor $\rho_{V,U} : \mathcal{C}(U) \to \mathcal{C}(V)$ — the 'restriction' functor. We will sometimes denote the image $\rho_{V,U}(C)$ of an object C of $\mathcal{C}(U)$ by $C|_V$ and the image $\rho_{V,U}(\phi)$ of an arrow ϕ in $\mathcal{C}(U)$ by $\phi|_V$.
3. given open sets $W \subset V \subset U \subset X$ we have $\rho_{W,V} \circ \rho_{V,U} = \rho_{W,U}$.

Strictly speaking we should require that rather than the functors $\rho_{W,V} \circ \rho_{V,U}$ and $\rho_{W,U}$ coinciding, they should differ by an invertible natural transformation which satisfies a certain coherency condition given a fourth open subset of X. In most examples that we meet however we will not need this generality. A *morphism* or *functor* $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ of presheaves of categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ on X consists of the assignment to every open set $U \subset X$ of a functor $\phi_U : \mathcal{C}_U \to \mathcal{D}_U$ in such a way that if V is another open set of X with $V \subset U \subset X$ then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_U \arrow[r, "\phi_U"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}_U \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{C}_V \arrow[r, "\phi_V"] & \mathcal{D}_V
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the two vertical functors are the restriction functors in the presheaves of categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. A *natural transformation* $\tau : \phi \Rightarrow \psi$ between morphisms of presheaves of categories $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ consists of a natural transformation $\tau_U : \phi_U \Rightarrow \psi_U$ for every open set $U \subset X$ which is compatible with restrictions to smaller open sets.

Definition 6.3. A *stack* or *sheaf of categories* on X is a presheaf of categories $\mathcal{C}$ on X which satisfies the following 'gluing' laws or 'effective descent' conditions on objects and arrows. The effective descent conditions on objects are as follows. Given an open set $U \subset X$ and an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of U together with objects $C_i \in Ob\mathcal{C}(U_i)$ and arrows $\phi_{ij} : \rho_{U_{ij},U_i}(C_i) \to \rho_{U_{ij},U_j}(C_j)$ satisfying $\rho_{U_{ijk},U_{jk}}(\phi_{jk})\rho_{U_{ijk},U_{ij}}(\phi_{ij}) = \rho_{U_{ijk},U_{ik}}(\phi_{ik})$ then there is an object C of $\mathcal{C}(U)$ and isomorphisms $\psi_i : \rho_{U_i,U}(C) \to C_i$ compatible with the ϕ_{ij}. The effective descent conditions for arrows are that given an open set $U \subset X$, objects C_1 and C_2 of $\mathcal{C}(U)$ and an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of U together with arrows $\phi_i : \rho_{U_i,U}(C_1) \to \rho_{U_i,U}(C_2)$ satisfying $\rho_{U_{ij},U_i}(\phi_i) = \rho_{U_{ij},U_j}(\phi_j)$ then there is a unique arrow $\phi : C_1 \to C_2$ in $\mathcal{C}(U)$ such that $\rho_{U_i,U}(\phi) = \phi_i$ for all $i \in I$. In other words the set of arrows from C_1 to C_2 in $\mathcal{C}(U)$ forms a sheaf $\underline{Hom}(C_1, C_2)$.

A *morphism* or *functor* of sheaves of categories is just a morphism of the underlying presheaves of categories. A *natural transformation* between morphisms of sheaves of categories is defined in a similar way. An equivalence of stacks $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a morphism of stacks ϕ such that there is a morphism of stacks $\psi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ together with natural transformations $\psi \circ \phi \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\phi \circ \psi \Rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$. If such an equivalence exists then the sheaves of categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are said to be *equivalent*.

The objects and arrows in an arbitrary presheaf of categories may not satisfy the effective descent conditions listed above however, in analogy with the case for presheaves and sheaves of abelian groups, there is a functor, the 'sheafification' functor or 'associated sheaf' functor $\mathcal{C} \to \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ which transforms a presheaf of categories $\mathcal{C}$ on X into a sheaf of categories $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. This is subject to the usual universal condition, namely that given a sheaf of categories $\mathcal{D}$ together with a morphism of presheaves of categories $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, there is a unique morphism of sheaves of categories $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathcal{D}$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C} \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \arrow[d, dotted] \\
 & \mathcal{D}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will briefly discuss the construction of $\tilde{C}$ and refer to [7][pages 192–194] for a more complete discussion. Given an open set $U \subset X$ the category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U$ has objects consisting of triples $(\{U_i\}_{i\in I}, \{P_i\}_{i\in I}, \{f_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I})$ where $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an open cover of U, P_i is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{U_i}$ for each $i \in I$, and $f_{ij} : P_i|_{U_{ij}} \to P_j|_{U_{ij}}$ are arrows in $\mathcal{C}_{U_{ij}}$ which satisfy the descent condition $f_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} f_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} = f_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{U_{ijk}}$. Given such objects $(\{U_i\}, \{P_i\}, \{f_{ij}\})$ and $(\{V_\alpha\}, \{Q_\alpha\}, \{g_{\alpha\beta}\})$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_U$, an arrow $(\{U_i\}, \{P_i\}, \{f_{ij}\}) \to (\{V_\alpha\}, \{Q_\alpha\}, \{g_{\alpha\beta}\})$ is an equivalence class $[\{W_{i,\alpha}\}, \{h_{i,\alpha}\}]$ where $\{W_{i,\alpha}\}_{i\in I,\alpha\in\Sigma}$ is an open cover of U such that $W_{i,\alpha} \subset U_i \cap V_\alpha$ for all $i \in I$, $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $h_{i,\alpha} : P_i|_{W_{i,\alpha}} \to Q_\alpha|_{W_{i,\alpha}}$ is an arrow in $\mathcal{C}_{W_{i,\alpha}}$ which is compatible with the descent data f_{ij} and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ in the sense that the following diagram of arrows in $\mathcal{C}_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}}$ commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P_i|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}} \arrow[r, "h_{i,\alpha}|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}}"] \arrow[d, "f_{ij}|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}}"'] & Q_\alpha|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}} \arrow[d, "g_{\alpha\beta}|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}}"] \\
P_j|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}} \arrow[r, "h_{j,\beta}|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}}"'] & Q_\beta|_{W_{i,\alpha}\cap W_{j,\beta}},
\end{tikzcd}$$

and where two such pairs $(\{W_{i,\alpha}\}, \{h_{i,\alpha}\})$ and $(\{W^{'}_{i,\alpha}\}, \{h^{'}_{i,\alpha}\})$ are declared to be equivalent if there is an open cover $\{W^{''}_{i,\alpha}\}_{i\in I,\alpha\in\Sigma}$ of U such that $W^{''}_{i,\alpha} \subset W_{i,\alpha} \cap W^{'}_{i,\alpha}$ for all $i \in I$ and all $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and such that $h_{i,\alpha}|_{W^{''}_{i,\alpha}} = h^{'}_{i,\alpha}|_{W^{''}_{i,\alpha}}$ for all $i \in I$ and all $\alpha \in \Sigma$. If the presheaf of categories $\mathcal{C}$ has the property that given any two objects P and Q of $\mathcal{C}_U$ for some open set $U \subset X$, the set of arrows $Hom(P, Q)$ is a sheaf then one can show that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a sheaf of categories. Otherwise it is not too hard to see that the presheaf of categories $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has this property and hence $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$ is a sheaf of categories (this is analogous to the case for the associated sheaf of a presheaf, in general one has to apply the sheafification procedure twice to yield a sheaf — see [28] for more details on this). It can also be shown that there is a morphism of presheaves of categories $\mathcal{C} \to \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with the universal property referred to above.

Definition 6.4 ([5],[7]). A *gerbe* $\mathcal{G}$ on X is a sheaf of categories $\mathcal{G}$ on X such that the following three conditions are satisfied:

1. $\mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf of groupoids on X, so for each open set $U \subset X$ the category $\mathcal{G}(U)$ is a groupoid.

2. $\mathcal{G}$ is locally non-empty. This means that there is an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X so that the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U_i)$ is non-empty for each $i \in I$.

3. $\mathcal{G}$ is locally connected. This means that for every open set $U \subset X$ and all pairs of objects P_1 and P_2 in $\mathcal{G}(U)$, there is an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of U such that $Hom(\rho_{U_i,U}(P_1), \rho_{U_i,U}(P_2))$ is non-empty.

Example 6.1. Let $\underline{G}$ be a sheaf of groups on X. For each open subset $U \subset X$, let $Tors(\underline{G})_U$ be the groupoid with objects the $\underline{G}$ torsors $\underline{P}$ on U and morphisms the isomorphisms between $\underline{G}$ torsors on U. Given another open set $V \subset U \subset X$ there is a natural pullback functor $\rho_{V,U} : \mathcal{C}(U) \to \mathcal{C}(V)$ induced by the restriction functors of the $\underline{G}$ torsors. By definition of a sheaf these satisfy $\rho_{W,V}\rho_{V,U} = \rho_{W,U}$ given a third open set $W \subset V \subset U \subset X$. It is straightforward to show that the assignment $U \mapsto Tors(\underline{G})_U$ defines a sheaf of groupoids on X. $Tors(\underline{G})$ is locally non-empty since to any open covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X there is an object of each groupoid $Tors(\underline{G})_{U_i}$ — namely the trivial $\underline{G}$ torsor on U_i. $Tors(\underline{G})$ is also locally connected: given an open set $U \subset X$ and $\underline{G}$ torsors $\underline{P}_1$ and $\underline{P}_2$ then locally $\underline{P}_1$ and $\underline{P}_2$ are isomorphic to the trivial $\underline{G}$ torsor and hence to each other. Therefore $Tors(\underline{G})$ is a gerbe on X.

Note 6.1. In several examples that we will encounter we will be given a presheaf of groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ on X which is locally non-empty and locally connected. One can show that the associated sheaf of groupoids $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on X will be locally non-empty and locally connected as well and hence will define a gerbe on X.

Definition 6.5. We say that a gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ on X is *neutral* if there is a global object of $\mathcal{G}$; ie if $\mathcal{G}_X$ is non-empty.

Example 6.2. The gerbe $Tors(\underline{G})$ is neutral since it has a global object — namely the trivial $\underline{G}$ torsor on X.

Definition 6.6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a gerbe on X and let $\underline{A}$ be a sheaf of abelian groups on X. We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is *bound* by $\underline{A}$, or has *band* equal to $\underline{A}$ if for any object P of $\mathcal{G}(U)$, where $U \subset X$ is an open set, there is an isomorphism of sheaves of groups

$$\underline{Aut}(P) \overset{\eta_P}{\to} \underline{A}$$

over U which is compatible with restriction to smaller open sets. If P_1 and P_2 are two objects of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and $f : P_1 \to P_2$ is an isomorphism between them then we also require that $\eta_{P_2}\underline{Aut}(f) = \eta_{P_1}$. Here $\underline{Aut}(f) : \underline{Aut}(P_1) \to \underline{Aut}(P_2)$ is the isomorphism of sheaves of groups induced by f.

We will only be interested in gerbes with band equal to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^\times$. A morphism of gerbes $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ is a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ of the underlying sheaves of categories which satisfies the extra condition that given an open set $U \subset X$ and an object P of $\mathcal{G}_U$, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{Aut}_{\mathcal{G}_U}(P) \arrow[r, "\phi_U"] \arrow[dr, "\eta_P"'] & \underline{Aut}_{\mathcal{H}_U}(\phi_U(P)) \arrow[d, "\eta_{\phi_U(P)}"] \\
 & \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_U .
\end{tikzcd}$$

A natural transformation between morphisms of gerbes is defined similarly (notice that any natural transformation between morphisms of gerbes must be invertible). There is also the notion of an *equivalence* of gerbes and hence the notion of *equivalent* gerbes. Equivalence of gerbes is clearly an equivalence relation.

Recall from Definition 6.3 above that the set of arrows between two objects P_1 and P_2 in a sheaf of categories is a sheaf $\underline{Hom}(P_1, P_2)$. If P_1 and P_2 are objects of a gerbe then more is true. The sheaf of groups $\underline{Aut}(P_2)$ acts on $\underline{Hom}(P_1, P_2)$ on the right and in fact makes $\underline{Hom}(P_1, P_2)$ into a $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X$ torsor. We have the following Proposition from [7].

Proposition 6.1 ([7]). *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a gerbe on X with band equal to $\underline{A}$ for some sheaf of commutative groups $\underline{A}$ on X. Then we have*

1. *Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset and let P_1 and P_2 be two objects of $\mathcal{G}_U$. Then the sheaf of arrows $\underline{Hom}(P_1, P_2)$ is an $\underline{A}$-torsor.*

2. *Let P be an object of $\mathcal{G}_U$ for some open set $U \subset X$ and let $\underline{I}$ be an $\underline{A}$-torsor on U. Then there is an object Q of $\mathcal{G}_U$ such that there is an isomorphism $\underline{Hom}(P, Q) \simeq \underline{I}$ of $\underline{A}$-torsors on U. The object Q is unique up to isomorphism and is denoted by $P \times^{\underline{A}} \underline{I}$.*

6.3 Properties of gerbes

We will briefly review some ways of constructing new gerbes from existing gerbes from [7][pages 198–200]. First of all suppose we have a gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ on X with band equal to the sheaf of abelian groups $\underline{A}$ on X. Suppose that there is a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups $\underline{A} \to \underline{B}$ on X. Then we can construct a new gerbe on X, bound by $\underline{B}$ and denoted $\mathcal{G} \times^{\underline{A}} \underline{B}$ as follows. First we construct a presheaf of groupoids on X by letting $(\mathcal{G} \times^{\underline{A}} \underline{B})_U$ have objects the objects of $\mathcal{G}_U$ but given two objects P_1 and P_2 of $(\mathcal{G} \times^{\underline{A}} \underline{B})_U$ we let $Hom_{(}\mathcal{G} \times^{\underline{A}} \underline{B})_U(P_1, P_2) = Hom_{\mathcal{G}_U}(P_1, P_2) \times^{\underline{A}} \underline{B}$. This presheaf of groupoids is clearly locally non-empty and locally connected. We define $\mathcal{G} \times^{\underline{A}} \underline{B}$ to be the sheaf of groupoids associated to this presheaf.

Given two gerbes $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ on X bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X$ we have the notion of the *contracted product* gerbe $\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2$ on X. This is also a gerbe bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X$. It is constructed as follows. We first form the gerbe $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2$ on X, ie the sheaf of groupoids $U \mapsto \mathcal{G}_1(U) \times \mathcal{G}_2(U)$. This is gerbe bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X \times \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X$. Ordinary multiplication in $\mathbb{C}^\times$ induces a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X \times \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X$. We define $\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2 = (\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2) \times^{\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X \times \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X} \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_X$ — see [7][page 200] for a direct description of this gerbe.

Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is a gerbe on X. Then we have the notion of the *dual* gerbe $\mathcal{G}^*$ on X associated to $\mathcal{G}$. $\mathcal{G}^*$ is defined as follows. We let $\mathcal{G}^*$ have fibre $\mathcal{G}^*(U)$ at open set $U \subset X$

equal to the opposite groupoid $\mathcal{G}(U)^\circ$ of $\mathcal{G}(U)$. Given open sets $V \subset U \subset X$, $\mathcal{G}^*$ inherits restriction functors $\mathcal{G}^*(U) \to \mathcal{G}^*(V)$ from the $\rho_{U,V} : \mathcal{G}(U) \to \mathcal{G}(V)$. These restriction functors satisfy the compatibility condition given a third open set $W \subset V \subset U \subset X$. It is not hard to see that the assignment $U \mapsto \mathcal{G}^*(U)$ defines a sheaf of categories and it is also easy to see that this stack is locally non-empty and locally connected and hence defines a gerbe.

Given a smooth map $f : Y \to X$ between smooth manifolds X and Y and a gerbe $\mathcal{C}$ on X bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^\times$, we have the notion of the *pullback gerbe* $f^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ on Y — see [7][page 198]. This is a gerbe bound by the sheaf of groups $f^{-1}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^\times$ on X. $f^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ is obtained by sheafifying the presheaf of categories $U \mapsto f^{-1}\mathcal{C}_U$, where $f^{-1}\mathcal{C}_U$ is the groupoid whose objects consist of pairs (V, P), where $V \subset X$ is an open set of X such that $f(U) \subset V$ and P is an object of $\mathcal{C}_V$. An arrow $(V_1, P_1) \to (V_2, P_2)$ is an equivalence class $[W_{12}, \alpha_{12}]$, where $W_{12} \subset X$ is an open subset of X such that $f(U) \subset W_{12}$ and $W_{12} \subset V_1 \cap V_2$ and $\alpha_{12} : P_1|_{W_{12}} \to P_2|_{W_{12}}$ is an arrow in $\mathcal{C}_{W_{12}}$, under the equivalence relation $(W_{12}, \alpha_{12}) \sim (W'_{12}, \alpha'_{12})$ if there exists an open set $W''_{12} \subset X$ with $W''_{12} \subset W_{12} \cap W'_{12}$, $f(U) \subset W''_{12}$ and $\alpha_{12}|_{W''_{12}} = \alpha'_{12}|_{W''_{12}}$. If we have a third object (V_3, P_3) and an arrow $[W_{23}, \alpha_{23}]$ from (V_2, P_2) to (V_3, P_3), then the composite arrow $[W_{23}, \alpha_{23}][W_{12}, \alpha_{12}] : (V_1, P_1) \to (V_2, P_2)$ is defined by $[W_{23}, \alpha_{23}][W_{12}, \alpha_{12}] = [W_{23} \cap W_{12}, \alpha_{23}|_{W_{23} \cap W_{12}} \alpha_{12}|_{W_{23} \cap W_{12}}]$. One can check that this respects the equivalence relation $\sim$ defined above. One can check (see [7][Proposition 5.2.6]) that the presheaf of groupoids $f^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ is locally non-empty and locally connected and hence the same will be true of the sheaf of groupoids associated to $f^{-1}\mathcal{C}$.

We will usually want $f^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ to be a gerbe bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_Y^\times$. We can arrange this as follows (see [7][page 203]). Observe that we have a morphism of sheaves of groups $f^{-1}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^\times \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_Y^\times$ and hence we can form a new gerbe bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_Y^\times$ in the manner described above. We will use the notation of [7] and denote this new gerbe on Y bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_Y^\times$ by $f^*\mathcal{C}$.

We also have the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.1 ([6]). *Suppose we have smooth manifolds X, Y and Z and smooth maps $f : Y \to X$ and $g : Y \to Z$. Suppose we are also given a gerbe $\mathcal{C}$ on X. Then there is an equivalence of gerbes $\phi_{f,g} : g^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (fg)^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ on Z such that, given a fourth smooth manifold W and a smooth map $h : W \to Z$ then there is a natural transformation between the equivalences of gerbes as pictured in the following diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
h^{-1}g^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "h^{-1}\phi_{f,g}"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{g,h}"'] & h^{-1}(fg)^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "\phi_{fg,h}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\theta_{f,g,h}"] \\
(gh)^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "\phi_{f,gh}"'] & (fgh)^{-1}\mathcal{C}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The natural transformation $\theta_{f,g,h}$ satisfies the following coherency condition: given a fifth smooth manifold V and a smooth map $k : V \to W$ we require the following diagram *of natural transformations to commute.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& k^{-1}h^{-1}g^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & & k^{-1}h^{-1}(fg)^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[dr] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "k^{-1}\theta_{f,g,h}"] & \\
(hk)^{-1}g^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[dr] & & k^{-1}(gh)^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "\theta_{g,h,k}"'] \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] & & k^{-1}(fgh)^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dlll, Rightarrow, "\theta_{f,gh,k}"] \\
& (ghk)^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[rr] & {} \arrow[d, equal] & (fghk)^{-1}\mathcal{C} & \\
& k^{-1}h^{-1}g^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] & {} & k^{-1}h^{-1}(fg)^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] \arrow[dlll, equal] & \\
(hk)^{-1}g^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & (hk)^{-1}(fg)^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[dr] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\theta_{f,g,hk}"] & & k^{-1}(fgh)^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "\theta_{fg,h,k}"'] \arrow[dl] \\
& (ghk)^{-1}f^{-1}\mathcal{C} \arrow[rr] & & (fghk)^{-1}\mathcal{C}. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is also a version of this Lemma with f^{-1} replaced by f^*.

We now turn to discuss the characteristic class associated to gerbes, the *Dixmier-Douady* class. This is a class in the sheaf cohomology group $H^2(X;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^\times)$ and hence we can regard it as a class in $H^3(X;\mathbb{Z})$ via the long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology induced by the short exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups

$$1 \to \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^\times \to 1.$$

We briefly recall how to construct this class and refer to [7] and [5] for more details — particularly [5] where there is no assumption made about gerbes being bound by sheaves of abelian groups. We also assume throughout the remainder of the chapter that all the gerbes we encounter are gerbes over a smooth paracompact manifold X. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be such a gerbe and choose an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X such that each non-empty intersection $U_{i_0}\cap\cdots\cap U_{i_p}$ is contractible. Since U_i is contractible we can choose objects C_i of $\mathcal{C}(U_i)$. Choose arrows $f_{ij} : \rho_{U_{ij},U_i}(C_i) \to \rho_{U_{ij},U_j}(C_j)$ in $\mathcal{C}(U_{ij})$. It is not immediately obvious that such arrows exist — indeed the axioms for a gerbe only imply that given a choice of the objects C_i there is an open cover $\{U_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma_{ij}}$ of U_{ij} such that there exist arrows $f_{ij}^\alpha : \rho_{U_{ij}^\alpha,U_i}(C_i) \to \rho_{U_{ij}^\alpha,U_j}(C_j)$ for each $\alpha \in \Sigma_{ij}$. This is the viewpoint taken in [5]. To see that in fact the arrows f_{ij} exist in the present case we use Proposition 6.1 to conclude that the sheaf $\underline{Isom}(\rho_{U_{ij},U_i}(C_i), \rho_{U_{ij},U_j}(C_j))$ is a $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{U_{ij}}^\times$ torsor. Such objects are classified by the sheaf cohomology group $H^1(U_{ij}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{U_{ij}}^\times)$ which is zero, since U_{ij} is assumed contractible. This means that there is an isomorphism $f_{ij} : \rho_{U_{ij},U_i}(C_i) \to \rho_{U_{ij},U_j}(C_j)$. Now, in $\mathcal{C}_{U_{ijk}}$, we form the automorphism $\rho_{U_{ijk},U_{ki}}(f_{ki})\rho_{U_{ijk},U_{jk}}(f_{jk})\rho_{U_{ijk},U_{ij}}(f_{ij})$ of $\rho_{U_{ijk},U_i}(C_i)$ and identify it with a map $\epsilon_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ under the isomorphism $\underline{Aut}(C_i) \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{U_i}^\times$. It is easy to see that in fact ϵ_{ijk} defines a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ valued Čech two cocycle which is independent of all choices involved. The class ϵ_{ijk} defines in $H^2(X;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X^\times)$ is called the Dixmier-Douady class of the gerbe $\mathcal{C}$, and denoted by $DD(\mathcal{C})$. We summarise the main properties of the Dixmier-Douady class in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2 ([7]). *The Dixmier-Douady class has the following properties:*

1. *The Dixmier-Douady class of the trivial gerbe* $Tors(\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{X})$ *is zero.*
2. *The contracted product* $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ *of two gerbes* $\mathcal{C}$ *and* $\mathcal{D}$ *on* X *has* $DD(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) = DD(\mathcal{C}) + DD(\mathcal{D})$.
3. *The dual* $\mathcal{C}^*$ *of a gerbe* $\mathcal{C}$ *has* $DD(\mathcal{C}^*) = -DD(\mathcal{C})$.
4. *If* $f : Y \to X$ *is a smooth map and* $\mathcal{C}$ *is a gerbe on* X *then* $f^*\mathcal{C}$ *has Dixmier-Douady class* $DD(f^*\mathcal{C}) = f^*DD(\mathcal{C})$.

Equivalence between gerbes defines an equivalence relation on the class of all gerbes on X *and the operations of contracted product and duals make the set of equivalence classes of gerbes on* X *into an abelian group with identity element the trivial gerbe of torsors on* X. *The Dixmier- Douady class supplies an homomorphism from the group of all equivalence classes of gerbes to* $H^2(X; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{X})$. *This homomorphism is an isomorphism.*

It is worth noting that given gerbes $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ on X with a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between them which is not necessarily an equivalence, then $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ have the same Dixmier-Douady class. Indeed, given an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X and objects C_i of $\mathcal{C}(U_i)$ and arrows f_{ij} as above, then we get objects $\phi_{U_i}(C_i)$ of $\mathcal{D}(U_i)$ and arrows $\phi_{U_{ij}}(f_{ij})$ of $\mathcal{D}(U_{ij})$ which give rise to the same Čech two cocycle ϵ_{ijk} as for $\mathcal{C}$.

6.4 The gerbe $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$

Proposition 6.3 ([19]). *Given two gerbes* $\mathcal{P}$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}$ *on* M, *both bound by* $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{M}$, *we can form a new gerbe* $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ *on* M *also bound by* $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{M}$. *The fibre of* $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ *over an open set* U *of* M *is* $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(U) = Hom(\mathcal{P}(U), \mathcal{Q}(U))$ *— that is to say the category with objects equal to the morphisms between the gerbes* $\mathcal{P}(U)$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}(U)$ *and whose arrows are the natural isomorphisms between these morphisms. Furthermore there is an equivalence of the gerbes* $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ *and* $\mathcal{P}^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ *on* M. *There is also a 'subgerbe' of* $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, *namely the gerbe* $EQ(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ *whose fibre at an open set* $U \subset M$ *is* $EQ(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(U) = Eq(\mathcal{P}(U), \mathcal{Q}(U))$, *ie the category whose objects are the equivalences of gerbes* $\mathcal{P}_U \to \mathcal{Q}_U$ *and whose arrows are the natural transformations between these equivalences. Clearly there is a morphism of gerbes* $EQ(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \to HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ *and hence the gerbes* $EQ(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ *and* $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{P}^*$ *are all equivalent.*

Note that this does not require that $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are equivalent gerbes.

Proof. To show that this does indeed define a gerbe we first of all need to show that the assignment $U \to HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(U)$ defines a stack in groupoids on M — that is the gluing laws for objects and arrows are satisfied — and that $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is locally non-empty and locally connected. Note that by definition $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})_U$ is a groupoid. Also $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ defines a presheaf of categories by definition of a morphism of gerbes. Explicitly if $V \subset U$ are open subsets of M then there are natural restriction functors $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})_U \to HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})_V$ obtained by restricting a morphism $\phi_U : \mathcal{P}_U \to \mathcal{Q}_U$ to V and similarly for natural transformations. We have to show that in fact $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ defines a sheaf of categories.

Suppose then that we are given an open set $U \subset M$ together with an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of U by open subsets U_i of M such that there exist objects (ie morphisms of gerbes) ϕ_i of $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})_{U_i}$ for every $i \in I$ and arrows (ie natural transformations) $\theta_{ij} : \phi_i|_{U_{ij}} \Rightarrow \phi_j|_{U_{ij}}$ in $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})_{U_{ij}}$ which satisfy the gluing condition $\theta_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}}\theta_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} = \theta_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}$ in $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})_{U_{ijk}}$. We need to construct a morphism of gerbes $\phi : \mathcal{P}_U \to \mathcal{Q}_U$ which is isomorphic to ϕ_i when restricted to U_i. Let $V \subset U$ and suppose P is an object of $\mathcal{P}_V$. Consider the objects $\phi_i|_{V\cap U_i}(P|_{V\cap U_i})$ of $\mathcal{Q}_{V\cap U_i}$. The natural transformations θ_{ij} provide arrows $\theta_{ij}|_{V\cap U_{ij}}(P|_{V\cap U_{ij}}) : \phi_i|_{V\cap U_i}(P|_{V\cap U_i})|_{V\cap U_{ij}} \to \phi_j|_{V\cap U_j}(P|_{V\cap U_j})|_{V\cap U_{ij}}$ which are descent data in the gerbe $\mathcal{Q}$ because of the condition $\theta_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}}\theta_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} = \theta_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}$. Let the descended object of $\mathcal{Q}_V$ be Q say. We put $\phi_V(P) = Q$. In a similar way one can define the action of ϕ_V on arrows of $\mathcal{P}_V$ and it follows from the uniqueness of gluing in sheaves that this defines a functor. It is also not hard to see that that this functor is compatible with the restriction functors in $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ and induces the identity morphism on the bands of the gerbes $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$. Finally, note that since Q is the descended object for the descent data $\phi_i|_{V\cap U_i}(P|_{V\cap U_i})$ and $\theta_{ij}|_{V\cap U_{ij}}(P|_{V\cap U_{ij}})$ there are isomorphisms $\chi_i : Q|_{V\cap U_i} \to \phi_i|_{V\cap U_i}(P|_{V\cap U_i})$ compatible with the $\theta_{ij}|_{V\cap U_{ij}}(P|_{V\cap U_{ij}})$. These isomorphisms induce natural transformations, also denoted χ_i from ϕ_{U_i} to ϕ_i.

We now have to show that arrows glue properly. Suppose we are given morphisms of gerbes $\phi, \psi : \mathcal{P}_U \to \mathcal{Q}_U$, ie objects of $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})_U$, and suppose also that we are given an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of U by open subsets U_i of M such that there exist natural transformations $\tau_i : \phi_{U_i} \Rightarrow \psi_{U_i}$ for each $i \in I$ such that $\tau_i|_{U_{ij}} = \tau_j|_{U_{ij}}$. Let P be an object of $\mathcal{P}_U$ and let $P_i = P|_{U_i}$. The τ_i provide arrows $\tau_i(P_i) : \phi_{U_i}(P_i) \to \psi_{U_i}(P_i)$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{U_i}$. Since ϕ and ψ are morphisms of gerbes we can think of the $\tau_i(P_i)$ as arrows $\phi_U(P)|_{U_i} \to \psi_U(P)|_{U_i}$. We have $\tau_i(P_i)|_{U_{ij}} = \tau_i|_{U_{ij}}(P|_{U_{ij}}) = \tau_j|_{U_{ij}}(P|_{U_{ij}}) = \tau_j(P_j)|_{U_{ij}}$. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is a gerbe and hence a sheaf of groupoids, there is a unique arrow $\tau_U(P) : \phi_U(P) \to \psi_U(P)$ such that $\tau_U(P)|_{U_i} = \tau_i(P|_{U_i})$. The assignment $P \mapsto \tau_U(P)$ defines a natural transformation. Hence $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a sheaf of groupoids.

We will omit the proof that $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is locally non-empty and locally connected and refer instead to [19] where it is also shown that $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ has band equal to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$.

To show that there is an equivalence of gerbes between $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{P}^* \otimes \mathcal{Q}$, we calculate the Dixmier-Douady class of $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M such that there exist morphisms $\phi_i : \mathcal{P}_i \to \mathcal{Q}_i$ where $\mathcal{P}_i$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ to U_i respectively. We can choose the open cover $\mathcal{U}$ so that there exist natural isomorphisms $\alpha_{ij} : \phi_i|_{U_{ij}} \Rightarrow \phi_j|_{U_{ij}}$ in $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})(U_{ij})$. Then the Dixmier-Douady class of $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ will be given by choosing an object $P \in Ob(\mathcal{P}(U_{ijk}))$ and forming the cocycle $\alpha_{ki}|_{U_{ijk}}(P)\alpha_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}}(P)\alpha_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}}(P)$. We want to relate this cocycle to the cocycles representing the Dixmier-Douady classes of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$.

To do this we first need to choose objects $P_i \in Ob\ (\mathcal{P}(U_i))$ for each $i \in I$. Again we can assume that there exist arrows $f_{ij} : P_i|_{U_{ij}} \to P_j|_{U_{ij}}$ in $\mathcal{P}(U_{ij})$. Since α_{ij} is a natural transformation we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi_i|_{U_{ij}}(P_i|_{U_{ij}}) \arrow[r, "\phi_i|_{U_{ij}}(f_{ij})"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{ij}(P_i|_{U_{ij}})"'] & \phi_i|_{U_{ij}}(P_j|_{U_{ij}}) \arrow[d, "\alpha_{ij}(P_j|_{U_{ij}})"] \\
\phi_j|_{U_{ij}}(P_i|_{U_{ij}}) \arrow[r, "\phi_j|_{U_{ij}}(f_{ij})"] & \phi_j|_{U_{ij}}(P_j|_{U_{ij}}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

This induces the following diagram of arrows in $\mathcal{Q}(U_{ijk})$ (We have omitted some restriction functors for convenience of notation).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\phi_i(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r, "\phi_i(f_{ij})|_{U_{ijk}}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}}(P_i|_{U_{ijk}})"'] \arrow[dr] & \phi_i(P_j)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r, "\phi_i(f_{jk})|_{U_{ijk}}"] \arrow[d] & \phi_i(P_k)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r, "\phi_i(f_{ik})|_{U_{ijk}}"] \arrow[d] & \phi_i(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[d] \\
\phi_j(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{jk}(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}}"'] & \phi_j(P_j)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \phi_j(P_k)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \phi_j(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[d] \\
\phi_k(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{ki}(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}}"'] & \phi_k(P_j)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \phi_k(P_k)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \phi_k(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[d] \\
\phi_i(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] & \phi_i(P_j)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] & \phi_i(P_k)|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[r] & \phi_i(P_i)|_{U_{ijk}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The diagonal arrow is a cocycle representing the Dixmier-Douady class of the gerbe $\mathcal{Q}$, while the bottom horizontal arrow is a cocycle representing the class of $\mathcal{P}$. Since the left vertical arrow represents the Dixmier-Douady class of $HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, we must have $DD(HOM(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})) = DD(\mathcal{Q}) - DD(\mathcal{P})$. ☐

For us the main point of this Proposition is that one can glue a family of locally defined morphisms between two gerbes together to form a global morphism between the two gerbes. This is also the case for bundle gerbes and stable morphisms between bundle gerbes. More precisely, suppose we have bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) together with stable morphisms $\phi_i : P|_{U_i} \to Q_{U_i}$ where $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover of M. Suppose we also have transformations $\theta_{ij} : \phi_i \Rightarrow \phi_j$ between the restrictions of the stable morphisms ϕ_i and ϕ_j to U_{ij} which satisfy the gluing condition $\theta_{jk}\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ik}$ on U_{ijk}. Then there is a stable morphism $\phi : P \to Q$ together with transformations $\xi_i : \phi|_{U_i} \Rightarrow \phi_i$ which are compatible with θ_{ij}.

To see this suppose the stable morphisms ϕ_i correspond to trivialisations $L_{\phi_i} = L_i$ of $P^* \otimes Q$ on $X \times_{U_i} Y$. Then the transformations θ_{ij} provide isomorphisms $\tilde{\theta}_{ij} : L_i \to L_j$ over $X \times_{U_{ij}} Y$. The gluing condition $\theta_{jk}\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ik}$ translates into the condition $\tilde{\theta}_{jk} \circ \tilde{\theta}_{ij} = \tilde{\theta}_{ik}$. Hence we can use the standard clutching construction to glue the various principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles L_i together to form a trivialisation L defined on the whole of $X \times_M Y$.

Unfortunately there is no general method for gluing together bundle gerbe morphisms; ie given bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) together with locally defined bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f}_i : P|_{U_i} \to Q|_{U_i}$ and transformations of bundle gerbe morphisms $\theta_{ij} : \bar{f}_i|_{U_{ij}} \Rightarrow \bar{f}_j|_{U_{ij}}$ satisfying the gluing condition $\theta_{jk}\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ik}$ over U_{ijk} then there is no general way to construct a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} : P \to Q$ which restricts to $\bar{f}_i$.

6.5 The gerbe associated to a bundle gerbe

We will briefly review a construction given in [34] which associates a gerbe $\mathcal{G}(P)$ to a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) in such a way that $DD(P) = DD(\mathcal{G}(P))$. Given an open set $U \subset M$ we define a groupoid $\mathcal{G}(P)_U$ as follows. The objects of $\mathcal{G}(P)_U$ consist of line bundles $L \to X_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$ together with an isomorphism $\eta : \delta(L) \to P_{X_U^{[2]}}$ of line bundles covering the identity on $X_U^{[2]} = (\pi^{[2]})^{-1}(U)$ and commuting with the bundle gerbe products. (Here we regard $\delta(L)$ as a trivial bundle gerbe). An arrow in

$\mathcal{G}(P)_U$ from an object (L_1, η_1) to an object (L_2, η_2) is an isomorphism of line bundles $\alpha : L_1 \to L_2$ which is compatible with η_1 and η_2 in the sense that $\eta_2 \delta(\alpha) = \eta_1$. Given another open set V with $V \subset U \subset M$ then are canonical pullback functors $\rho_{V,U} : \mathcal{G}(P)_U \to \mathcal{G}(P)_V$ satisfying $\rho_{W,V}\rho_{V,U} = \rho_{W,U}$. In [34] it is shown that the assignment $U \mapsto \mathcal{G}(P)_U$ defines a gerbe. To see that the band of this gerbe is equal to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$, note that if (L, η) is an object of $\mathcal{G}(P)_U$ then, since we may identify an automorphism α of (L, η) with a function $f : X_U \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, the condition $\eta\delta(\alpha) = \eta$ forces $\delta(f) = 1$ and so f must descend to a function $\tilde{f} : U \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. In this way we can construct an isomorphism $\underline{Aut}(L, \eta) \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_U$ which is compatible with restriction to smaller open sets and with isomorphisms $Aut(L_1, \eta_1) \to Aut(L_2, \eta_2)$ induced by an isomorphism $(L_1, \eta_1) \to (L_2, \eta_2)$. The advantage of this construction is that one does not need to apply a 'sheafification' procedure to force objects and arrows to satisfy the effective descent conditions. To see that the objects of $\mathcal{G}(P)_U$ satisfy effective descent note that if $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ is an open cover of U, (L_i, η_i) are objects of $\mathcal{G}(P)_{U_i}$ and $\alpha_{ij} : (L_i, \eta_i)|_{U_{ij}} \to (L_j, \eta_j)|_{U_{ij}}$ are arrows of $\mathcal{G}(P)_{U_{ij}}$ satisfying $\alpha_{jk}\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ik}$ then we can glue the line bundles L_i together with the α_{ij} using the standard clutching construction to form a line bundle L on X_U. The η_i glue together to form an isomorphism $\eta : \delta(L) \to P_{X^{[2]}_U}$ which trivialises $P_{X^{[2]}_U}$. Similarly one can show that the arrows of $\mathcal{G}(P)_U$ satisfy the effective descent condition.

To see that $\mathcal{G}(P)$ had Dixmier-Douady class equal to that of the bundle gerbe P, choose an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M, all of whose non-empty intersections $U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}$ are contractible, such that there exist local sections $s_i : U_i \to X$ of π. We can define objects (L_i, η_i) of $\mathcal{G}(P)_{U_i}$ by setting $L_i = \hat{s}_i^{-1} P_{X^{[2]}_{U_i}}$, where $\hat{s}_i : X_{U_i} \to X^{[2]}_{U_i}$ is the map which sends $x \in X_{U_i}$ to $(x, s_i(\pi(x))) \in X^{[2]}_{U_i}$. $\eta_i : \delta(L_i) \to P_{X^{[2]}_{U_i}}$ is induced by the bundle gerbe product on P. We can define arrows $\alpha_{ij} : (L_i, \eta_i)|_{U_{ij}} \to (L_j, \eta_j)|_{U_{ij}}$ by first choosing sections $\sigma_{ij} : U_{ij} \to (s_i, s_j)^{-1}P$ and then defining $\alpha_{ij}(u_i) = m_P(\sigma(\pi_{L_i}(u_i)) \otimes u_i)$ for $u_i \in L_i$, where $\pi_{L_i} : L_i \to X_{U_i}$ denotes the projection. If we now calculate the Čech cocycle representative for the Dixmier-Douady class of $\mathcal{G}(P)$ from this data, then we get it exactly equal to the cocycle for the bundle gerbe P. We summarise this discussion in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4 ([34]). *Given a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) the assignment $U \mapsto \mathcal{G}(P)_U$ defined above is a gerbe with Dixmier-Douady class equal to the Dixmier-Douady class of the bundle gerbe P.*

Note 6.2. One can show that a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} : P \to Q$ induces a morphism of gerbes $\mathcal{G}(f) : \mathcal{G}(P) \to \mathcal{G}(Q)$ and that a transformation $\theta : \bar{f} \Rightarrow \bar{g}$ induces a transformation $\mathcal{G}(\theta) : \mathcal{G}(f) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(g)$ between the induced morphisms of gerbes. Thus we can think of $\mathcal{G}$ as furnishing us with a 2-functor from the 2-category of bundle gerbes and bundle gerbe morphisms to the 2-category of gerbes. Given two bundle gerbes P_1 and P_2 on M one can show that there is an equivalence of gerbes $\mathcal{G}(P_1 \otimes P_2) \simeq \mathcal{G}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{G}(P_2)$ and one can show as well that given a bundle gerbe P on M and a smooth map $f : N \to M$ there is an equivalence of gerbes $\mathcal{G}(f^{-1}P) \simeq f^*\mathcal{G}(P)$. Unfortunately I have been unable to show that these equivalences behave as one would like; namely that the equivalence $\mathcal{G}(f^{-1}P) \simeq f^*\mathcal{G}(P)$ commutes with the equivalences $\phi_{f,g}$ of Lemma 6.1 and the equivalence $\mathcal{G}(P_1 \otimes P_2) \simeq \mathcal{G}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{G}(P_2)$ commutes with the equivalence $\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes (\mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \mathcal{G}_3) \simeq (\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_2) \otimes \mathcal{G}_3$ of gerbes $\mathcal{G}_1$, $\mathcal{G}_2$ and $\mathcal{G}_3$ on M.

We now wish to show how a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) with bundle gerbe connection ∇ and curving f gives rise to a connective structure and curving on the associated gerbe $\mathcal{G}(P)$. We first need to recall the definition of connective structure and curving from [7] and [8].

Definition 6.7 ([7], [8]). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a gerbe on a smooth manifold M. A *connective structure* on $\mathcal{G}$ s given by the following data.

1. For every open set $U \subset M$, an assignment to each object P of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ of an $\underline{\Omega}^1_M$ torsor $\underline{Co}(P)$ on U which is compatible with the pullback functors $\rho_{V,U}$. Sections of $\underline{Co}(P)$ are usually denoted by ∇.

2. Given objects P_1 and P_2 of $\mathcal{G}_U$ and an isomorphism $f : P_1 \to P_2$, there is an isomorphism $f_* : \underline{Co}(P_1) \to \underline{Co}(P_2)$ of $\underline{\Omega}^1_M$ torsors on U, which is compatible with composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and with the pullback functors $\rho_{V,U}$.

3. Given an object P of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and an automorphism $f : P \to P$ then we require that $f_* : \underline{Co}(P) \to \underline{Co}(P)$ is the automorphism defined by $\nabla \mapsto \nabla + df/f$, where we regard f as an element of $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_U$.

A *curving* for a connective structure on a gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ on M consists of an assignment to each open set $U \subset M$, each object P of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and each section ∇ of $\underline{Co}(P)$ of a two form $f(P, \nabla)$ on U satisfying the following conditions:

1. For objects P_1 and P_2 of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and isomorphisms $\phi : P_1 \to P_2$ in $\mathcal{G}(U)$ we have $f(P_1, \nabla) = f(P_2, \phi_*(\nabla))$ for all sections ∇ of $\underline{Co}(P_1)$.

2. If A is a one form on U then for any object P of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and any section ∇ of $\underline{Co}(P)$ we have $f(P, \nabla + A) = f(P, \nabla) + dA$.

3. Given open sets $V \subset U \subset M$, an object P of $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and a section ∇ of $\underline{Co}(P)$, the assignment $(P, \nabla) \mapsto f(P, \nabla)$ is required to be compatible with restrictions to smaller open sets.

We now show how a bundle gerbe connection ∇ with a curving f on a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) gives rise to a connective structure and a curving on the associated gerbe $\mathcal{G}(P)$ on M. Let $U \subset M$ be an open subset of M. Let (L, η) be an object of $\mathcal{G}(P)_U$. Let ∇_L be any connection on L. ∇_L induces a connection $\delta(\nabla_L)$ on $\delta(L)$. We also have the connection $\eta^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ \eta$ on $\delta(L)$ induced by ∇. These two connections must differ by the pullback of a one form A on $X^{[2]}_U$. Since ∇ is a bundle gerbe connection, we must have $\delta(A) = 0$. Hence we can solve $A = \delta(B)$ for some one form B on X_U. Therefore if we had originally defined ∇_L to be equal to $\nabla_L - B$ then we would have $\delta(\nabla_L) = \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ \eta$. Therefore we define $\underline{Co}(L, \eta)$ to be the $\underline{\Omega}^1_U$ torsor consisting of the connections ∇_L on L satisfying $\delta(\nabla_L) = \eta^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ \eta$. It is clear from the previous discussion that this does indeed define an $\underline{\Omega}^1_U$ torsor. It is also clear that the conditions (1) and (2) above are satisfied. To see that condition (3) is satisfied, let $f : U \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an automorphism of (L, η) under the isomorphism $\underline{Aut}(L, \eta) \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$. Then if ∇_L is a section of $\underline{Co}(L, \eta)$ then we have $f_*(\nabla) = \nabla + \pi^*(df/f)$, as required. To define a curving for this connective structure, simply $f(L, \eta, \nabla_L)$ be the two form $F_{\nabla_L} - f$ where F_{∇_L} is the curvature of the connection ∇_L on L. Since $\delta(F_{\nabla_L} - f) = 0$ we may identify $f(L, \eta, \nabla_L)$ with a two form on U. This clearly defines a curving for the connective structure on $\mathcal{G}(P)$.

6.6 Higher gluing laws

In this section we discuss how to glue together a family of gerbes $\mathcal{G}_i$ defined on an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M to get a gerbe defined on the whole of M. This has an extra level of complication in that the gluing morphisms $\phi_{ij} : \mathcal{G}_j \to \mathcal{G}_i$ need not satisfy $\phi_{jk}\phi_{ij} = \phi_{ik}$ but rather there is a natural transformation $\psi_{ijk} : \phi_{jk}\phi_{ij} \Rightarrow \phi_{ik}$ satisfying a certain cocycle condition over U_{ijkl}. The triple $(\mathcal{G}_i, \phi_{ij}, \psi_{ijk})$ is called *2-descent data.* We will need the results of this section in Chapter 13.

Proposition 6.5 ([5]). *Suppose we are given an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X and gerbes $\mathcal{G}_i$ on U_i for each $i \in I$ as well as morphisms of gerbes $\varphi_{ij} : \mathcal{G}_i \to \mathcal{G}_j$ between the restrictions of the gerbes $\mathcal{G}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_j$ to U_{ij}, which satisfy $\varphi_{ii} = id_{\mathcal{G}_i}$. Suppose we are also given natural transformations $\psi_{ijk} : \varphi_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}}\varphi_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} \Rightarrow \varphi_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}}$ over U_{ijk} which satisfy the following condition (the non-abelian 2-cocycle condition): the diagram of natural transformations below commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}_i \arrow[rr, "\varphi_{ij}"] \arrow[dd, "\varphi_{il}"'] \arrow[ddrr] & & \mathcal{G}_j \arrow[dd, "\varphi_{jk}"'] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\psi_{ijk}"] & & \mathcal{G}_i \arrow[rr, "\varphi_{ij}"] \arrow[dd, "\varphi_{il}"] & & \mathcal{G}_j \arrow[dd, "\varphi_{jk}"] \arrow[ddll] \\
& {} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\psi_{ikl}"'] & {} \arrow[rr, equal] & & {} & {} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\psi_{ijl}"] & \\
\mathcal{G}_l & & \mathcal{G}_k \arrow[ll, "\varphi_{kl}"'] & & \mathcal{G}_l & & \mathcal{G}_k. \arrow[ll, "\varphi_{kl}"'] \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "\psi_{jkl}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

What this means is that after pasting together the natural transformations in each diagram, the two natural transformations between the morphisms bounding the above diagrams are equal; so in other words we have

$$\psi_{ikl}(1_{\varphi_{kl}} \circ \psi_{ijk}) = \psi_{ijl}(\psi_{jkl} \circ 1_{\varphi_{ij}}).$$

Then there exists a gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ on X and an equivalence of gerbes $\chi_i : \mathcal{G}|_{U_i} \to \mathcal{G}_i$ over U_i together with natural transformations $\xi_{ij} : \varphi_{ij}\chi_i|_{U_{ij}} \Rightarrow \chi_j|_{U_{ij}}$ which are compatible with the natural transformations ψ_{ijk} in the sense that the following diagram of natural transformations commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[rr, "\chi_i"] \arrow[dd, "\chi_k"'] & & \mathcal{G}_i \arrow[dd, "\varphi_{ij}"'] \arrow[ddll] & & \mathcal{G}|_{U_{ijk}} \arrow[rr, "\chi_i"] \arrow[dd, "\chi_k"'] \arrow[ddrr] & & \mathcal{G}_i \arrow[dd, "\varphi_{ij}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\xi_{ij}"'] \\
& {} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\xi_{ik}"] & {} \arrow[rr, equal] & & {} & {} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\xi_{jk}"'] & \\
\mathcal{G}_k & & \mathcal{G}_j \arrow[ll, "\varphi_{jk}"] \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "\psi_{ijk}"] & & \mathcal{G}_k & & \mathcal{G}_j. \arrow[ll, "\varphi_{jk}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

In other words we have

$$\xi_{ik}(\psi_{ijk} \circ 1_{\chi_i}) = \xi_{jk}(1_{\varphi_{jk}} \circ \xi_{ij}).$$

We have an analogue of the above proposition for bundle gerbes and stable morphisms. We have to exercise a bit more care here though because composition of stable morphisms is not strictly associative but rather associative up to a coherent transformation (see Proposition 3.9).

Proposition 6.6. *Let* $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ *be an open cover of* M *by open subsets* U_i *of* M*. Suppose for each* $i \in I$ *we have a bundle gerbe* (Q_i, Y_i, U_i) *over* U_i *such that for each pair* $i, j \in I$ *with the intersection* U_{ij} *non-empty there is a stable morphism* $f_{ij} : Q_i \to Q_j$ *corresponding to a trivialisation* $(L_{f_{ij}}, \phi_{f_{ij}})$ *of* $Q_i^* \otimes Q_j$*. We require that the stable morphisms* f_{ij} *satisfy* $f_{ji} = \tilde{f}_{ij}$ *in the notation of Section 3.4 so that* $\tilde{f}_{ij}$ *corresponds to the trivialisation of* $Q_j^* \otimes Q_i$ *given by* $(L^*_{f_{ij}}, \phi^*_{f_{ij}})$*. Suppose also there is a transformation* $\theta_{ijk} : f_{jk} \circ f_{ij} \Rightarrow f_{ik}$ *of stable morphisms over* U_{ijk} *which satisfies the condition*

$$\theta_{ijl}(\theta_{jkl} \circ 1_{f_{ij}})\theta_{f_{ij}, f_{jk}, f_{kl}} = \theta_{ikl}(1_{f_{kl}} \circ \theta_{ijk})$$

over U_{ijkl}*. Then there is a bundle gerbe* (Q, Y, M) *on* M *together with stable morphisms* $g_i : Q|_{U_i} \to Q_i$ *over* U_i *such that there exist transformations of stable morphisms* $\xi_{ij} : f_{ij} \circ g_i \Rightarrow g_j$ *over* U_{ij} *which satisfy the compatibility condition*

$$\xi_{jk}(1_{f_{jk}} \circ \xi_{ij}) = \xi_{ik}(\theta_{ijk} \circ 1_{g_i})$$

with θ_{ijk} *over* U_{ijk}*.*

Proof. Recall that the stable morphism $f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}$ corresponds to the trivialisation

$$(L_{f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}}, \phi_{f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}})$$

of $Q_i^* \otimes Q_k$ which is obtained by descending the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $L_{f_{jk}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}} \otimes Q_j^*$ on $Y_i \times_M Y_k \times_M Y_j^{[2]}$ to $Y_i \times_M Y_k$. In particular, this implies that the following isomorphism is true on $Y_i \times_M Y_k \times_M Y_j^{[2]}$:

$$L_{f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}} \simeq L_{f_{jk}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}} \otimes Q_j^*. \tag{6.1}$$

The two trivialisations $L_{f_{ik}}$ and $L_{f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}}$ of $Q_i^* \otimes Q_k$ differ by the pullback to $Y_i \times_M Y_k$ of a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle J_{ijk} on U_{ijk}. So we have

$$L_{f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}} \simeq L_{f_{ik}} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{Y_i \times_M Y_k} J_{ijk}. \tag{6.2}$$

θ_{ijk} is then a section of the bundle J_{ijk} on U_{ijk}. From equations 6.1 and 6.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(f_{kl} \circ f_{jk}) \circ f_{ij}} &\simeq L_{f_{kl} \circ f_{jk}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}} \otimes Q_k^* \\ &\simeq L_{f_{jl}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}} \otimes Q_k^* \otimes \pi^{-1} J_{jkl} \\ &\simeq L_{f_{jl} \circ f_{ij}} \otimes Q_j^* \otimes Q_j \otimes \pi^{-1} J_{jkl} \\ &\simeq L_{f_{il}} \otimes \pi^{-1}(J_{jkl} \otimes J_{ijl}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted the various projections to M by π. Using equations 6.1 and 6.2 again we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{f_{kl} \circ (f_{jk} \circ f_{ij})} &\simeq L_{f_{kl}} \otimes L_{f_{jk} \circ f_{ij}} \otimes Q_k^* \\ &\simeq L_{f_{kl}} \otimes L_{f_{ik}} \otimes Q_k^* \otimes \pi^{-1} J_{ijk} \\ &\simeq L_{f_{kl} \circ f_{ik}} \otimes Q_k \otimes Q_k^* \otimes \pi^{-1} J_{ijk} \\ &\simeq L_{f_{il}} \otimes \pi^{-1}(J_{ikl} \otimes J_{ijk}), \end{aligned}$$

where again we have denoted the projections to M by π. The transformation $\theta_{f_{ij},f_{jk},f_{kl}}$ provides an isomorphism $L_{f_{kl}\circ(f_{jk}\circ f_{ij})} \simeq L_{(f_{kl}\circ f_{jk})\circ f_{ij}}$ which is compatible with the trivialisations $\phi_{f_{kl}\circ(f_{jk}\circ f_{ij})}$ and $\phi_{(f_{kl}\circ f_{jk})\circ f_{ij}}$. It follows from the series of equations above and the property of $\theta_{f_{ij},f_{jk},f_{kl}}$ just mentioned that there is an isomorphism

$$J_{jkl} \otimes J_{ijl} \simeq J_{ikl} \otimes J_{ijk}$$

over U_{ijkl}. The cocycle condition on θ_{ijk} is that the section $\theta_{jkl} \otimes \theta_{ijl}$ of $J_{jkl} \otimes J_{ijl}$ is mapped to the section $\theta_{ikl} \otimes \theta_{ijk}$ of $J_{ikl} \otimes J_{ijk}$ under the this isomorphism. We now define the bundle gerbe (Q, Y, M) as follows. We put Y equal to the disjoint union $\coprod_{i\in I} Y_i$ with the obvious projection $Y \to M$. The fibre product $Y^{[2]}$ is equal to the disjoint union $\coprod_{i,j\in I} Y_i \times_{U_{ij}} Y_j$. Define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle Q on $Y^{[2]}$ by putting $Q|_{Y_i \times_M Y_j} = L_{f_{ij}}$. We now need to define a bundle gerbe product on Q. This will be a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism $L_{f_{kl}} \otimes L_{f_{jk}} \to L_{f_{ik}}$ covering the identity on $Y_i \times_M Y_j \times_M Y_k$ which satisfies the associativity condition. Note that from equations 6.1 and 6.2 we have the isomorphism

$$L_{f_{jk}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}} \simeq L_{f_{ik}} \otimes Q_j \otimes \pi^{-1} J_{ijk}$$

of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on $Y_i \times_M Y_j^{[2]} \times_M Y_k$. If we embed $Y_i \times_M Y_j \times_M Y_k$ inside $Y_i \times_M Y_j^{[2]} \times_M Y_k$ by sending (y_i, y_j, y_k) to (y_i, y_j, y_j, y_k), then using the section θ_{ijk} of J_{ijk} and the identity section of Q_j over the diagonal, we can construct an isomorphism $L_{f_{jk}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}} \to L_{f_{ik}}$. The coherency condition on θ_{ijk} shows that this product is associative and hence (Q, Y, M) is a bundle gerbe.

We now need to show that there is a stable morphism $g_i : Q|_{U_i} \to Q_i$, ie a trivialisation (L_{g_i}, ϕ_{g_i}) of $Q^* \otimes Q_i$ over U_i. The $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle L_{g_i} lives over $Y \times_M Y_i = \coprod_{j\in I} Y_j \times_M Y_i$. Let L_{g_i} be the disjoint union of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $L_{f_{ji}}$. Since $f_{ji} = \tilde{f}_{ij}$ the isomorphism $L_{f_{kj}} \otimes Q_i \simeq L_{f_{ki}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}}$ becomes $L^*_{f_{jk}} \otimes Q_i \simeq L_{f_{ki}} \otimes L^*_{f_{ji}}$ which shows that L_{g_i} trivialises $Q^* \otimes Q_i$.

Finally we have to define transformations $\xi_{ij} : f_{ij} \circ g_i \Rightarrow g_j$, compatible with θ_{ijk} in the appropriate sense. We have two trivialisations $L_{f_{ij}\circ g_i}$ and L_{g_j} of $Q^* \otimes Q_j$ and hence there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle D_{ij} on U_{ij} such that $L_{f_{ij}\circ g_i} = L_{g_j} \otimes \pi^{-1} D_{ij}$ where π denotes the projection $Y \times_M Y_j \to U_j$. Therefore we have $L_{f_{ij}\circ g_i} \otimes Q_i \simeq L_{g_j} \otimes Q_i \otimes \pi^{-1} D_{ij}$, an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on $\coprod_{k\in I} Y_k \times_M Y_j \times_M Y_i^{[2]}$. On each constituent $Y_k \times_M Y_j \times_M Y_i^{[2]}$ of the above disjoint union this isomorphism takes the form

$$L_{f_{ij}} \otimes L_{f_{ki}} \simeq L_{f_{kj}} \otimes Q_i \otimes \pi^{-1} D_{ij}.$$

From equations 6.1 and 6.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{f_{ki}} \otimes L_{f_{ij}} &\simeq L_{f_{ki}\circ f_{ij}} \otimes Q_i \\ &\simeq L_{f_{kj}} \otimes \pi^{-1} J_{kij} \otimes Q_i. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that there is an isomorphism $\pi^{-1} D_{ij} \simeq \pi^{-1} J_{kij}$ on $Y_k \times_M Y_j \times_M Y_i^{[2]}$. Therefore $\pi^{-1}\theta_{kij}$ induces a section $\hat{\xi}_{ij}$ of $\pi^{-1} D_{ij}$ which descends to a section ξ_{ij} of D_{ij}. Because of the coherency condition satisfied by θ_{kij}, it follows that ξ_{ij} will be compatible with θ_{ijk}. $\square$

We have the following generalisation of the above proposition which we will need in Chapter 13.

Proposition 6.7. *Suppose $\pi : X \to M$ is a surjection admitting local sections and that (P, Y, X) is a bundle gerbe on X such that there is a stable morphism $f : \pi_1^{-1}P \to \pi_2^{-1}P$ corresponding to a trivialisation (L_f, ϕ_f) of $\pi_1^{-1}P^* \otimes \pi_2^{-1}P$. Suppose also that there is a transformation $\psi : \pi_1^{-1}f \circ \pi_3^{-1}f \Rightarrow \pi_2^{-1}f$ as pictured in the following diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}P \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}f"] \arrow[d, equals] & \pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P \arrow[r, equals] & \pi_3^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}P \arrow[d, "\pi_3^{-1}f"] \arrow[dll, Rightarrow, "\psi"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}P \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}f"'] & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P \arrow[r, equals] & \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P.
\end{tikzcd}$$

ψ is required to satisfy the coherency condition that the following diagram of transformations commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f \circ \pi_1^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f \circ \pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\theta"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "1_{\pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f} \circ \pi_1^{-1}\psi"'] & \pi_4^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f \circ \pi_4^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f \circ \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}\phi \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\pi_4^{-1}\psi \circ 1_{\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f}"] \\
\pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f \circ \pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f \arrow[d, equals] & \pi_4^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f \circ \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f \arrow[d, equals] \\
\pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f \circ \pi_3^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\pi_3^{-1}\psi"'] & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f \circ \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\pi_2^{-1}\psi"] \\
\pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f \arrow[r, equals] & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will write this condition figuratively as $\pi_1^{-1}\psi \otimes \pi_2^{-1}\psi^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\psi \otimes \pi_4^{-1}\psi^* = 1$. Then there exists a bundle gerbe (Q, Z, M) on M and a stable morphism $\eta : P \to \pi^{-1}Q$ together with transformations $\xi : \pi_2^{-1}\eta \circ \phi \Rightarrow \pi_1^{-1}\eta$ which are compatible with the transformations ψ in the appropriate sense. The converse is also true.

Proof. Choose an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M such that there exist local sections $s_i : U_i \to X$ of $\pi : X \to M$. Form the pullback bundle gerbes $P_i = s_i^{-1}P$. The stable morphism $f : \pi_1^{-1}P \to \pi_2^{-1}P$ induces a stable morphism $f_{ij} : P_j \to P_i$ by pullback: $f_{ij} = (s_i, s_j)^{-1}f$, and the transformation ψ induces a transformation $\psi_{ijk} : f_{ij} \circ f_{jk} \Rightarrow f_{ik}$ by pullback as well: $\psi_{ijk} = (s_i, s_j, s_k)^{-1}\psi$. The transformation ψ_{ijk} satisfies the condition

$$\psi_{ijl}(1_{\phi_{ij}} \circ \psi_{jkl})\theta_{f_{ij}, f_{jk}, f_{kl}} = \psi_{ikl}(\psi_{ijk} \circ 1_{\phi_{kl}}).$$

Therefore we can apply Proposition 6.6 to find a bundle gerbe (Q, Z, M) on M and a stable morphism $g_i : Q|_{U_i} \to P_i$ and transformations $\xi_{ij} : \phi_{ij} \circ g_j \Rightarrow g_i$ which are compatible with the transformations ψ_{ijk} (the fact that the stable morphisms f_{ij} go from P_j to P_i rather than from P_i to P_j is of no consequence). We need to construct a stable morphism $\eta : \pi^{-1}Q \to P$. Let $\hat{s}_i : X_i \to X^{[2]}$ denote the map $x \mapsto (x, s_i(\pi(x)))$. f induces a map $(s_i \circ \pi)^{-1}P \to P|_{X_i}$ by $\hat{s}_i^{-1}f$. Therefore we can define a stable morphism $\eta_i : (\pi^{-1}Q)|_{X_i} \to P|_{X_i}$ by composition:

$$(\pi^{-1}Q)|_{X_i} = \pi^{-1}(Q|_{U_i}) \overset{\pi^{-1}g_i}{\to} \pi^{-1}s_i^{-1}P \overset{\hat{s}_i^{-1}f}{\to} P|_{X_i}.$$

Next we define transformations $\theta_{ij} : \eta_i \Rightarrow \eta_j$ by composition as indicated in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \pi^{-1}s_i^{-1}P \arrow[dr, "\hat{s}_i^{-1}f"] & \\
\pi^{-1}(Q|_{U_{ij}}) \arrow[ur, "\pi^{-1}g_i", ""{name=GI}] \arrow[dr, "\pi^{-1}g_j"'] & & P|_{X_{ij}} \\
 & \pi^{-1}s_j^{-1}P \arrow[uu, "\pi^{-1}f_{ij}"', ""{name=F}] \arrow[ur, "\hat{s}_j^{-1}f"', ""{name=SJ}] &
\arrow[from=GI, to=F, Rightarrow, "\pi^{-1}\xi_{ij}^{-1}"]
\arrow[from=F, to=SJ, Rightarrow, "\hat{s}_{ij}^{-1}\psi"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

ie $\theta_{ij} = (\hat{s}_{ij}^{-1}\psi \circ 1_{\pi^{-1}g_j})(1_{\hat{s}_i^{-1}f} \circ \pi^{-1}\xi_{ij}^{-1})$. Here $\hat{s}_{ij} : X_{ij} \to X^{[3]}$ is the map $x \mapsto (x, s_i(\pi(x)), s_j(\pi(x)))$. Because of the compatibility of ξ_{ij} with ψ_{ijk} we have $\theta_{jk}\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ik}$. Hence we can glue the stable morphisms $\eta_i : (\pi^{-1}Q)|_{X_i} \to P|_{X_i}$ together to form a stable morphism $\eta : \pi^{-1}Q \to P$. We now need to define the transformations $\chi : f \circ \pi_1^{-1}\eta \Rightarrow \pi_2^{-1}\eta$ and prove they are compatible with ψ. It is sufficient to define transformations $\chi_i : f \circ \pi_1^{-1}\eta_i \Rightarrow \pi_2^{-1}\eta_i$ which are compatible with the gluing transformations θ_{ij}. χ_i is induced from ψ by pullback with the map $X_i^{[2]} \to X^{[3]}$ which sends $(x_1, x_2) \in X_i^{[2]}$ to $(x_1, x_2, s_i(m))$ where $\pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2) = m$. We try to indicate this in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\pi^{-1}(Q|_{U_i}) \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}\pi^{-1}g_i", ""'{name=A}] \arrow[d, equal] & \pi_1^{-1}\pi^{-1}s_i^{-1}P \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}\hat{s}_i^{-1}\phi", ""'{name=C}] \arrow[d, equal] & \pi_1^{-1}P \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}\pi^{-1}(Q|_{U_i}) \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}\pi^{-1}g_i"', ""{name=B}] & \pi_2^{-1}\pi^{-1}s_i^{-1}P \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}\hat{s}_i^{-1}f"', ""{name=D}] & \pi_2^{-1}P.
\arrow[from=A, to=B, Rrightarrow, no head]
\arrow[from=C, to=D, Rightarrow, "\chi_i"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

One can check that the χ_i are compatible with the θ_{ij} and also that the glued together transformation χ is compatible with ψ. ☐

We conjecture that there is also a version of this proposition for gerbes:

Conjecture 6.1. *Let $\pi : X \to M$ be a surjection admitting local sections. Suppose there is a gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ on X together with a morphism of gerbes $\phi : \pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \to \pi_2^*\mathcal{G}$ over $X^{[2]}$ which makes the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Delta^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\Delta^*\phi"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \Delta^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "id_{\mathcal{G}}"] & \mathcal{G},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the two vertical equivalences are induced by the equivalence of Lemma 6.1 and $\Delta : X \to X^{[2]}$ is the inclusion of the diagonal. Suppose also there is a natural transfor-

mation ψ between morphisms of gerbes over $X^{[3]}$ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\pi_1^*\phi"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & (\pi_2 \circ \pi_1)^{-1})^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, equal] & (\pi_1 \circ \pi_3)^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[dlll, Rightarrow, "\psi"] \\
(\pi_1 \circ \pi_1)^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, equal] & & & \pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\pi_3^*\phi"] \\
(\pi_1 \circ \pi_2)^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & & & \pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\pi_2^*\phi"'] & \pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & (\pi_2 \circ \pi_2)^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, equal] & (\pi_2 \circ \pi_3)^*\mathcal{G}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We also require the natural transformation ψ to satisfy a coherency condition over $X^{[4]}$. This is basically the non-abelian 2-cocycle condition referred to in the last proposition but with the extra complication of having to insert equivalences of gerbes between double pullbacks and natural transformations between diagrams of such equivalences as in Lemma 6.1. Observe that we may pullback the natural transformations ψ to form new natural transformations $\pi_1^*\psi$, $\pi_2^*\psi$, and so on between the appropriate morphisms of gerbes. We can then form the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \pi_1^*\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[rr, "\pi_1^*\pi_3^*\phi"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\pi_1^*\psi"] & & \pi_1^*\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
& & \pi_1^*\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[ur, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \pi_3^*\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[dddd, "\pi_3^*\pi_3^*\phi"] \\
& \pi_1^*\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[ur, "\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\phi"] \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \pi_3^*\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[ur, "\simeq"] \arrow[dddr, Rightarrow, "\pi_3^*\psi"] & \\
\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[uuu, "\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\phi"] \arrow[ur, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & \pi_3^*\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[ur, "\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\phi"] & & \\
\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[ur, "\simeq"] \arrow[rr, "\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\phi"'] & & \pi_3^*\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"'] & \pi_3^*\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"'] & \pi_4^*\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[rrr, "\pi_4^*\pi_1^*\phi"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"] & & & \pi_4^*\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
& \pi_2^*\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, "\pi_2^*\pi_3^*\phi"'] & \pi_4^*\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[dr, "\pi_4^*\pi_2^*\phi"] & & \pi_4^*\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\pi_4^*\psi"] \arrow[dd, "\pi_4^*\pi_3^*\phi"] \\
\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[uu, "\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\phi"] & & \pi_2^*\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\pi_2^*\psi"] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"] & \pi_4^*\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"] & \\
\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[rrr, "\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\phi"'] & & & \pi_2^*\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"'] & \pi_4^*\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Paste together the natural transformations in the first of the above two diagrams to yield a single natural transformation between the morphisms of gerbes bounding the *diagram. Finally we can state the coherency condition on the natural transformation ψ: the following diagram of natural transformations, after being pasted together, is the same natural transformation as the pasted-together natural transformation in the second diagram above:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[rr, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"] & & \pi_4^*\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[rr, "\pi_4^*\pi_1^*\phi"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, shorten=4mm] & \arrow[dl, equal, shorten=2mm] & \pi_4^*\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[dl, "\simeq"] \arrow[dd, "\simeq"] \\
& \pi_1^*\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[dl, equal, shorten=4mm] & \pi_1^*\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r] & \pi_1^*\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[ddll, Rightarrow, shorten=4mm] & \\
\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[uu, "\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\phi"'] \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \pi_1^*\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[u] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, shorten=4mm] & & \pi_3^*\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[d] & \pi_4^*\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[l, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dd, "\pi_4^*\pi_3^*\phi"] \arrow[dl, equal, shorten=4mm] \\
 & \pi_3^*\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[r] & \pi_3^*\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[dl, equal, shorten=4mm] & \pi_3^*\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, shorten=4mm] & \\
\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[uu, "\simeq"] \arrow[ur, "\simeq"] \arrow[rr, "\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\phi"'] & & \pi_2^*\pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[rr, "\simeq"] & & \pi_4^*\pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{G}. \arrow[ul, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then there exists a gerbe $\mathcal{D}$ on M together with an equivalence of gerbes $\chi : \pi^*\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{G}$ and natural transformations ξ between the morphisms of gerbes as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^*\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\phi"] & \pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \\
\pi_1^*\pi^*\mathcal{D} \arrow[u, "\pi_1^*\chi"] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\xi"] & \pi_2^*\pi^*\mathcal{D} \arrow[u, "\pi_2^*\chi"'] \\
(\pi \circ \pi_1)^*\mathcal{D} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, equal] & (\pi \circ \pi_2)^*\mathcal{D}. \arrow[u, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

We then require that the natural transformations $\pi_1^*\xi$, $\pi_2^*\xi$ and $\pi_3^*\xi$ are compatible with ψ in the sense that using the natural transformations $\pi_1^*\xi$, $\pi_2^*\xi$ and $\pi_3^*\xi$ and the natural transformations $\theta_{f,g,h}$ of Lemma 6.1 we can construct a natural transformation between the morphisms of gerbes bounding diagram (1) above. The compatibility condition is that this natural transformation and ψ coincide. The converse is also true.

Note 6.3.

1. One should be able to prove this conjecture in a similar manner to the proof of Proposition 6.7 but with the additional complication of having to insert the equivalences $\phi_{f,g}$ and natural transformations $\theta_{f,g,h}$ of Lemma 6.1.

2. If the equivalences $\phi_{f,g} : g^*f^*\mathcal{G} \to (fg)^*\mathcal{G}$ of Lemma 6.1 were the identity, we could write the coherency condition on the natural transformation ψ more succinctly as

$$\pi_3^*\psi(1_{\pi_3^*\pi_3^*\phi} \circ \pi_1^*\psi) = \pi_2^*\psi(\pi_4^*\psi \circ 1_{\pi_2^*\pi_1^*\phi}).$$

   Unfortunately we have to cope with having the equivalences $\phi_{f,g}$ and natural transformations $\theta_{f,g,h}$ and so, in order to introduce a new notation to avoid the diagrams above, we will abbreviate the coherency condition on ψ to $\delta(\psi) = 1$. We will encounter such diagrams again in Chapter 13.

Chapter 7

Definition of a bundle 2-gerbe

7.1 Simplicial bundle gerbes and bundle 2-gerbes

Recall in Chapter 3, Section 3.2, that we saw how bundle gerbes (P, X, M) could be regarded as simplicial line bundles on the simplicial manifold $X^{[p]}$. We will use this observation to motivate our definition of a bundle two gerbe.

Our first step is to see if there is a workable notion of a *simplicial bundle gerbe.* Note that Brylinski and McLaughlin define a notion of *simplicial gerbe* (see [8], [9] and also Definition 13.1) so this will also serve to guide us. We will work on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$ associated to a surjective map $\pi : X \to M$ admitting local sections. Recall, see Definition 3.2 and also [8], that a simplicial line bundle on a simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ consists of a line bundle L on X_1, together with a non-vanishing section s of $\delta(L)$ over X_2 which satisfies $\delta(s) = 1$ on X_3. We attempt to generalise this to the case of bundle gerbes as follows. Let $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ be a bundle gerbe on $X^{[2]}$. We suppose there is a bundle gerbe morphism

$$\bar{m} : {\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}Q \to {\pi_2}^{-1}Q$$

over $X^{[3]}$. We think of $\bar{m}$ as defining a 'product' on the bundle gerbe Q. Next observe that we have

$${\pi_1}^{-1}({\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}Q) \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}{\pi_3}^{-1}Q = {\pi_2}^{-1}{\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_4}^{-1}({\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}Q),$$

by virtue of the simplicial identities. The crucial observation is that $\bar{m}$ gives rise to two bundle gerbe morphisms over $X^{[4]}$ as indicated in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{\pi_1}^{-1}({\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}Q) \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}{\pi_3}^{-1}Q \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "{\pi_1}^{-1}\bar{m}\otimes 1"'] & {\pi_2}^{-1}{\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_4}^{-1}({\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "1\otimes{\pi_4}^{-1}\bar{m}"] \\
{\pi_1}^{-1}{\pi_2}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}{\pi_3}^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] & {\pi_2}^{-1}{\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_4}^{-1}{\pi_2}^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] \\
{\pi_3}^{-1}({\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "{\pi_3}^{-1}\bar{m}"'] & {\pi_2}^{-1}({\pi_1}^{-1}Q \otimes {\pi_3}^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "{\pi_2}^{-1}\bar{m}"] \\
{\pi_3}^{-1}{\pi_2}^{-1}Q \arrow[r, equal] & {\pi_2}^{-1}{\pi_2}^{-1}Q.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We define bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{m}_1 &= \pi_3^{-1}\bar{m} \circ (\pi_1^{-1}\bar{m} \otimes 1)\\ \bar{m}_2 &= \pi_2^{-1}\bar{m} \circ (1 \otimes \pi_4^{-1}\bar{m}).\end{aligned}$$

At this point it is convenient to introduce a different notation which avoid cumbersome diagrams such as the one above. We will denote $\pi_1^{-1}Y$ by Y_{23}, $\pi_2^{-1}Y$ by Y_{13}, $\pi_3^{-1}Y$ by Y_{12}, $\pi_1^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}Y$ by Y_{24} and so on. Similarly we will denote the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\pi_1^{-1}Q$ on $Y_{23}^{[2]}$ by Q_{23} and so forth. More generally we shall denote $\pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y = Y_{23} \times_{X^{[3]}} Y_{12}$ by Y_{123}, $\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q$ by Q_{123} and similarly for other combinations. Hence $\bar{m} : Q_{123} \to Q_{13}$ and $\bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2 : Q_{1234} \to Q_{14}$. Therefore the diagram above becomes in the new notation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q_{1234} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\bar{m}\otimes 1"'] & Q_{1234} \arrow[d, "1\otimes\bar{m}"] \\
Q_{24} \otimes Q_{12} \arrow[d, "\bar{m}"'] & Q_{34} \otimes Q_{13} \arrow[d, "\bar{m}"] \\
Q_{14} \arrow[r, equal] & Q_{14}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Rather than demand the two morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ are equal, we settle for the weaker condition that there is a transformation $a : \bar{m}_1 \Rightarrow \bar{m}_2$ of bundle gerbe morphisms. Recall, see Definition 3.8, that this means that a is a section of the descended $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $D_{\bar{m}_1,\bar{m}_2}$ on $X^{[4]}$ of Lemma 3.3. The transformation a gives, in some sense, a measure of the 'non-associativity' of the 'product' $\bar{m}$. Accordingly, we will call a the 'associator' transformation or 'associator' section and we will call the (trivial) $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $A = D_{\bar{m}_1,\bar{m}_2}$ on $X^{[4]}$ the 'associator' bundle.

The final condition is a coherency condition on a. To write it down first observe that Q induces a bundle gerbe $(Q_{12345}, Y_{12345}, X^{[5]})$ over $X^{[5]}$. The bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m}$ induces five bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{M}_i : Q_{12345} \to Q_{15}$ given as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{M}_1 &= \bar{m} \circ (\bar{m} \otimes 1) \circ (\bar{m} \otimes 1 \otimes 1)\\ \bar{M}_2 &= \bar{m} \circ (\bar{m} \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \bar{m} \otimes 1)\\ \bar{M}_3 &= \bar{m} \circ (1 \otimes \bar{m}) \circ (1 \otimes \bar{m} \otimes 1)\\ \bar{M}_4 &= \bar{m} \circ (1 \otimes \bar{m}) \circ (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{m})\\ \bar{M}_5 &= \bar{m} \circ (\bar{m} \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \bar{m})\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\bar{M}_5$ can also be written as

$$\bar{M}_5 = \bar{m} \circ (1 \otimes \bar{m}) \circ (\bar{m} \otimes 1 \otimes 1).$$

It is not too hard to see that we have the following isomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on $X^{[5]}$. We have $D_{\bar{M}_1,\bar{M}_2} \simeq \pi_1^{-1}A$, $D_{\bar{M}_2,\bar{M}_3} \simeq \pi_3^{-1}A$, $D_{\bar{M}_3,\bar{M}_4} \simeq \pi_5^{-1}A$, $D_{\bar{M}_4,\bar{M}_5} \simeq \pi_2^{-1}A^*$ and $D_{\bar{M}_5,\bar{M}_1} \simeq \pi_4^{-1}A^*$. Since $D_{\bar{M}_1,\bar{M}_1}$ is canonically trivialised, and the bundle gerbe product on Q gives an isomorphism

$$D_{\bar{M}_1,\bar{M}_2} \otimes D_{\bar{M}_2,\bar{M}_3} \otimes D_{\bar{M}_3,\bar{M}_4} \otimes D_{\bar{M}_4,\bar{M}_5} \otimes D_{\bar{M}_5,\bar{M}_1} \simeq D_{\bar{M}_1,\bar{M}_1},$$

we have that $\delta(A)$ on $X^{[5]}$ is canonically trivialised. The final condition that we require is that the section $\delta(a)$ of $\delta(A)$ matches this canonical trivialisation.

Notice that the thing that makes all this possible is the fact that the π_i are the face operators for the simplicial manifold $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$. Therefore all that we have said above applies equally well to an arbitrary simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$. Thus we make the following definition.

Definition 7.1. A *simplicial bundle gerbe* on a simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ consists of the following data.

1. A bundle gerbe (Q, Y, X_1) on X_1.
2. A bundle gerbe morphism
$$\bar{m} : d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q$$
over X_2.
3. A transformation $a : \bar{m}_1 \Rightarrow \bar{m}_2$ between the two induced bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ over X_3. $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ are defined in the following diagram.
$$\begin{tikzcd}
d_0^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \otimes d_2^{-1}d_2^{-1}Q \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "d_0^{-1}\bar{m}\otimes 1"'] & d_1^{-1}d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_3^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "1\otimes d_3^{-1}\bar{m}"] \\
d_0^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}d_2^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "a", shift right=6ex, phantom] & d_1^{-1}d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_3^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] \\
d_2^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "d_2^{-1}\bar{m}"'] & d_1^{-1}(d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "d_1^{-1}\bar{m}"] \\
d_2^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q \arrow[r, equal] & d_1^{-1}d_1^{-1}Q.
\end{tikzcd}$$
So $\bar{m}_1 = d_2^{-1}\bar{m} \circ (d_0^{-1}\bar{m} \otimes 1)$ and $\bar{m}_2 = d_1^{-1}\bar{m} \circ (1 \otimes d_3^{-1}\bar{m})$. Thus a is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $A = D_{\bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2}$ over X_3.
4. The transformation a satisfies the coherency condition
$$d_0^{-1}a \otimes d_1^{-1}a^* \otimes d_2^{-1}a \otimes d_3^{-1}a^* \otimes d_4^{-1}a = 1,$$
where 1 is the canonical section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\delta(A)$ over X_4.

This definition should be compared with the definition of simplicial gerbe given in [8] and [9]. With this definition in hand, we now turn to the problem of defining a bundle 2-gerbe. We make two definitions, the first of which includes the following one as a special case.

Definition 7.2. A *bundle 2-gerbe* is a quadruple of manifolds (Q, Y, X, M) where $\pi : X \to M$ is a smooth surjection admitting local sections, and $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ is a simplicial bundle gerbe on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ associated to $\pi : X \to M$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$.

Definition 7.3. A *strict bundle 2-gerbe* is a quadruple of manifolds (Q, Y, X, M) where $\pi : X \to M$ is a smooth surjection admitting local sections and where $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ is a bundle gerbe on $X^{[2]}$ such that there is a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m} : \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ such that the two induced bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ over $X^{[4]}$ are equal.

The following diagram shows what a bundle 2-gerbe looks like.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q \arrow[d] & & \\
Y^{[2]} \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & Y \arrow[d] & \\
& X^{[2]} \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & X \arrow[d] \\
& & M.
\end{tikzcd}$$

For future reference, we will record here some properties of the associator transformation a and its lift $\hat{a}$. So $\hat{a}$ is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{A} = (m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q$ on Y_{1234}. Since $\hat{a}$ descends to the section a of A, it must commute with the descent isomorphism of $\hat{A}$. This implies that $\hat{a}$ enjoys the following property: if $u_{34} \in Q_{(y_{34}, y'_{34})}$, $u_{23} \in Q_{(y_{23}, y'_{23})}$ and $u_{12} \in Q_{(y_{12}, y'_{12})}$ where $y_{34}, y'_{34} \in Y_{(x_3, x_4)}$, $y_{23}, y'_{23} \in Y_{(x_2, x_3)}$ and $y_{12}, y'_{12} \in Y_{(x_1, x_2)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_Q(\hat{m}_2(u_{34} \otimes u_{23} \otimes u_{12}) \otimes \hat{a}(y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12})) \\
= \; & m_Q(\hat{a}(y'_{34}, y'_{23}, y'_{12}) \otimes \hat{m}_1(u_{34} \otimes u_{23} \otimes u_{12})).
\end{aligned}$$

The coherency condition on a is a consequence of the following property of $\hat{a}$: suppose $y_{45} \in Y_{(x_4, x_5)}$, $y_{34} \in Y_{(x_3, x_4)}$, $y_{23} \in Y_{(x_2, x_3)}$ and $y_{12} \in Y_{(x_1, x_2)}$. Then we have the following equation (we have denoted the bundle gerbe product m_Q by $\cdot$ — since the bundle gerbe product is associative there is no danger of confusion)

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}(m(y_{45}, y_{34}), y_{23}, y_{12})^{-1} \cdot \hat{a}(y_{45}, y_{34}, m(y_{23}, y_{12}))^{-1} \cdot \hat{m}(e(y_{45}) \otimes \hat{a}(y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12})) \\
\cdot \hat{a}(y_{45}, m(y_{34}, y_{23}), y_{12}) \cdot \hat{m}(\hat{a}(y_{45}, y_{34}, y_{23}) \otimes e(y_{12})) \\
= e(m(m(m(y_{45}, y_{34}), y_{23}), y_{12}))
\end{aligned}$$

7.2 Stable bundle 2-gerbes

We also define a notion of bundle 2-gerbe with bundle gerbe morphisms replaced by stable morphisms. It will turn out that this definition of a 'stable bundle 2-gerbe' will turn out to be the most versatile, for example in Chapter 13 we will show that a stable bundle 2-gerbe on M gives rise to a 2-gerbe on M.

Definition 7.4. A *stable bundle 2-gerbe* consists of a quadruple of smooth manifolds (Q, Y, X, M) where $\pi : X \to M$ is a surjection admitting local sections and where $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ is a bundle gerbe on $X^{[2]}$. We suppose there is a stable morphism $m : \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ corresponding to a trivialisation $\delta(L_m)$ of $\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q$. We also suppose there is a transformation $a : m \circ (m \otimes 1) \Rightarrow m \circ (1 \otimes m)$ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q_{1234} \arrow[r, "m\otimes 1"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes m"'] & Q_{124} \arrow[d, "m"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "a"] \\
Q_{134} \arrow[r, "m"'] & Q_{14}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

a is required to satisfy the coherency condition that the following two diagrams of transformations are equal:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & Q_{12345} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & & Q_{1235} \arrow[dr] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "1\otimes a_{2345}"] & \\
Q_{1345} \arrow[dr] & & Q_{1245} \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "a_{1234}\otimes 1"'] \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] & & Q_{125} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dlll, Rightarrow, "a_{1245}"] \\
 & Q_{145} \arrow[rr] & & Q_{15} & \\
 & & \Vert & & \\
 & Q_{12345} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] & & Q_{1235} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] \arrow[dlll, equal] & \\
Q_{1345} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & Q_{135} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "a_{1345}"'] \arrow[dr] & & Q_{125} \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "a_{1235}"] \arrow[dl] \\
 & Q_{145} \arrow[rr] & & Q_{15}. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note 7.1.

1. Notice that by (1) of Note 3.2, every bundle 2-gerbe on M gives rise to a stable bundle 2-gerbe on M.
2. As in Section 7.1 let $m_1 : Q_{1234} \to Q_{14}$ be the stable morphism $m \circ (m \otimes 1)$ and let $m_2 : Q_{1234} \to Q_{14}$ be the stable morphism $m \circ (1 \otimes m)$. Then the transformation $a : m_1 \Rightarrow m_2$ is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle A on $X^{[4]}$ such that $L_{m_1} \simeq L_{m_2} \otimes \pi_{1234}^{-1} A$ on Y_{1234}. As before, the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\delta(A)$ on $X^{[4]}$ is canonically trivialised and the coherency condition on a is that the induced section $\delta(a)$ of $\delta(A)$ matches this canonical trivialisation.

Chapter 8

Relationship of bundle 2-gerbes with bicategories

8.1 Bicategories

We first recall the definition of a bicategory (see for instance [1])

Definition 8.1 ([1]). A *bicategory* $\mathcal{B}$ consists of the following data:

1. A set $Ob(\mathcal{B})$ whose elements are called the *objects* of $\mathcal{B}$.

2. Given two objects A and B of $\mathcal{B}$ there is a category $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$. The objects of $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ are called *1-arrows* of $\mathcal{B}$ while the arrows of $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ are called *2-arrows* of $\mathcal{B}$. If α and β are 1-arrows of $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ and ϕ is a 2-arrow with source α and target β then this is denoted by $\phi : \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$. The following diagram gives a useful way to picture 2-arrows:

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, bend left, "\alpha"{name=U}] \arrow[r, bend right, "\beta"'{name=D}] & B \arrow[Rightarrow, from=U, to=D, "\phi"] \end{tikzcd}$$

3. Given three objects A, B and C of $\mathcal{B}$, there is a functor, called the *composition* functor,

$$m(A, B, C) : \mathrm{Hom}(B, C) \times \mathrm{Hom}(A, B) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A, C).$$

   If α and β are 1-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(B, C)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ respectively then we usually write $m(A, B, C)(\alpha, \beta)$ as $\alpha \circ \beta$ and $m(A, B, C)(\phi, \psi)$ is usually written as $\phi \circ \psi$ where $\phi : \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha'$ and $\psi : \beta \Rightarrow \beta'$ are 2-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(B, C)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ respectively.

4. For each object A of $\mathcal{B}$ there is a 1-arrow Id_A in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A)$ called the *identity 1-arrow* of A. The identity map of Id_A in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{id}_A : \mathrm{Id}_A \Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_A$ and is called the *identity 2-arrow* of A.

5. Let A, B, C and D be objects of $\mathcal{B}$ and let $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B, C, D)$ denote the category $\mathrm{Hom}(C, D) \times \mathrm{Hom}(B, C) \times \mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$. There is a natural isomorphism, called the

associativity isomorphism and denoted $a(A,B,C,D)$, as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(A,B,C,D) \arrow[r, "m(B,C,D)\times\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\times m(A,B,C)"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(B,D)\times\mathrm{Hom}(A,B) \arrow[d, "m(A,B,D)"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "a(A,B,C,D)"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(C,D)\times\mathrm{Hom}(A,C) \arrow[r, "m(A,C,D)"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(A,D).
\end{tikzcd}$$

So a consists of an arrow $a(\gamma,\beta,\alpha) = a(A,B,C,D)(\gamma,\beta,\alpha)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(A,D)$ from $(\gamma\circ\beta)\circ\alpha$ to $\gamma\circ(\beta\circ\alpha)$ for all 1-arrows γ in $\mathrm{Hom}(C,D)$, β in $\mathrm{Hom}(B,C)$ and α in $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$ such that given 1-arrows $\gamma^{'}$, $\beta^{'}$ and $\alpha^{'}$ together with 2-arrows $\phi:\gamma\Rightarrow\gamma^{'}$, $\psi:\beta\Rightarrow\beta^{'}$ and $\chi:\alpha\Rightarrow\alpha^{'}$ then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\gamma\circ\beta)\circ\alpha \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\phi\circ\psi)\circ\chi"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma,\beta,\alpha)"'] & (\gamma^{'}\circ\beta^{'})\circ\alpha^{'} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma^{'},\beta^{'},\alpha^{'})"] \\
\gamma\circ(\beta\circ\alpha) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\phi\circ(\psi\circ\chi)"] & \gamma^{'}\circ(\beta^{'}\circ\alpha^{'}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

6. Suppose A and B are objects of $\mathcal{B}$ and that α is a 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$. Then there are natural isomorphisms $\mathrm{id}_L(A,B)(\alpha):\alpha\Rightarrow\alpha\circ\mathrm{Id}_A$ and $\mathrm{id}_R(A,B)(\alpha):\alpha\Rightarrow\mathrm{Id}_B\circ\alpha$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$ called *left* and *right identity isomorphisms* respectively. The isomorphisms are natural in the sense that if $\phi:\alpha\Rightarrow\beta$ is a 2-arrow in $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$ then the following diagrams of 2-arrows commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_L(A,B)(\alpha)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi"'] & \alpha\circ\mathrm{Id}_A \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi\circ\mathrm{id}_A"] \\
\beta \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_L(A,B)(\beta)"] & \beta\circ\mathrm{Id}_A,
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_R(A,B)(\alpha)"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi"'] & \mathrm{Id}_B\circ\alpha \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_B\circ\phi"] \\
\beta \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_R(A,B)(\beta)"] & \mathrm{Id}_B\circ\beta.
\end{tikzcd}$$

7. This axiom forces a coherency condition on the associativity isomorphism a. So suppose we have objects A,B,C,D and E of $\mathcal{B}$. Suppose we have 1-arrows α of $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$, β of $\mathrm{Hom}(B,C)$, γ of $\mathrm{Hom}(C,D)$ and δ of $\mathrm{Hom}(D,E)$. Then we require that the following pentagonal diagram of 2-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(A,E)$ commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & (\delta\circ(\gamma\circ\beta))\circ\alpha \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "a(\delta,\gamma\circ\beta,\alpha)"] & \\
((\delta\circ\gamma)\circ\beta)\circ\alpha \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "a(\delta,\gamma,\beta)\circ 1_\alpha"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "a(\delta\circ\gamma,\beta,\alpha)"] & & \delta\circ((\gamma\circ\beta)\circ\alpha) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "1_\delta\circ a(\gamma,\beta,\alpha)"] \\
(\delta\circ\gamma)\circ(\beta\circ\alpha) \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "a(\delta,\gamma,\beta\circ\alpha)"'] & & \delta\circ(\gamma\circ(\beta\circ\alpha))
\end{tikzcd}$$

This condition is called *associativity coherence.*

8. Suppose A, B and C are objects of $\mathcal{B}$ and α and β are 1-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(B, C)$ respectively. We require that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\beta \circ (\mathrm{Id}_B \circ \alpha) \arrow[rr, "a"] \arrow[dr, "1_\beta \circ \mathrm{id}_R(A,B)"'] & & (\beta \circ \mathrm{Id}_B) \circ \alpha \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{id}_L(B,C) \circ 1_\alpha"] \\
& \beta \circ \alpha. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

One can also define a notion of *morphism* of bicategories, *transformations* between morphisms of bicategories and finally a notion of *modification* between transformations of morphisms of bicategories, however we will not spell out these definitions and refer instead to [39]. We will also need the notion of a bigroupoid.

Definition 8.2 ([5]). A *bigroupoid* consists of a bicategory $\mathcal{B}$ which satisfies the following two additional axioms:

1. 1-arrows are coherently invertible. This means that if α is a 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ there is a 1-arrow β of $\mathrm{Hom}(B, A)$ together with 2-arrows $\phi : \beta \circ \alpha \Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_A$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, A)$ and $\psi : \alpha \circ \beta \Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_B$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(B, B)$, which satisfy the following compatibility condition. We require that the following diagram of 2-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \alpha \circ (\beta \circ \alpha) \arrow[drr, Rightarrow, "1_\alpha \circ \phi"] & & \\
(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \alpha \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "a(\alpha,\beta,\alpha)"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\psi \circ 1_\alpha"'] & & & & \alpha \circ \mathrm{Id}_A \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_L(A,B)(\alpha)"] \\
& \mathrm{Id}_B \circ \alpha \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_R(A,B)(\alpha)"'] & & \alpha. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

2. We also require that all 2-arrows are invertible.

One can show as a consequence of the definition of a bigroupoid that the following diagram of 2-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(B, A)$ commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \beta \circ (\alpha \circ \beta) \arrow[drr, Rightarrow, "1_\beta \circ \psi"] & & \\
(\beta \circ \alpha) \circ \beta \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "a(\beta,\alpha,\beta)"] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "\phi \circ 1_\beta"'] & & & & \beta \circ \mathrm{Id}_B \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_L(B,A)(\beta)"] \\
& \mathrm{Id}_A \circ \beta \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_R(B,A)(\beta)"'] & & \beta. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Example 8.1. Let X be a topological space. Define a bicategory $\Pi_2(X)$ as follows. Take as the objects of $\Pi_2(X)$ the points of X. Given two points x_1 and x_2 define a category $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ whose objects are the paths $\gamma : I \to X$ with $\gamma(0) = x_1$ and $\gamma(1) = x_2$, where I is the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Given two such paths γ_1 and γ_2 we define the set of arrows from γ_1 to γ_2 in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ to be the homotopy classes $[\mu]$ of maps $\mu : I \times I \to X$ such that $\mu(0, t) = \gamma_1(t)$, $\mu(1, t) = \gamma_2(t)$, $\mu(s, 0) = x_1$ and $\mu(s, 1) = x_2$ where it is understood that two such maps μ and $\mu^{'}$ belong to the same homotopy class if and only if there is a map $H : I \times I \times I \to X$ such that $H(0, s, t) = \mu(s, t)$, $H(1, s, t) = \mu^{'}(s, t)$, $H(r, 0, t) = \gamma_1(t)$, $H(r, 1, t) = \gamma_2(t)$, $H(r, s, 0) = x_1$ and $H(r, s, 1) = x_2$. Notice that every arrow in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ is invertible. If we have a third path γ_3 from x_1 to x_2 and a homotopy class $[\lambda]$ of maps $\lambda : I \times I \to X$ from γ_2 to γ_3 leaving the endpoints fixed, then we define the composite arrow $[\lambda\mu]$ from γ_1 to γ_3 to be the homotopy class of the map $\lambda\mu : I \times I \to X$ given by

$$(\lambda\mu)(s,t) = \begin{cases} \mu(2s,t), \ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \ t \in [0,1], \\ \lambda(2s-1,t), \ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [0,1]. \end{cases}$$

where μ and λ are representatives of the homotopy classes $[\mu]$ and $[\lambda]$ under the homotopies H above. It is straightforward to check that $[\lambda\mu]$ is well defined and that this law of composition makes $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ into a category. To recap, the objects of $\Pi_2(X)$ are the points of X, the 1-arrows of $\Pi_2(X)$ are the paths in X and the 2-arrows of $\Pi_2(X)$ are the homotopy classes of homotopies with endpoints fixed between such paths. We need to define a composition functor

$$m(x_1, x_2, x_3) : \mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3) \times \ \mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_3).$$

If γ_{23} is a 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and γ_{12} is a 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$, then we define $m(x_1, x_2, x_3)(\gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12}) = \gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12}$, where $\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12} : I \to X$ is the path

$$(\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12})(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(2t), \ t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \gamma_{23}(2t-1), \ t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

If we are also given 1-arrows $\gamma_{23}^{'}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and $\gamma_{12}^{'}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ together with 2-arrows $[\mu_{23}] : \gamma_{23} \Rightarrow \gamma_{23}^{'}$ and $[\mu_{12}] : \gamma_{12} \Rightarrow \gamma_{12}^{'}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ respectively, then we define $m(x_1, x_2, x_3)([\mu_{23}], [\mu_{12}]) = [\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12}]$ to be the homotopy class of the map

$$(\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12})(s,t) = \begin{cases} \mu_{12}(s, 2t), \ s \in [0,1], \ t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \mu_{23}(s, 2t-1), \ s \in [0,1], \ t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

where μ_{23} is a representative of $[\mu_{23}]$ and μ_{12} is a representative of $[\mu_{12}]$. Again, it is straightforward to check that this defines a functor. Next, we need to define identity 1-arrows and identity 2-arrows. Given an object x of $\Pi_2(X)$, we define Id_x to be the constant path at x and we define the identity 2-arrow id_x to be the homotopy class of the constant homotopy from the constant path to itself. Now we need to define the associator isomorphism. Given 1-arrows γ_{34} in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_3, x_4)$, γ_{23} in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and γ_{12} in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$, we need to define a 2-arrow $a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12}) : (\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12} \Rightarrow \gamma_{34} \circ (\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12})$ in such a way that given 1-arrows $\gamma_{34}^{'}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_3, x_4)$, $\gamma_{23}^{'}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and $\gamma_{12}^{'}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$, together with 2-arrows $[\mu_{34}] : \gamma_{34} \Rightarrow \gamma_{34}^{'}$, $[\mu_{23}] : \gamma_{23} \Rightarrow \gamma_{23}^{'}$ and $[\mu_{12}] : \gamma_{12} \Rightarrow \gamma_{12}^{'}$ then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "(\mu_{34} \circ \mu_{23}) \circ \mu_{12}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})"'] & (\gamma_{34}^{'} \circ \gamma_{23}^{'}) \circ \gamma_{12}^{'} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma_{34}^{'}, \gamma_{23}^{'}, \gamma_{12}^{'})"] \\
\gamma_{34} \circ (\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12}) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\mu_{34} \circ (\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{12})"'] & \gamma_{34}^{'} \circ (\gamma_{23}^{'} \circ \gamma_{12}^{'}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

and that the pentagonal diagram of axiom (7) above commutes. There is a standard choice for $a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$ — see for example [38]. We set $a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$ to be the homotopy class of the map $\bar{a}(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12}) : I \times I \to X$ defined by

$$\bar{a}(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})(s,t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{4t}{2-s}), \ s \in [0,1], \ t \in [0, \frac{2-s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{23}(4t-2+s), \ s \in [0,1], \ t \in [\frac{2-s}{4}, \frac{3-s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{34}(\frac{4t-3+s}{1+s}), \ s \in [0,1], \ t \in [\frac{3-s}{4}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Next suppose we are given 2-arrows $[\mu_{34}] : \gamma_{34} \Rightarrow \gamma_{34}^{'}$, $[\mu_{23}] : \gamma_{23} \Rightarrow \gamma_{23}^{'}$ and $[\mu_{12}] : \gamma_{12} \Rightarrow \gamma_{12}^{'}$. We need to show that $\bar{a}(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})((\mu_{12} \circ \mu_{23}) \circ \mu_{34}) \simeq (\mu_{12} \circ (\mu_{23} \circ \mu_{34}))\bar{a}(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})$, where μ_{ij} is a representative of the homotopy class $[\mu_{ij}]$. One can check that a homotopy with endpoints fixed between these two maps is

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{12}(2-2rs, \frac{4t}{2(1-r-s+rs)+1)}, \ r \in [0,1], \ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \ t \in [0, \frac{2(1-r-s+rs)+1}{4}], \\ \mu_{23}(2-2rs, 4t-2(1-r-s+rs)-1), \ r \in [0,1], \ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ t \in [\frac{2(1-r-s+rs)+1}{4}, \frac{2(1-r-s+rs)+2}{4}], \\ \mu_{34}(2-2rs, \frac{4t-2(1-r-s+rs)-2}{2r+2s-2rs}), \ r \in [0,1], \ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \ t \in [\frac{2(1-r-s+rs)+2}{4}, 1], \\ \mu_{12}(2rs-2s+1, \frac{4t}{r(1-2s)+1}), \ r \in [0,1], \ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [0, \frac{r(1-2s)+1}{4}], \\ \mu_{23}(2rs-2s+1, 4t-r(1-2s)-1), \ r \in [0,1], \ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ t \in [\frac{r(1-2s)+1}{4}, \frac{r(1-2s)+2}{4}], \\ \mu_{34}(2rs-2s+1, \frac{4t-r(1-2s)-2}{2-r(1-2s)}), \ r \in [0,1], \ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], t \in [\frac{r(1-2s)+2}{4}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

This proves that a is a natural transformation. We need to check that the associativity coherence condition is satisfied. So we need to check that the following diagram of 2-arrows is the identity 2-arrow from $((\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12}$ to itself.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & (\gamma_{45} \circ (\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23})) \circ \gamma_{12} \arrow[drr, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma_{45}, \gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})"] & & \\
((\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12} \arrow[urr, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma_{45}, \gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}) \circ 1_{\gamma_{12}}"] & & & & \gamma_{45} \circ ((\gamma_{34} \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12}) \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "1_{\gamma_{45}} \circ a(\gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})"] \\
 & (\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ (\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12}) \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{12})^{-1}"] & & \gamma_{45} \circ (\gamma_{34} \circ (\gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12})). \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "a(\gamma_{45}, \gamma_{34}, \gamma_{23} \circ \gamma_{12})^{-1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have the following expressions for the 2-arrows (here $s \in [0,1]$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\bar{a}(\gamma_{45},\gamma_{34},\gamma_{23})\circ \mathrm{id}_{\gamma_{12}})(s,t) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(2t),\ t\in[0,\frac{1}{2}], \\ \gamma_{23}(\frac{8t-4}{2-s}),\ t\in[\frac{1}{2},\frac{6-s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{34}(8t+s-6),\ t\in[\frac{6-s}{8},\frac{7-s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{8t+s-7}{1+s}),\ t\in[\frac{7-s}{8},1], \end{cases} \\
\bar{a}(\gamma_{45},\gamma_{34}\circ\gamma_{23},\gamma_{12})(s,t) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{4t}{2-s}),\ t\in[0,\frac{2-s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{23}(8t+2s-4),\ t\in[\frac{2-s}{4},\frac{5-2s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{34}(8t+2s-5),\ t\in[\frac{5-2s}{8},\frac{3-s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{4t+s-3}{1+s}),\ t\in[\frac{3-s}{4},1], \end{cases} \\
(\mathrm{id}_{\gamma_{45}}\circ\bar{a}(\gamma_{34},\gamma_{23},\gamma_{12}))(s,t) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{8t}{2-s}),\ t\in[0,\frac{2-s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{23}(8t+s-2),\ t\in[\frac{2-s}{8},\frac{3-s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{34}(\frac{8t+s-3}{1+s}),\ t\in[\frac{3-s}{8},\frac{1}{2}], \\ \gamma_{45}(2t-1),\ t\in[\frac{1}{2},1], \end{cases} \\
\bar{a}(\gamma_{45},\gamma_{34},\gamma_{23}\circ\gamma_{12})^{-1}(s,t) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{8t}{1+s}),\ t\in[0,\frac{1+s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{23}(\frac{8t-s-1}{1+s}),\ t\in[\frac{1+s}{8},\frac{1+s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{34}(4t-s-1),\ t\in[\frac{1+s}{4},\frac{2+s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{4t-s-2}{2-s}),\ t\in[\frac{2+s}{4},1], \end{cases} \\
\bar{a}(\gamma_{45}\circ\gamma_{34},\gamma_{23},\gamma_{12})^{-1}(s,t) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{4t}{1+s}),\ t\in[0,\frac{1+s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{23}(4t-s-1),\ t\in[\frac{1+s}{4},\frac{2+s}{4}], \\ \gamma_{34}(\frac{8t-2s-4}{2-s}),\ t\in[\frac{2+s}{4},\frac{6+s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{8t-s-6}{2-s}),\ t\in[\frac{6+s}{8},1]. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Composing these 2-arrows gives the 2-arrow from $((\gamma_{45}\circ\gamma_{34})\circ\gamma_{23})\circ\gamma_{12}$ to itself. We want to show that this 2-arrow is the identity 2-arrow at $((\gamma_{45}\circ\gamma_{34})\circ\gamma_{23})\circ\gamma_{12}$. The plan is to find homotopies from each of the individual 2-arrows above to the identity 2-arrow at $((\gamma_{45}\circ\gamma_{34})\circ\gamma_{23})\circ\gamma_{12}$. Of course such homotopies will not fix endpoints but we will see that the homotopy obtained by composing each of the individual homotopies will.

The homotopies are given as follows (here $r \in [0,1]$ and $s \in [0,1]$):

$$
F_1(r,s,t) \;=\; \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(2t),\ t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \gamma_{23}(\frac{8t-4}{(r-1)s+2}),\ t \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{(r-1)s+6}{8}], \\ \gamma_{34}(8t + s(1-r) - 6),\ t \in [\frac{(r-1)s+6}{8}, \frac{7-(1-r)s}{8}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{8t-7+(1-r)s}{(1-r)s+1}),\ t \in [\frac{7-(1-r)s}{8}, 1], \end{cases}
$$

$$
F_2(r,s,t) \;=\; \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{4t}{(r-1)s+2}),\ t \in [0, \frac{(r-1)s+2}{4}], \\ \gamma_{23}(\frac{8t-2(r-1)s-4}{1+r}),\ t \in [\frac{(r-1)s+2}{4}, \frac{2(r-1)s+r+5}{8}], \\ \gamma_{34}(8t - 2(r-1)s - r - 5),\ t \in [\frac{2(r-1)s+r+5}{8}, \frac{2(r-1)s+r+6}{8}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{8t-2(r-1)s-r-6}{2-r-2(r-1)s}),\ t \in [\frac{2(r-1)s+r+6}{8}, 1], \end{cases}
$$

$$
F_3(r,s,t) \;=\; \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{8t}{(r-1)s+2r+2}),\ t \in [0, \frac{(r-1)s+2+2r}{8}], \\ \gamma_{23}(\frac{8t-(r-1)s-2-2r}{1+r}),\ t \in [\frac{(r-1)s+2+2r}{8}, \frac{(r-1)s+3r+3}{8}], \\ \gamma_{34}(\frac{8t-(r-1)s-3-3r}{1-(r-1)s}),\ t \in [\frac{(r-1)s+3r+3}{8}, \frac{3r+4}{8}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{8t-3r+4}{4-3r}),\ t \in [\frac{3r+4}{8}, 1], \end{cases}
$$

$$
F_4(r,s,t) \;=\; \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{8t}{(1-r)s+3r+1}),\ t \in [0, \frac{(1-r)s+3r+1}{8}], \\ \gamma_{23}(\frac{8t-(1-r)s-3r-1}{(1-r)s+r+1}),\ t \in [\frac{(1-r)s+3r+1}{8}, \frac{(1-r)s+2r+1}{4}], \\ \gamma_{34}(\frac{8t-2(1-r)s-4r-2}{2-r}),\ t \in [\frac{(1-r)s+2r+1}{4}, \frac{2(1-r)s+3r+4}{8}], \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{8t-2(1-r)s-3r-4}{4-3r-2(1-r)s}),\ tin[\frac{2(1-r)s+3r+4}{8}, 1], \end{cases}
$$

$$
F_5(r,s,t) \;=\; \begin{cases} \gamma_{12}(\frac{4t}{s(1-r)+r+1}),\ t \in [0, \frac{s(1-r)+r+1}{4}], \\ \gamma_{23}(4t - s(1-r) - r - 1),\ t \in [\frac{s(1-r)+r+1}{4}, \frac{s(1-r)+r+2}{4}], \\ \gamma_{34}(\frac{8t-2s(1-r)-2r-4}{2-r-s(1-r)}),\ t \in [\frac{s(1-r)+r+2}{4}, \frac{s(1-r)+r+6}{]}, \\ \gamma_{45}(\frac{8t-s(1-r)-r-6}{2-r-s(1-r)},\ t \in [\frac{s(1-r)+r+6}{8}, 1]. \end{cases}
$$

It is not too hard to check that if one composes these homotopies then one has a homotopy with endpoints fixed between a representative of the 2-arrow above and the identity 2-arrow at $((\gamma_{45} \circ \gamma_{34}) \circ \gamma_{23}) \circ \gamma_{12}$. This proves the associativity coherence of a.

We now need to define left and right identity transformations id_L and id_R respectively. If $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ is a 1-arrow then $\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma)$ is a 2-arrow $\gamma \Rightarrow \gamma \circ \mathrm{Id}_{x_1}$. We define $\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma)$ to be the homotopy class of the map

$$
(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} x_1,\ t \in [0, \frac{s}{2}], \\ \gamma(\frac{2t-s}{2-s}),\ t \in [\frac{s}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}
$$

We need to prove that the assignment $\gamma \mapsto \mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma)$ defines a natural transformation, so we need to show that if we are given 1-arrows γ and $\gamma^{'}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ together with a 2-arrow $[\mu] : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma^{'}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ then the following diagram of 2-arrows commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\gamma \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "{[\mu]}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_L(x_1,x_2)(\gamma)"'] & \gamma^{'} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\mathrm{id}_L(x_1,x_2)(\gamma^{'})"] \\
\gamma \circ \mathrm{Id}_{x_1} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "{[\mu]\circ \mathrm{id}_{x_1}}"'] & \gamma^{'} \circ \mathrm{Id}_{x_1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We show that the homotopy classes $([\mu] \circ \mathrm{Id}_{x_1}\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma^{'})[\mu]$ are equal. Firstly, $\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma^{'})[\mu]$ is represented by the map

$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \mu(2s,t),\ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], t \in [0,1], \\ x_1,\ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1],\ t \in [0, \frac{2s-1}{2}], \\ \gamma(\frac{2t-2s+1}{3-2s}),\ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1],\ t \in [\frac{2s-1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

where $\mu : I^2 \to X$ is a representative of the homotopy class $[\mu]$, and the homotopy class $([\mu] \circ \mathrm{Id}_{x_1})\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma)$ is represented by the map

$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} x_1,\ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}],\ t \in [0, s], \\ \gamma(\frac{t-s}{1-s}),\ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}],\ t \in [s, 1], \\ x_1,\ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1],\ t \in [0, \frac{2s-1}{2}], \\ \mu(2s-1, \frac{2t-2s+1}{3-2s}),\ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1],\ t \in [\frac{2s-1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(r,s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} x_1,\ r \in [0,1],\ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}],\ t \in [0, rs], \\ \mu(2(1-r)s, \frac{t-rs}{1-rs}),\ r \in [0,1],\ s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}],\ t \in [rs, 1], \\ x_1,\ r \in [0,1],\ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1],\ t \in [0, \frac{2s-1}{2}], \\ \mu((2s-2)r+1, \frac{2t-2s+1}{3-2s}),\ r \in [0,1],\ s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1],\ t \in [\frac{2s-1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between $(\mu \circ \mathrm{Id}_{x_1})\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(\gamma^{'})\mu$. Similarly, if γ is a 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ then we define $\mathrm{id}_R(x_1, x_2)(\gamma) : \gamma \Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_{x_2} \circ \gamma$ by setting $\mathrm{id}_R(x_1, x_2)(\gamma)$ equal to the homotopy class of the map

$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma((s+1)t),\ t \in [0, \frac{1}{s+1}], \\ x_2,\ t \in [\frac{1}{s+1}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Again one can show that given another 1-arrow $\gamma^{'}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ and a 2-arrow $[\mu] : \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma^{'}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ then the diagram of 2-arrows below commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\gamma \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "{[\mu]}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "{\mathrm{id}_R(x_1,x_2)(\gamma)}"'] & \gamma^{'} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "{\mathrm{id}_R(x_1,x_2)(\gamma^{'})}"] \\
\mathrm{Id}_{x_2} \circ \gamma \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "{\mathrm{id}_{x_2} \circ [\mu]}"'] & \mathrm{Id}_{x_2} \circ \gamma^{'}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Finally, we need to show that axiom (8) of Definition 8.1 is satisfied. So we need to show that, given objects x_i, x_j and x_k of $\Pi_2(X)$ and 1-arrows γ_{ij} and γ_{jk} in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(x_j, x_k)$ respectively, that the following diagram of 2-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_k)$ commutes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\gamma_{jk} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{x_j} \circ \gamma_{ij}) & & (\gamma_{jk} \circ \mathrm{Id}_{x_j}) \circ \gamma_{ij} \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "{a(\gamma_{jk}, \mathrm{Id}_{x_j}, \gamma_{ij})}"'] \\
& \gamma_{jk} \circ \gamma_{ij} \arrow[ul, Rightarrow, "{\mathrm{id}_{\gamma_{jk}} \circ \mathrm{id}_R(x_i,x_j)(\gamma_{ij})}"] \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "{\mathrm{id}_L(x_i,x_j)(\gamma_{jk}) \circ \mathrm{id}_{\gamma_{ij}}}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The 2-arrow $a(\gamma_{jk}, \mathrm{Id}_{x_j}, \gamma_{ij})(\mathrm{id}_L(x_i, x_j)(\gamma_{jk}) \circ \mathrm{id}_{\gamma_{ij}})$ is represented by the map

$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}(2t), \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \\ x_j, \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{s+1}{2}], \\ \gamma_{jk}(\tfrac{2t-s-1}{1-s}), \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [\tfrac{s+1}{2}, 1], \\ \gamma_{ij}(\tfrac{4t}{1-2s}), \ s \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [0, \tfrac{1-2s}{4}], \\ x_j, \ s \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [\tfrac{1-2s}{4}, \tfrac{2-s}{2}], \\ \gamma_{jk}(\tfrac{2t+s-2}{s}), \ s \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [\tfrac{2-s}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

while the 2-arrow $\mathrm{id}_{\gamma_{jk}} \circ \mathrm{id}_R(x_i, x_j)(\gamma_{ij})$ is represented by the map

$$(s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}(2(2s+1)t), \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2(2s+1)}], \\ x_j, \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [\tfrac{1}{2(2s+1)}, 1], \\ \gamma_{jk}(2t-1), \ s \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

We want to show that these two maps are homotopic by a homotopy fixing endpoints. One can check that such a homotopy is given by

$$(r,s,t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}(2(2rs+1)), \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2(2rs+1)}], \\ x_j, \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [\tfrac{1}{2(2rs+1)}, \tfrac{1+(1+r)s}{2}], \\ \gamma_{jk}(\tfrac{2t-(1+r)s-1}{1-(1+r)s}), \ s \in [0, \tfrac{1}{2}], \ t \in [\tfrac{1+(1+r)s}{2}, 1], \\ \gamma_{ij}(\tfrac{2(2rs+2-r)}{1-2(1-r)s}), \ s \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [0, \tfrac{1-2(1-r)s}{2(2rs+2-r)}], \\ x_j, \ s \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [\tfrac{1-2(1-r)s}{2(2rs+2-r)}, \tfrac{2-r-(1-r)s}{2}], \\ \gamma_{jk}(\tfrac{2t+(1-r)s-2+r}{r+(1-r)s}), \ s \in [\tfrac{1}{2}, 1], \ t \in [\tfrac{2-r-(1-r)s}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

Thus we have shown that $\Pi_2(X)$ is an example of a bicategory. In fact, $\Pi_2(X)$ is a bigroupoid as we will now show. We will call $\Pi_2(X)$ the *homotopy bigroupoid* of X. Firstly we have to show that the 1-arrows of $\Pi_2(X)$ are coherently invertible. So let x_1 and x_2 be points of X and let γ be a 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$. There is an obvious candidate for the 1-arrow γ^{-1} which is to be a weak coherent inverse of γ, namely we set γ^{-1} to be the path opposite to γ, so $\gamma^{-1}(t) = \gamma(1-t)$. A homotopy μ joining $\gamma^{-1} \circ \gamma$ to the constant path at x_1 is given by

$$\mu(s,t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(2t(1-s)), \ 0 \leq s \leq 1, \ 0 \leq t \leq \tfrac{1}{2}, \\ \gamma((1-s)(2-2t)), \ 0 \leq s \leq 1, \ \tfrac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

while a homotopy ν joining $\gamma \circ \gamma^{-1}$ to the constant path at x_2 is given by

$$\nu(s,t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(1-2t+2ts), \ 0 \leq s \leq 1, \ 0 \leq t \leq \tfrac{1}{2}, \\ \gamma(2t-1-2st+2s), \ 0 \leq s \leq 1, \tfrac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

One can check that these satisfy the conditions in (1) of Definition 8.2. Clearly all 2-arrows in $\Pi_2(X)$ are invertible so $\Pi_2(X)$ is a bigroupoid. We will need this example when we come to discuss the tautological bundle 2-gerbe.

Another important example of a bicategory is a *2-category.* This is a bicategory in which the composition functor is strictly associative rather than associative up to a coherent natural transformation and the left and right identity isomorphisms are the identity. We will write the definition of a 2-category out in full below, as we will refer to it several times.

Definition 8.3 ([25],). A *2-category* $\mathcal{C}$ consists of the following data:

1. A set $Ob(\mathcal{C})$ whose elements are called objects of $\mathcal{C}$.

2. Given two objects x_1 and x_2 of $\mathcal{C}$ there is a category $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ whose objects are called 1-arrows of $\mathcal{C}$ and whose arrows are called 2-arrows of $\mathcal{C}$. As before if α and β are 1-arrows of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ and ϕ is a 2-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ with source α and target β then we indicate this as $\phi : \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$.

3. Given three objects x_1, x_2 and x_3 of $\mathcal{C}$ there is a composition functor

$$m(x_1, x_2, x_3) : \mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_3)$$

   such that, if x_4 is another object of $\mathcal{C}$, then the two functors

$$\begin{gathered} m(x_1, x_3, x_4)(1 \times m(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \\ m(x_1, x_2, x_4)(m(x_2, x_3, x_4) \times 1) \end{gathered}$$

   from $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_4)$ coincide (here $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ denotes the category $\mathrm{Hom}(x_3, x_4) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$). As before, if α and β are 1-arrows of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$, then we usually write $\alpha \circ \beta$ for $m(x_1, x_2, x_3)(\alpha, \beta)$. Also if $\phi : \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha^{'}$ and $\psi : \beta \Rightarrow \beta^{'}$ are 2-arrows in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_2, x_3)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_2)$ respectively, then we write $\phi \circ \psi$ for the 2-arrow $m(x_1, x_2, x_3)(\phi, \psi)$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_1, x_3)$.

4. For each object x of $\mathcal{C}$ there is a 1-arrow Id_x of $\mathrm{Hom}(x, x)$ called the identity 1-arrow of x. The identity map of Id_x is denoted by id_x and is called the identity 2-arrow of x. If y is another object of $\mathcal{C}$ and α is a 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x, y)$ then we have $\mathrm{Id}_y \circ \alpha = \alpha = \alpha \circ \mathrm{Id}_x$. If β is another 1-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x, y)$ and $\phi : \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is a 2-arrow of $\mathrm{Hom}(x, y)$ then $\mathrm{id}_y \circ \phi = \phi = \phi \circ \mathrm{id}_x$.

8.2 Bundle 2-gerbes over a point and bicategories

We first review a construction of [33] which shows how to associate a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid to a bundle gerbe (P, X, M) restricted to a single point m_0 of M. Let $X_{m_0} = \pi^{-1}(m_0)$. Take the objects of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid to be the points of X_{m_0}. Given two such points x_1 and x_2, let the set of arrows from x_1 to x_2 be the points of the fibre $P_{(x_1,x_2)}$. We have to be able to compose arrows and we also have to show that there exist identity arrows. Given points x_1, x_2 and x_3 of X_{m_0} as well as arrows $u_{12} : x_1 \to x_2$ and $u_{23} : x_2 \to x_3$ (ie points $u_{12} \in P_{(x_1,x_2)}$ and $u_{23} \in P_{(x_2,x_3)}$) define the composed arrow $u_{23}u_{12} : x_1 \to x_3$ to be the point $m_P(u_{23} \otimes u_{12}) \in P_{(x_1,x_3)}$. Here m_P denotes the bundle gerbe product in P. Since the bundle gerbe product is associative, this process of composing arrows is associative. The identity arrow $1 : x \to x$ is given by the identity section $e(y) \in P_{(x,x)}$. Note that the category so defined is a groupoid (ie every arrow is invertible) and that the set of automorphisms of an object is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

Now suppose that we have two bundle gerbes (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M). Denote by P_{m_0} and Q_{m_0} the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoids constructed from P and Q respectively. Suppose we have a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id}_M) : P \to Q$. Then it is easy to see that because $\hat{f}$ commutes with the respective bundle gerbe products, we can use $\bar{f}$

to define a functor $f : P_{m_0} \to Q_{m_0}$. If there is a second bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{g} = (\hat{g}, g, \mathrm{id}_M) : P \to Q$ then we can form the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(f,g)^{-1}Q \to X_{m_0}$ as in Lemma 3.3 and, by the results of that Lemma, we know that $(f,g)^{-1}Q$ 'descends to m_0'. Hence it is possible to choose a section of $(f,g)^{-1}Q$ which is compatible with the descent isomorphism. Such a section then gives rise to a natural transformation $f \Rightarrow g$.

Bicategories are related to bundle 2-gerbes over a point in the following way. Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe and let m be a point of M. We define a bicategory $\mathcal{Q}_m$ as follows. The objects of $\mathcal{Q}_m$ the points of $X_m = \pi^{-1}(m)$. Given two such objects x_i and x_j, define a category $\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j)$ as above. So we let the objects of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j)$ (the 1-arrows of $\mathcal{Q}_m$) be the points y lying in the fibre $Y_{(x_i,x_j)}$. Given two such points y and $y^{'}$ we define the set of arrows from y to $y^{'}$ to be points $u \in Q_{(y,y^{'})}$. Composition of arrows is via the bundle gerbe product in Q as explained above.

The bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m} : \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ can then be used to define the composition functor

$$m : \mathrm{Hom}(x_j, x_k) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j) \to \mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_k)$$

by mapping an object (y_{jk}, y_{ij}) of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_j, x_k) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j)$ to the object $m(y_{jk}, y_{ij})$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_k)$ and mapping an arrow (u_{jk}, u_{ij}) in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_j, x_k) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j)$ from (y_{jk}, y_{ij}) to $(y^{'}_{jk}, y^{'}_{ij})$ to the arrow $\hat{m}(u_{jk} \otimes u_{ij})$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_k)$ from $m(y_{jk}, y_{ij})$ to $m(y^{'}_{jk}, y^{'}_{ij})$. The fact that $\bar{m}$ is a bundle gerbe morphism and hence commutes with the bundle gerbe products ensures that m is a functor. We now need to define the associator natural transformation $a(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$ between the functors bounding the diagram below:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l) \arrow[r, "m \times 1"] \arrow[d, "1 \times m"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(x_j, x_k) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j) \arrow[d, "m"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "a(x_i,x_j,x_k,x_l)"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(x_k, x_l) \times \mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_k) \arrow[r, "m"'] & \mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_k).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Given an object (y_{kl}, y_{jk}, y_{ij}) of $\mathrm{Hom}(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$, we set $a(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)(y_{kl}, y_{jk}, y_{ij})$ to be the arrow $\hat{a}(y_{kl}, y_{jk}, y_{ij})$. The fact that the section $\hat{a}$ of $\hat{A}$ descends to a section a of A ensures that $a(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$ is a natural transformation and the coherency condition satisfied by the section a guarantees that the natural transformation $a(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$ satisfies the associativity coherency condition.

To define an identity 1-arrow at an object x of $\mathcal{Q}_m$, first choose a set map $I : \Delta(X) \to Y$ which is a section of $\pi_Y : Y \to X^{[2]}$ (here $\Delta(X)$ denotes the image of X under the diagonal map $\Delta : X \to X^{[2]}$, $x \mapsto (x, x)$). So I associates to each point x of X an element of the fibre $Y_{(x,x)}$. Let $\mathrm{Id}_x = I(x)$ and let $\mathrm{id}_x : \mathrm{Id}_x \Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_x$ be the identity section of the bundle gerbe Q evaluated at the point $I(x)$ — ie $e(I(x))$. We now need to define left and right identity transformations. Ignoring such concepts as continuity and smoothness, notice that we can define bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{\mathrm{Id}}_L : Q \to Q$ and $\bar{\mathrm{Id}}_R : Q \to Q$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Id}_L : Y \to Y \\
&y \mapsto m(y, I(\pi_2(\pi_Y(y)))), \\
&\mathrm{Id}_R : Y\ toY \\
&y \mapsto m(I(\pi_1(\pi_Y(y))), y),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathrm{Id}}_L : Q \to Q \\
&u \mapsto \hat{m}(u \otimes e(I(\pi_2(\pi_Y(\pi_Q(u)))))), \\
&\hat{\mathrm{Id}}_R : Q \to Q \\
&u \mapsto \hat{m}(e(I(\pi_1(\pi_Y(\pi_Q(u))))), u).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles (in the set theoretic sense) $I_L = D_{\bar{1}_Q, \bar{\mathrm{Id}}_L}$ and $I_R = D_{(\bar{1}_Q, \bar{\mathrm{Id}}_R}$ on $X^{[2]}$ and hence by restriction set theoretic $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles I_L and I_R on $X_m \times X_m$. Choose set maps $\mathrm{id}_L : X_m^2 \to I_L$ and $\mathrm{id}_R : X_m^2 \to I_R$ which are sections of the projections $\pi_{I_L} : I_L \to X_m^2$ and $\pi_{I_R} : I_R \to X_m^2$ respectively. We denote also by id_L and id_R the lifts of the sections to the set theoretic $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $(1, \mathrm{Id}_L)^{-1}Q$ and $(1, \mathrm{Id}_R)^{-1}Q$ respectively. It is easy to see that these sections provide 2-arrows $\mathrm{id}_L(x_i, x_j)(y) : y \Rightarrow m(y, I_{x_i})$ and $\mathrm{id}_R(x_i, x_j)(y) : y \Rightarrow m(I_{x_j}, y)$ for a 1-arrow $y \in Y_{(x_i, x_j)}$ which are natural in the sense of (6) of Definition 8.1. We need to check that the left and right identity natural transformations are compatible with the associator natural transformation $a(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$. It is easy to see that we have an isomorphism of set theoretic $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles over X_m^3 given fibrewise as follows:

$$I_R(x_1, x_2) \otimes I_L^*(x_2, x_3) \simeq A(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3).$$

We can define a set map $f : X_m^3 \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$a(x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3)\mathrm{id}_L(x_2, x_3) = \mathrm{id}_R(x_1, x_2) \cdot f(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Therefore if $y_{12} \in Y_{(x_1, x_2)}$ and $y_{23} \in Y_{(x_2, x_3)}$ then f satisfies

$$\hat{a}(y_{12}, I(x_2), y_{23})(\mathrm{id}_L(x_2, x_3)(y_{23}) \circ 1_{y_{12}}) = 1_{y_{23}} \circ \mathrm{id}_R(x_1, x_2)(y_{12}) \cdot f(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Similarly we can define a function $g : X_m^3 \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$a(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_3)\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_3) = \mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2) \cdot g(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Again, if $y_{12} \in Y_{(x_1, x_2)}$ and $y_{23} \in Y_{(x_2, x_3)}$ then g satisfies

$$\hat{a}(I(x_1), y_{12}, y_{23})\mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_3)(y_{23} \circ y_{12}) = 1_{y_{23}} \circ \mathrm{id}_L(x_1, x_2)(y_{12}) \cdot g(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

By considering the diagram of Axiom TA3 of [20] we have the following equation:

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(x_1, x_2, x_4)g(x_2, x_3, x_4)^{-1}. \tag{8.1}$$

We now need to be more specific about our choices of id_L and id_R. First of all, let us agree to put $\mathrm{id}_L(x, x) = \mathrm{id}_R(x, x)$ so that the two 2-arrows $\mathrm{id}_L(x, x)(I_x) : I_x \Rightarrow I_x \circ I_x$ and $\mathrm{id}_R(x, x)(I_x) : I_x \Rightarrow I_x \circ I_x$ are equal. Notice also that we can choose id_L and id_R so that we have $\hat{a}(I_x, I_x, I_x)(\mathrm{id}_L(x, x)(I_x) \circ 1_{I_x}) = 1_{I_x} \circ \mathrm{id}_R(x, x)(I_x)$. Therefore we have made an explicit choice of id_L and id_R over the diagonal $\Delta(X) \subset X_m^2$. For $y_{12} \in Y_{(x_1, x_2)}$ with $x_1 \neq x_2$ let us agree to choose $\mathrm{id}_R(x_1, x_2)$ so that the 2-arrow $\mathrm{id}_R(x_1, x_2)(y_{12}) : y_{12} \Rightarrow I_{x_2} \circ y_{12}$ satisfies

$$\hat{a}(y_{12}, I_{x_2}, I_{x_2})(\mathrm{id}_L(x_2, x_2)(I_{x_2}) \circ 1_{y_{12}}) = 1_{I_{x_2}} \circ \mathrm{id}_R(x_1, x_2)(y_{12}).$$

For $y_{21} \in Y_{(x_2,x_1)}$ with $x_1 \neq x_2$ let us agree to choose $\mathrm{id}_L(x_2,x_1)$ so that the 2-arrow $\mathrm{id}_L(x_2,x_1)(y_{21}) : y_{21} \Rightarrow y_{21} \circ I_{x_2}$ satisfies:

$$a(I_{x_2}, I_{x_2}, y_{21})(\mathrm{id}_L(x_2,x_1)(y_{21}) \circ 1_{I_{x_2}}) = 1_{y_{12}} \circ \mathrm{id}_R(x_2,x_2)(I_{x_2}).$$

Notice that this has now fixed a choice of id_R and id_L. Also notice that we now have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,x,x) &= 1 \\ f(x_1,x_2,x_2) &= 1 \\ f(x_2,x_2,x_1) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Put $x_4 = x_2$ and $x_1 = x_2$ in equation 8.1. Then we get

$$f(x_2,x_2,x_3) = f(x_2,x_2,x_2)g(x_2,x_3,x_2)^{-1}.$$

This implies that $g(x_2,x_3,x_2) = 1$. Now put $x_4 = x_2$ in equation 8.1. We get

$$f(x_1,x_2,x_3) = f(x_1,x_2,x_2)g(x_2,x_3,x_2)^{-1} = 1.$$

This shows that id_L and id_R satisfy the compatibility condition with a. We record the above discussion in the following Proposition.

Proposition 8.1. *Given a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) on M we can associate to each point m of M a bicategory $\mathcal{Q}_m$ where $\mathcal{Q}_m$ is defined as above.*

Note 8.1.

1. Recall from [33] that a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid is a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle P over X^2 with an associative product. The point of this result was that the existence of an identity and of inverses was a consequence of the product being associative and one did not need to impose further axioms. Let us agree to call a '$\mathbb{C}^\times$ bicategory' a bicategory $\mathcal{Q}$ such that for any pair of objects A and B of $\mathcal{Q}$, the category $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ groupoid. It then follows that every $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bicategory arises from a bundle 2-gerbe over a point, or alternatively, as a simplicial bundle gerbe on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^p$ where X is the set of objects of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bicategory. In fact one can show that the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bicategory arising from a bundle 2-gerbe is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bigroupoid and hence any $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bigroupoid would arise from a bundle 2-gerbe over a point.

2. Notice that we could weaken the definition of a bundle 2-gerbe by removing the requirement that there exist an 'associator' section and still obtain a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bicategory (in fact a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bigroupoid) when one restricts the bundle 2-gerbe to a point of the base manifold. This is because we could choose a (set theoretic) section a of the 'associator bundle' $A \to X_m^4$ and define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ valued function $f : X_m^5 \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying a cocycle condition on X_m^6 by comparing $\delta(a)$ with the canonical trivialisation of $\delta(A)$. It then follows that we could rescale a to obtain the associativity coherence condition $\delta(a) = 1$. However, in order to associate a class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ to a bundle 2-gerbe we need to be able to choose a smoothly.

3. We could require further axioms to hold in the definition of a bundle 2-gerbe so that the construction of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bicategory above was 'smooth' in a certain sense, ie one had a smooth choice of the section $I : X \to \Delta^{-1}Y$ and smooth choices of the left and right identity isomorphisms id_L and id_R. These conditions will in fact hold in the main examples of bundle 2-gerbes we consider in the next chapter.

Chapter 9

Some examples of bundle 2-gerbes

9.1 The lifting bundle 2-gerbe

Suppose we have an extension of groups $B\mathbb{C}^\times \to \hat{G} \to G$, with $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ central in $\hat{G}$. Suppose also that $P \to M$ is a principal G bundle. Since $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is an abelian group, we can form the lifting $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle gerbe $(\tilde{P}, P, M)$. So the bundle gerbe product on $\tilde{P}$ is a $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism $m : \pi_1^{-1}\tilde{P} \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\tilde{P} \to \pi_2^{-1}\tilde{P}$ which is associative in the usual sense. (As we have previously remarked, the fact that $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ is an abelian group enables us to form the contracted product $\pi_1^{-1}\tilde{P} \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\tilde{P}$). Now we can form the lifting bundle gerbe $(Q, \tilde{P}, P^{[2]})$. The $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle gerbe product m then induces a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m} : \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ which is strictly associative in the sense that the two induced bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ are equal. It follows that the quadruple $(Q, \tilde{P}, P, M)$ defines a bundle 2-gerbe in the strict sense (Definition 7.3). An example of this situation occurs with the short exact sequence of abelian groups $B\mathbb{C}^\times \to EB\mathbb{C}^\times \to B^2\mathbb{C}^\times$ and the universal $B^2\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $EB^2\mathbb{C}^\times \to B^3\mathbb{C}^\times$. We record this discussion in the following proposition.

Proposition 9.1. *Let $B\mathbb{C}^\times \to \hat{G} \to G$ be a short exact sequence of groups with $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ central in $\hat{G}$. Let $P \to M$ be a principal G bundle. Then the quadruple $(Q, \tilde{P}, P, M)$ defined above is a strict bundle 2-gerbe.*

Another situation in which bundle 2-gerbes arise is the following. Suppose we have a surjection $\pi : X \to M$ admitting local sections and a $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to X^{[2]}$ together with a $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism $m : \pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P \to \pi_2^{-1}P$ covering the identity on $X^{[3]}$. However we do not assume that the two induced $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphisms m_1 and m_2 are equal. Here m_1 and m_2 are defined as follows

$$\begin{gathered}
m_1 : \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_4^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) \to \pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P \\
m_1 = \pi_2^{-1}m \circ (1 \otimes \pi_4^{-1}m) \\
m_2 : \pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}P \to \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P \\
m_2 = \pi_3^{-1}m \circ (\pi_1^{-1}m \otimes 1),
\end{gathered}$$

where we have identified $\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_4^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) = \pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}P$ and $\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P = \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}P$ by virtue of the simplicial identities satisfied by the π_i on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$. Therefore m_1 and m_2 differ by a map $\phi : X^{[4]} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$ which must satisfy $\delta(\phi) = 1$ on $X^{[5]}$. Suppose finally that ϕ has a lift $\hat{\phi} : X^{[4]} \to E\mathbb{C}^\times$ which satisfies $\delta(\hat{\phi}) = 1$ on $X^{[5]}$. Then if we let $(\tilde{P}, P, X^{[2]})$ denote the lifting bundle gerbe associated to the $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $P \to X^{[2]}$ then the quadruple $(\tilde{P}, P, X, M)$ is a bundle 2-gerbe. As before the $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle isomorphism m provides a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m} : \pi_1^{-1}\tilde{P} \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\tilde{P} \to \pi_2^{-1}\tilde{P}$ covering the identity on $X^{[3]}$. One can check that the associator bundle $A \to X^{[4]}$ has classifying map equal to ϕ and so $\hat{\phi}$ provides a section a of A — the associator section — and the condition $\delta(\hat{\phi}) = 1$ is the requirement that $\delta(a)$ matches the canonical trivialisation of $\delta(A)$ on $X^{[5]}$.

9.2 The tautological bundle 2-gerbe

Here we verify that the tautological bundle 2-gerbe introduced in [14] is still a bundle 2-gerbe as defined in these notes. To be more precise we only verify this for the special case in which the base manifold is 3-connected or at least has $\pi_3(M) = 0$.

To define this tautological object recall that we start with a manifold M, which we assume to be 3-connected, together with a closed four form Θ on M with integral periods representing a class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Next we take the path fibration $\pi : \mathcal{P}M \to M$ consisting of piecewise smooth paths $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$, $\gamma(0) = m_0$ where m_0 is a basepoint of M and with π the map which sends a path γ to its end point $\gamma(1)$.

The fibre product $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ consists of all pairs of piecewise smooth paths (γ_1, γ_2) with the same end point, that is $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1)$. Denote by γ° the path γ traversed in the opposite direction and denote by $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$ the path formed from a pair of paths γ_1, γ_2 by traversing γ_1 at double speed and then γ_2 at double speed. Of course, this is only a piecewise smooth path if $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0)$. Thus if $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ then $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2^\circ \in \Omega M$, where ΩM is the based piecewise smooth loop space of M.

Therefore we can define a map $\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{P}M^{[2]} \times S^1 \to M$ by evaluating the loop $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2^\circ$ against the angle θ. Therefore we may define a closed three form ω on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ by pulling back Θ to $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ with ev and then integrating $\mathrm{ev}^*(\Theta)$ over the circle. One can check that ω so defined is a closed three form with integral periods. The next step is to perform the tautological bundle gerbe construction on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ using the integral three form ω.

Bundle 2-gerbes enter the picture because we can define a bundle gerbe morphism covering the map $\mathcal{P}M^{[3]} \to \mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ defined by $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \mapsto (\gamma_1, \gamma_3)$. For the details see [14].

To make the calculation simpler assume for the moment that the base manifold M is a point. Then we start with a manifold X and a closed 3-from ω on X with integral periods. We construct a bundle gerbe on $X^2 = X \times X$ in the usual way. We define a space Y above X^2 with fibre at $(x_1, x_2) \in X^2$ equal to $Y_{(x_1,x_2)} = \{\alpha : I \to X,\ \alpha \text{ piecewise smooth} : \alpha(0) = x_1,\ \alpha(1) = x_2\}$. Next define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle $Q \to Y^{[2]}$ whose fibre at $(\alpha, \beta) \in Y^{[2]}$ is all equivalence classes $[\mu, z]$, where z is a non-zero complex number and $\mu : \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is a homotopy with end points fixed, that is $\mu : I \times I \to X$ and $\mu(0, t) = \alpha(t)$, $\mu(1, t) = \beta(t)$, $\mu(s, 0) = \alpha(0) = \beta(0)$ and $\mu(s, 1) = \alpha(1) = \beta(1)$. The equivalence relation is defined by $(\mu_1, z_1) \sim (\mu_2, z_2)$ if for any homotopy $F : \mu_1 \Rightarrow \mu_2$ with endpoints fixed (so $F : I \times I \times I \to X$, $F(0, s, t) = \mu_1(s, t)$, $F(1, s, t) = \mu_2(s, t)$ and for each $r \in [0, 1]$, $F(r, -, -)$ is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between α and β) we have

$$z_2 = \exp(\int_{I^3} F^*(\omega))z_1$$

If $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^3 = X \times X \times X$ note that we can define a map $m : Y_{(x_2,x_3)} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)} \to$

$Y_{(x_1,x_3)}$ by $(\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})\mapsto\alpha_{23}\circ\alpha_{12}$, where

$$(\alpha_{23}\circ\alpha_{12})(t)=\begin{cases}\alpha_{12}(2t),\ 0\leq t\leq 1/2,\\ \alpha_{23}(2t-1),\ 1/2\leq t\leq 1,\end{cases}$$

and where $\alpha_{23}\in Y_{(x_2,x_3)}$ and $\alpha_{12}\in Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$. This map m clearly extends to a map $m:\pi_1^{-1}Y\times_{X^3}\pi_3^{-1}Y\to\pi_2^{-1}Y$ covering the identity on X^3. We can also define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle morphism $\hat{m}:\pi_1^{-1}Q\otimes\pi_3^{-1}Q\to\pi_2^{-1}Q$ covering the map $m^{[2]}:(\pi_1^{-1}Y\times_{X^3}\pi_3^{-1}Y)^{[2]}\to(\pi_2^{-1}Y)^{[2]}$ by $\hat{m}([\mu_{23},z_{23}]\otimes[\mu_{12},z_{12}])=[\mu_{23}\circ\mu_{12},z_{23}z_{12}]$, where μ_{23} is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between α_{23} and β_{23}, μ_{12} is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between α_{12} and β_{12} and $\mu_{23}\circ\mu_{12}$ is the homotopy with endpoints fixed between $\alpha_{23}\circ\alpha_{12}$ and $\beta_{23}\circ\beta_{12}$ given by

$$(\mu_{23}\circ\mu_{12})(s,t)=\begin{cases}\mu_{12}(s,2t),\ 0\leq s\leq 1,\ 0\leq t\leq 1/2,\\ \mu_{23}(s,2t-1),\ 0\leq s\leq 1,\ 1/2\leq t\leq 1.\end{cases}$$

One can check, see [14], that $\hat{m}$ is well defined and commutes with the bundle gerbe products. Clearly $\hat{m}$ is $\mathbb{C}^\times$ equivariant. Therefore $\bar{m}=(\hat{m},m,\mathrm{id})$ is a bundle gerbe morphism.

As usual, $\bar{m}$ defines two bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1=(\hat{m}_1,m_1,\mathrm{id})$ and $\bar{m}_2=(\hat{m}_2,m_2,\mathrm{id})$ between the appropriately defined bundle gerbes over $X^4=X\times X\times X\times X$. The maps m_1 and m_2 are defined fibrewise by

$$\begin{aligned}m_1:Y_{(x_3,x_4)}\times Y_{(x_2,x_3)}\times Y_{(x_1,x_2)}&\to Y_{(x_1,x_4)}\\ (\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})&\mapsto(\alpha_{34}\circ\alpha_{23})\circ\alpha_{12},\\ m_2:Y_{(x_3,x_4)}\times Y_{(x_2,x_3)}\times Y_{(x_1,x_2)}&\to Y_{(x_1,x_4)}\\ (\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})&\mapsto\alpha_{34}\circ(\alpha_{23}\circ\alpha_{12})\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha_{34}\in Y_{(x_3,x_4)}$, $\alpha_{23}\in Y_{(x_2,x_3)}$ and $\alpha_{12}\in Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$.

It is a standard result (see [38]) that $m_1\simeq m_2$. This means that the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle $(m_1,m_2)^{-1}Q\to Y_{1234}$ has a section $\hat{a}$, where we write for notational convenience Y_{1234} for either of the spaces $\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}Y\times_{X^4}\pi_4^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}Y\times_{X^3}\pi_3^{-1}Y$ or $\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1^{-1}Y\times_{X^3}\pi_3^{-1}Y)\times_{X^4}\pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}Y$ over X^4. From the general theory of simplicial bundle gerbes (see Section 7.1) we know that the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{A}=(m_1,m_2)^{-1}Q$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle A on X^4 such that $\delta(A)$ is canonically trivialised on $X^5=X\times X\times X\times X\times X$. To show that the section $\hat{a}$ of $\hat{A}$ descends to a section a of A, we first need an explicit formula for $\hat{a}$. We get this from the homotopy $m_1\simeq m_2$ (see Example 8.1 and [38]). Set $\hat{a}(\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})=[\bar{a}(\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12}),1]$, where $\bar{a}(\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12}):I\times I\to X$ is given by

$$\bar{a}(\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})(s,t)=\begin{cases}\alpha_{12}(\frac{4t}{2-s}),\ 0\leq t\leq\frac{2-s}{4},\ 0\leq s\leq 1,\\ \alpha_{23}(4t+s-2),\ \frac{2-s}{4}\leq t\leq\frac{3-s}{4},\ 0\leq s\leq 1,\\ \alpha_{34}(\frac{4t+s-3}{1+s}),\ \frac{3-s}{4}\leq t\leq 1,\ 0\leq s\leq 1.\end{cases}$$

From Example 8.1, we know that $\bar{a}(\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})$ is the associator natural transformation for the bicategory $\Pi_2(X)$ evaluated at $(\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})$. The fact that this is a natural transformation is exactly the requirement that the section $\hat{a}$ descend to X^4 — that is, commute with the descent isomorphism for the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{A}$.

We now need to show that the descended section a satisfies the required coherency condition on X^5, that is that $\delta(a)$ matches the canonical trivialisation of $\delta(A)$. Again this follows from the results of Example 8.1. One can check that the homotopy $F = F_5F_4F_3F_2F_1$ joining

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{a}(\alpha_{45}\circ\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})^{-1}\bar{a}(\alpha_{45},\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23}\circ\alpha_{12})^{-1}(\mathrm{id}_{\alpha_{45}}\circ\bar{a}(\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12}))\\&\bar{a}(\alpha_{45},\alpha_{34}\circ\alpha_{23},\alpha_{12})(\bar{a}(\alpha_{45},\alpha_{34},\alpha_{23})\circ\mathrm{id}_{\alpha_{12}})\end{aligned}$$

to the constant homotopy from $((\alpha_{45}\circ\alpha_{34})\circ\alpha_{23})\circ\alpha_{12}$ to itself has image that is at most two dimensional. Hence $F^*(\omega) = 0$ which shows that a satisfies the required coherency condition.

In the general case, where M is not a point, we can apply the construction given above to each fibre of the path fibration $\pi : \mathcal{P}M \to M$ to obtain a bundle 2-gerbe.

9.3 The bundle 2-gerbe of a principal G bundle

Suppose we are given a principal G bundle $P \to M$, where G is a compact, simply connected, simple Lie group. Then it is known [15] that $\pi_2(G) = 0$ and $H^3(G;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. It is shown in [7] that there is a closed, bi-invariant three form ν on G with integral periods which represents the canonical generator of $H^3(G;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. If $G = SU(N)$, then ν is the three form $\frac{1}{24\pi^2}\mathrm{tr}(dgg^{-1})^3$ — see [8].

Recall from [14] that we can define a bundle gerbe (Q, PG, G) on G with three curvature equal to ν. The fibre of $Q \to PG^{[2]}$ at a point $(\alpha,\beta) \in PG^{[2]}$ is all pairs (ϕ, z), where $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\phi : \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is a homotopy $\phi : I^2 \to G$ satisfying $\phi(0,t) = \alpha(t), \phi(1,t) = \beta(t), \phi(s,0) = e$ and $\phi(s,1) = \alpha(1) = \beta(1)$, under the equivalence relation $\sim$ defined by $(\phi_1, z_1) \sim (\phi_2, z_2)$ if and only if for all homotopies $F : \phi_1 \Rrightarrow \phi_2$ with endpoints fixed between ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 we have $z_2 = z_1 \cdot \exp(\int_{I^3} F^*\nu)$.

The bundle gerbe product is defined by

$$[\phi_1, z_1] \otimes [\phi_2, z_2] \mapsto [\phi_1\phi_2, z_1z_2],$$

where $\phi_1\phi_2$ denotes the homotopy defined by

$$(\phi_1\phi_2)(s,t) = \begin{cases}\phi_1(2s,t) & \text{for } 0 \le s \le 1/2\\ \phi_2(2s-1,t) & \text{for } 1/2 \le s \le 1\end{cases}$$

It is shown in [14] that this is well defined, associative, etc.

Proposition 9.2. *The bundle gerbe (Q, PG, G) is a simplicial bundle gerbe on the simplicial manifold NG.*

Proof. We first need to define the bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m} = (\hat{m}, m, \mathrm{id})$ which maps

$$\bar{m} : d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q.$$

Define $m : d_0^{-1}PG \times_{G^2} d_2^{-1}PG \to d_1^{-1}PG$ covering the identity on $G^2 = G \times G$ by sending (α, β) to the path $\alpha \circ \alpha(1)\beta$ given by

$$(\alpha\circ\alpha(1)\beta)(t) = \begin{cases}\alpha(2t), & 0 \le t \le 1/2\\ \alpha(1)\beta(t), & 1/2 \le t \le 1.\end{cases}$$

Next, we need to define a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ equivariant map $\hat{m} : d_0^{-1}Q \otimes d_2^{-1}Q \to d_1^{-1}Q$ covering

$$m^{[2]} : (d_0^{-1}PG \times_{G^2} d_1^{-1}PG)^{[2]} \to d_1^{-1}PG^{[2]}$$

and check that it commutes with the bundle gerbe product. So take pairs (ϕ, z) and (ψ, w) where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\phi : I^2 \to G$ and $\psi : I^2 \to G$ are homotopies with endpoints fixed between paths α_1, α_2 and β_1, β_2 respectively. Then we put

$$\hat{m}((\phi, z), (\psi, w)) = (\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi, zw)$$

where $\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi : I^2 \to G$ is the homotopy with endpoints fixed between $\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_1(1)\beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_2(1)\beta_2$ given by

$$(\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi)(s,t) = \begin{cases} \phi(s, 2t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ \phi(0,1)\psi(s, 2t-1), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We need to check firstly that this map is well defined — that is it respects the equivalence relation $\sim$ — and secondly that $\hat{m}$ commutes with the bundle gerbe products.

So suppose $(\phi, z) \sim (\phi', z')$ and $(\psi, w) \sim (\psi', w')$, where ϕ and ϕ' are homotopies with endpoints fixed between paths α_1 and α_2 and where ψ and ψ' are homotopies with endpoints fixed between paths β_1 and β_2. We want to show that

$$(\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi, zw) \sim (\phi' \circ \phi'(0,1)\psi', z'w'). \tag{9.1}$$

Therefore we want to show that for all homotopies $H : I^3 \to G$ with endpoints fixed between $\phi \circ \phi(0,1)\psi$ and $\phi' \circ \phi'(0,1)\psi'$ we have

$$z'w' = zw \exp(\int_{I^3} H^*\nu).$$

Note that if $\Phi : I^3 \to G$ is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between ϕ and ϕ' and $\Psi : I^3 \to G$ is a homotopy with endpoints fixed between ψ and ψ', then by integrality of ν we have

$$\exp(\int_{I^3} H^*\nu) = \exp(\int_{I^3} (\Phi \circ \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu).$$

Therefore we are reduced to showing that

$$z'w' = zw \exp(\int_{I^3} (\Phi \circ \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu). \tag{9.2}$$

We have

$$\exp(\int_{I^3} (\Phi \circ \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu) = \exp(\int_{I^3} \Phi^*\nu) \exp(\int_{I^3} (\Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu).$$

By the bi-invariantness of ν, we get $(\Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu = \Psi^*\nu$, hence

$$\exp(\int_{I^3} (\Phi * \Phi(0,0,1)\Psi)^*\nu) = \exp(\int_{I^3} \Phi^*\nu) \exp(\int_{I^3} \Psi^*\nu),$$

which implies the result. Hence $\hat{m}$ is well defined. It is a straightforward matter to verify that $\hat{m}$ respects the bundle gerbe products.

It remains to show that there is a transformation of the bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ over $G \times G \times G$ which satisfies the compatibility criterion over $G \times G \times G \times G$. This has already been done above for the tautological bundle 2-gerbe and the proof given there carries over to this case. ☐

Suppose that we have a principal G bundle $\pi : P \to M$. Pulling back the simplicial bundle gerbe (Q, PG, G) to $P^{[2]}$ via the canonical map $\tau : P^{[2]} \to G$ defines a quadruple of manifolds $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}, P, M)$ which is in fact a bundle 2-gerbe. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 9.3. *The quadruple of manifolds* $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}, P, M)$ *is a bundle 2-gerbe.*

Note 9.1. In the next section we will define a class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ associated to a bundle 2-gerbe. It will turn out (see Section 11.3) that the class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ associated to the bundle 2-gerbe $\tilde{Q}$ is the Pontryagin class of the principal G bundle P.

Chapter 10

Bundle 2-gerbe connections and 2-curvings

10.1 Definitions and some preliminary lemmas

Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. So there is a surjection $\pi : X \to M$ admitting local sections. Recall from Section 3.3 the extended Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^p(M) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \Omega^p(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^p(Y^{[2]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^p(Y^{[q]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots$$

It is shown in [33] that this sequence is exact (see Proposition 3.5). We will exploit this fact to define the notion of a bundle 2-gerbe connection and a 2-curving for a bundle 2-gerbe connection and construct a closed integral four form on M associated to the bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M).

Recall from Section 3.3 that a bundle gerbe connection ∇ on the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ has a curving f_1 which is a two form $f_1 \in \Omega^2(Y)$. Recall from [33] (see also Section 3.3) that there is a closed three form ω on $X^{[2]}$ with integral periods which satisfies $\pi_Y^* \omega = df$. ω is called the Dixmier-Douady three form of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ or sometimes the three-curvature.

Definition 10.1. We use the notation of the previous paragraph. A *bundle 2-gerbe connection* on a bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) is a bundle gerbe connection ∇ on the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ together with a choice of curving $f_1 \in \Omega^2(Y)$ for ∇, such that $\delta(\omega) = 0$ in $\Omega^3(X^{[3]})$, where $\omega \in \Omega^3(X^{[2]})$ is the Dixmier-Douady three form with $\pi_Y^* \omega = df_1$.

Proposition 10.1. *Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. Then (i) bundle 2-gerbe connections on (Q, Y, X, M) exist and (ii) the three curvature ω defines a closed four form Θ on M with integral periods.*

Assuming the existence of bundle 2-gerbe connections for now, suppose we have chosen one on the bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M). Let $\omega \in \Omega^3(X^{[2]})$ be the three curvature, then $\delta(\omega) = 0$ and we can solve $\omega = \delta(f_2)$ for some $f_2 \in \Omega^3(X)$. We call a choice of f_2 a *2-curving* for the bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇, f_1). Since ω is closed, we have $\delta(df_2) = 0$, and hence there exists $\Theta \in \Omega^4(M)$ such that $df_2 = \pi^* \Theta$ and Θ is closed. We will see later that Θ is in fact an integral four form and hence is a de Rham representative of a class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Θ is called the four class of the bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M).

Example 10.1. As an example of this we consider the tautological bundle 2-gerbe on a 3-connected manifold M associated to a closed integral four form Θ on M (see Section 9.2). Recall that we first pull Θ back to $\mathcal{P}M$, the piecewise smooth path space of M, using the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{P}M \times I \to M$ sending (γ, t) to $\gamma(t)$. We then define an integral three form f_2 on $\mathcal{P}M$ by $f_2 = \int_I \mathrm{ev}^*\Theta$. It is straightforward to check that $df_2 = \pi^*\Theta$ where $\pi : \mathcal{P}M \to M$ is the projection. It then follows that the three form $\delta(f_2)$ on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$ defines a class in the image of $H^3(\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}; \mathbb{Z})$ inside $H^3(\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}; \mathbb{R})$. Alternatively, we can think of $\delta(f_2)$ as defining a closed integral three form on ΩM, the space of based, piecewise smooth loops on M. Recall from Section 9.2 that we then construct the tautological bundle gerbe on each fibre of $\pi : \mathcal{P}M \to M$. This amounts to constructing the tautological bundle gerbe with bundle gerbe connection ∇ and curving f (see example 3.3) whose three curvature equals $\delta(f_2)$ on $\mathcal{P}M^{[2]}$. Therefore (∇, f) is an example of a bundle 2-gerbe connection on the tautological bundle 2-gerbe and f_2 is a 2-curving of this bundle 2-gerbe connection.

We need the following series of Lemmas.

Lemma 10.1. *Let (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) be bundle gerbes with bundle gerbe connections ∇_P and ∇_Q respectively. Suppose $(\hat{f}, f, id) : (P, X, M) \to (Q, Y, M)$ is a bundle gerbe morphism. $\hat{f}$ induces an isomorphism of line bundles $\tilde{f} : P \to (f^{[2]})^{-1}Q$ over $X^{[2]}$ which commutes with the bundle gerbe products. Then we have*

$$\nabla_P = \tilde{f}^{-1} \circ (f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \tilde{f} + \delta(A),$$

for some $A \in \Omega^1(X)$.

Proof. Because $\hat{f}$ commutes with the bundle gerbe products on P and Q, $\tilde{f}$ commutes with the bundle gerbe products on P and $(f^{[2]})^{-1}Q$. Since ∇_Q is a bundle gerbe connection, so is $(f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q$ and therefore ∇_P and $\tilde{f}^{-1} \circ (f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \tilde{f}$ are bundle gerbe connections on P. Since any two connections differ by the pullback of a complex valued one form on the base, we have $\nabla_P = \tilde{f}^{-1} \circ (f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \tilde{f} + \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \Omega^1(X^{[2]})$. Because ∇_P and $\tilde{f}^{-1} \circ (f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \tilde{f}$ are both bundle gerbe connections, we must have $\delta(\alpha) = 0$ and so $\alpha = \delta(A)$ for some $A \in \Omega^1(X)$. ☐

Suppose now that (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) are the bundle gerbes of the previous lemma but we now have a pair of bundle gerbe morphisms $(\hat{f}_i, f_i, \mathrm{id}) : (P, X, M) \to (Q, Y, M)$, $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 10.1 there exist $A_1, A_2 \in \Omega^1(X)$ such that

$$\nabla_P = \tilde{f}_i^{-1} \circ (f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \tilde{f}_i + \delta(A_i).$$

We have the pullback bundle $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \to X$ of Lemma 3.3. Let $\phi : \pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \simeq \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q$ denote the descent isomorphism of Lemma 3.3 and let $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q$ denote the pullback connection on $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \to X$.

Lemma 10.2. *The pullback connection $(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q$ satisfies*

$$\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q = \phi^{-1} \circ \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \phi + \delta(A_1 - A_2).$$

Proof. Define a map $< f_1, f_2 >: X^{[2]} \to Y^{[2]}$ by sending the point (x_1, x_2) of $X^{[2]}$ to the point $(f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$ of $Y^{[2]}$. The bundle gerbe product on Q gives the following isomorphisms of line bundles with connection over $X^{[2]}$.

$$(f_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \overset{\simeq}{\to} < f_1, f_2 >^{-1} Q \tag{10.1}$$

$$\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \otimes (f_1^{[2]})^{-1}Q \overset{\simeq}{\to} < f_1, f_2 >^{-1} Q \tag{10.2}$$

Here the line bundle $< f_1, f_2 >^{-1} Q$ is equipped with the connection $< f_1, f_2 >^{-1} \nabla_Q$, while the line bundles $(f_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q$ and $\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \otimes (f_1^{[2]})^{-1}Q$ are endowed with the connections $(f_2^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q + \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q$ and $\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q + (f_1^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q$ respectively. Hence we can combine the isomorphisms 10.1 and 10.2 to get an isomorphism of line bundles

$$\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \otimes (f_1^{[2]})^{-1}Q \overset{\simeq}{\to} (f_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q.$$

Note that this is an isomorphism of line bundles with connection. We have the following sequence of isomorphisms of line bundles

$$\begin{align}
&\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \to \pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \otimes P \otimes P^* \tag{10.3}\\
&\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \otimes P \otimes P^* \to \pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \otimes (f_1^{[2]})^{-1}Q \otimes (f_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q^* \tag{10.4}\\
&\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \otimes (f_1^{[2]})^{-1}Q \otimes (f_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q^* \overset{m_Q}{\to} \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}Q \tag{10.5}\\
& \tag{10.6}
\end{align}$$

The descent isomorphism ϕ of Lemma 3.3 is the composition of these line bundle isomorphisms. Here the isomorphism 10.3 is induced by the canonical trivialisation of $P \otimes P^*$, the isomorphism 10.4 is induced by the isomorphisms $\tilde{f}_1$ and $\tilde{f}_2^*$ and the isomorphism 10.5 is induced by the bundle gerbe product m_Q (in other words by the isomorphisms 10.1 and 10.2). From this, and Lemma 10.1 we see that the difference $\pi_1^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q - \phi^{-1} \circ \pi_2^{-1}(f_1, f_2)^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \phi$ is equal to $\delta(A_1 - A_2)$. $\square$

Suppose that we have bundle gerbes (P, X, M), (Q, Y, M) and (R, Z, M) equipped with bundle gerbe connections ∇_P, ∇_Q and ∇_R respectively. Suppose also that there exist bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f} : P \to Q$ and $\bar{g} : Q \to R$ with $\bar{f} = (\hat{f}, f, \mathrm{id})$ and $\bar{g} = (\hat{g}, g, \mathrm{id})$. With the notation of Lemma 10.1 we have

$$\nabla_P = \tilde{f}^{-1} \circ (f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q \circ \tilde{f} + \delta(A),$$

$$\nabla_Q = \tilde{g}^{-1} \circ (g^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_R \circ \tilde{g} + \delta(B),$$

for some $A \in \Omega^1(X)$, $B \in \Omega^1(Y)$. Let $\widetilde{g \circ f}$ denote the isomorphism $\widetilde{g \circ f} : P \simeq (g^{[2]} \circ f^{[2]})^{-1}R$ induced by the bundle map $\hat{g} \circ \hat{f} : P \to R$ covering $g^{[2]} \circ f^{[2]} : X^{[2]} \to Z^{[2]}$.

Lemma 10.3. *With the notation of the above paragraph, we have the following expression:*

$$\nabla_P = (\widetilde{g \circ f})^{-1} \circ ((g^{[2]} \circ f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_R) \circ (\widetilde{g \circ f}) + \delta(A + f^*B).$$

Proof. The isomorphism $\tilde{g} : Q \to (g^{[2]})^{-1}R$ gives rise to an isomorphism over $X^{[2]}$:

$$(f^{[2]})^{-1}\tilde{g} : (f^{[2]})^{-1}Q \simeq (f^{[2]})^{-1}(g^{[2]})^{-1}R.$$

Also by definition we have an isomorphism of line bundles with connection

$$(f^{[2]})^{-1}(g^{[2]})^{-1}R \simeq (g^{[2]} \circ f^{[2]})^{-1}R,$$

where each bundle is equipped with the obvious pullback connection. Next, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(f^{[2]})^{-1}(g^{[2]})^{-1}R \arrow[rr, "\text{canonical}"] & & (g^{[2]} \circ f^{[2]})^{-1}R \\
& P \arrow[ul, "((f^{[2]})^{-1}\tilde{g})\circ\tilde{f}"] \arrow[ur, "\widetilde{g\circ f}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. This is really the definition of $(f^{[2]})^{-1}\tilde{g}$. Therefore we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{g\circ f})^{-1}\circ((g^{[2]}\circ f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_R)\circ(\widetilde{g\circ f}) \\
= \; & (((f^{[2]})^{-1}\tilde{g})\circ\tilde{f})^{-1}\circ((f^{[2]})^{-1}(g^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_R)\circ(((f^{[2]})^{-1}\tilde{g})\circ\tilde{f}) \\
= \; & \tilde{f}^{-1}\circ((f^{[2]})^{-1}\tilde{g})^{-1}\circ((f^{[2]})^{-1}(g^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_R)\circ((f^{[2]})^{-1}\tilde{g})\circ\tilde{f} \\
= \; & \tilde{f}^{-1}\circ(f^{[2]})^{-1}(\nabla_Q-\delta(B))\circ\tilde{f} \\
= \; & \tilde{f}^{-1}\circ(f^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q\circ\tilde{f}-\delta(f^*B) \\
= \; & \nabla_P-\delta(A+f^*B)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose, as in Lemma 10.3, that we have bundle gerbes (P,X,M), (Q,Y,M) and (R,Z,M), but that we have pairs of bundle gerbe morphisms $(\hat{f}_i,f_i,\mathrm{id}):(P,X,M)\to(Q,Y,M)$ and $(\hat{g}_i,g_i,\mathrm{id}):(Q,Y,M)\to(R,Z,M)$ for $i=1,2$. Using Lemma 10.1 again we get

$$\nabla_P=\tilde{f}_i^{-1}\circ(f_i^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_Q\circ\tilde{f}_i+\delta(A_i),$$
$$\nabla_Q=\tilde{g}_i^{-1}\circ(g_i^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_R\circ\tilde{g}_i+\delta(B_i),$$

for some $A_i\in\Omega^1(X)$, $B_i\in\Omega^1(Y)$ for $i=1,2$.

Lemma 10.4. *With the above notation, the pullback connection $(g_1\circ f_1,g_2\circ f_2)^{-1}\nabla_R$ on $(g_1\circ f_1,g_2\circ f_2)^{-1}R\to X$ satisfies*

$$\pi_1^{-1}(g_1\circ f_1,g_2\circ f_2)^{-1}\nabla_R=\phi^{-1}\circ\pi_2^{-1}(g_1\circ f_1,g_2\circ f_2)^{-1}\nabla_R\circ\phi+\delta(A_1-A_2+f_1^*B_1-f_2^*B_2),$$

where $\phi:\pi_1^{-1}(g_1\circ f_1,g_2\circ f_2)^{-1}R\simeq\pi_2^{-1}(g_1\circ f_1,g_2\circ f_2)^{-1}R$ is the descent isomorphism of 3.3.

Proof. Same as for Lemma 10.2. □

10.2 Proof of the main result

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 10.1

Proof. Suppose we are given a bundle 2-gerbe (Q,Y,X,M). Let ∇ be a bundle gerbe connection on $(Q,Y,X^{[2]})$ with curving $f\in\Omega^2(Y)$ such that $\delta(f)=F_\nabla$, where F_∇ is the curvature of Q with respect to ∇. The three curvature ω then satisfies $\pi_Y^*\omega=df$.

Let us look at what happens over $X^{[3]}$. We have induced connections $\pi_i^{-1}\nabla$ on the bundle gerbes $(\pi_i^{-1}Q,\pi_i^{-1}Y,X^{[3]})$. Let $\pi_i^{-1}f$ denote the curving for $\pi_i^{-1}\nabla$ induced by f. Let $(p_1^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}\nabla+(p_2^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}\nabla$ denote the tensor product bundle gerbe connection on $\pi_1^{-1}Q\otimes\pi_3^{-1}Q\to\pi_1^{-1}Y^{[2]}\times_{X^{[3]}}\pi_3^{-1}Y^{[2]}$. The bundle gerbe morphism

$$\bar{m}:(\pi_1^{-1}Q\otimes\pi_3^{-1}Q,\pi_1^{-1}Y^{[2]}\times_{X^{[3]}}\pi_3^{-1}Y^{[2]},X^{[3]})\to(\pi_2^{-1}Q,\pi_2^{-1}Y,X^{[3]})$$

gives rise to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle isomorphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}Q\otimes\pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[rr, "\tilde{m}"] \arrow[dr] & & (m^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[dl] \\
& (\pi_1^{-1}Y\times_{X^{[3]}}\pi_3^{-1}Y)^{[2]} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$\tilde{m}$ clearly commutes with the respective bundle gerbe products. By Lemma 10.1 there is a one form $\rho \in \Omega^1(\pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y)$ such that

$$(p_1^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}\nabla + (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}\nabla = \tilde{m}^{-1} \circ (m^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}\nabla \circ \tilde{m} + \delta(\rho). \tag{10.7}$$

Hence we get

$$(p_1^{[2]})^* F_{\pi_1^{-1}\nabla} + (p_2^{[2]})^* F_{\pi_3^{-1}\nabla} = (m^{[2]})^* F_{\pi_2^{-1}\nabla} + \delta(d\rho), \tag{10.8}$$

and hence

$$\delta(p_1^*\pi_1^{-1}f + p_2^*\pi_3^{-1}f - m^*\pi_2^{-1}f - d\rho) = 0. \tag{10.9}$$

Therefore, there exists $\mu \in \Omega^2(X^{[3]})$ such that

$$p_1^*\pi_1^{-1}f + p_2^*\pi_3^{-1}f = m^*\pi_2^{-1}f + d\rho + \pi_{Y_{123}}^*\mu, \tag{10.10}$$

where $\pi_{Y_{123}}$ denotes the projection $Y_{123} = \pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y \to X^{[3]}$. It is easily checked that

$$\pi_1^*\omega - \pi_2^*\omega + \pi_3^*\omega = d\mu. \tag{10.11}$$

Now let us look at the situation over $X^{[4]}$. We will use the notation introduced in Section 7.1 so that we will denote $\pi_1^{-1}Y$ by Y_{23}, $\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q$ by Q_{123}, $\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}Q$ by Q_{34} and so on. As mentioned in Section 7.1, the bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ are defined as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q_{1234} \arrow[r, "\bar{m}\otimes 1"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes\bar{m}"'] & Q_{124} \arrow[d, "\bar{m}"] \\
Q_{134} \arrow[r, "\bar{m}"] & Q_{14}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $\bar{m}_1 = \bar{m} \circ (\bar{m} \otimes 1)$ and $\bar{m}_2 = \bar{m} \circ (1 \otimes \bar{m})$. Recall from Definition 7.2 that $\hat{A} = (m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q_{14}$ is the line bundle on Y_{1234} which descends to the associator line bundle A on $X^{[4]}$. Furthermore $\hat{A}$ has a section $\hat{a}$ which descends to a section a of A — the associator section. $\hat{A}$ inherits the pullback connection $\nabla_{\hat{A}} = (m_1, m_2)^{-1}\nabla_{14}$ (here ∇_{14} denotes the induced connection on Q_{14}) relative to which $\hat{A}$ has curvature equal to

$$(m_1, m_2)^*\delta(\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f) = m_2^*\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f - m_1^*\pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_2^*\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f \\
= \;& (\pi_2^{-1}m \circ (1 \times_{X^{[4]}} \pi_4^{-1}m))^*\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f \\
= \;& (1 \times_{X^{[4]}} \pi_4^{-1}m)^*(\pi_2^{-1}m)^*\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f \\
= \;& (1 \times_{X^{[4]}} \pi_4^{-1}m)^*\pi_2^{-1}(p_1^*\pi_1^{-1}f + p_2^*\pi_3^{-1}f - d\rho - \pi_{Y_{134}}^*\pi_2^*\mu) \\
= \;& p_1^*\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f + (1 \times_{X^{[4]}} \pi_4^{-1}m)^*p_2^*\pi_4^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f - d(1 \times_{X^{[4]}} \pi_4^{-1}m)^*\pi_2^{-1}\rho - \pi_{Y_{1234}}^*\pi_2^*\mu \\
= \;& p_1^*\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f + p_2^*\pi_4^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f + p_3^*\pi_4^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f - d(1 \times_{X^{[4]}} \pi_4^{-1}m)^*\pi_2^{-1}\rho \\
& -1 \times d\pi_4^{-1}\rho - \pi_{Y_{1234}}^*(\pi_2^*\mu + \pi_4^*\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}m_1^*\pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f &= p_1^*\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}f + p_2^*\pi_1^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f + p_3^*\pi_3^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}f - d\pi_1^{-1}\rho\times 1 \\ &\quad -d(\pi_1^{-1}m\times_{X^{[4]}}1)^*\pi_3^{-1}\rho - \pi_{Y_{1234}}^*(\pi_1^*\mu+\pi_3^*\mu).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}m_2^*\pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f - m_1^*\pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}f &= \pi_{Y_{1234}}^*\delta(\mu) + d(\pi_1^{-1}\rho\times 1) + (\pi_1^{-1}m\times_{X^{[4]}}1)^*\pi_3^{-1}\rho \\ &\quad -1\times\pi_4^{-1}\rho - (1\times_{X^{[4]}}\pi_4^{-1}m)^*\pi_2^{-1}\rho).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we can write $(\nabla_{\hat{A}})(\hat{a}) = \hat{\alpha}\otimes\hat{a}$ for some one form $\hat{\alpha}$ on Y_{1234}. Thus we must have $d\hat{\alpha} = \pi_{Y_{1234}}^*\delta(\mu) + d\rho_1$ where $\rho_1 \in \Omega^1(Y_{1234})$ is the one form

$$\pi_1^{-1}\rho\times 1 + (\pi_1^{-1}m\times_{X^{[4]}}1)^*\pi_3^{-1}\rho - 1\times\pi_4^{-1}\rho - (1\times_{X^{[4]}}\pi_4^{-1}m)^*\pi_2^{-1}\rho.$$

Let $\phi : \pi_1^{-1}\hat{A} \to \pi_2^{-1}\hat{A}$ denote the descent isomorphism of Lemma 3.3. By Lemma's 10.1 and 10.4 we have

$$\pi_1^{-1}\nabla_{\hat{A}} = \phi^{-1}\circ\pi_2^{-1}\nabla_{\hat{A}}\circ\phi + \delta(\rho_1).$$

Therefore the connection $\nabla_{\hat{A}} - \rho_1$ on $\hat{A}$ descends to a connection ∇_A on A. Let $\nabla_A(a) = \alpha\otimes a$ for some $\alpha\in\Omega^1(X^{[4]})$. Then we have $\hat{a} - \rho_1 = \pi_{Y_{1234}}^*\alpha$. Hence we get

$$\pi_1^*\mu - \pi_2^*\mu + \pi_3^*\mu - \pi_4^*\mu = d\alpha. \tag{10.12}$$

If we can show $\delta(\alpha) = 0$ then we are done. To do this we need to examine the situation over $X^{[5]}$. $\bar{m}$ induces five bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{M}_i : Q_{12345} \to Q_{15}$ for $i = 1,\dots,5$. We can form five $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $\hat{D}_{M_1,M_2} = (M_1, M_2)^{-1}Q_{15}$, $\hat{D}_{M_2,M_3} = (M_2, M_3)^{-1}Q_{15}, \dots,$ $\hat{D}_{M_5,M_1} = (M_5, M_1)^{-1}Q_{15}$ on Y_{12345}, all of which descend to $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles $D_{\bar{M}_1,\bar{M}_2}$,$D_{\bar{M}_2,\bar{M}_3}$, $\dots$, $D_{\bar{M}_5,\bar{M}_1}$ on $X^{[5]}$. Since each pair of maps (M_i, M_{i+1}) has M_i factoring through m_1 and M_{i+1} factoring through m_2 or vice versa, we can identify $D_{\bar{M}_1,\bar{M}_2}$ with $\pi_5^{-1}A$, $D_{\bar{M}_2,\bar{M}_3}$ with $\pi_3^{-1}A$, $D_{\bar{M}_3,\bar{M}_4}$ with $\pi_1^{-1}A$, $D_{\bar{M}_4,\bar{M}_5}$ with $\pi_4^{-1}A^*$ and $D_{\bar{M}_5,\bar{M}_1}$ with $\pi_2^{-1}A^*$ as explained in Section 7.1. Also note that the associator section $\hat{a}$ induces sections $\hat{a}_{ij}$ of $\hat{D}_{M_i,M_j}$.

Note that the bundle gerbe product in Q supplies an isomorphism

$$\hat{D}_{M_1,M_2}\otimes\hat{D}_{M_2,M_3}\otimes\cdots\otimes\hat{D}_{M_5,M_1}\to\hat{D}_{M_1,M_1} \tag{10.13}$$

and the coherency condition on $\hat{a}$ is that $\hat{a}_{12}\otimes\hat{a}_{23}\otimes\cdots\otimes\hat{a}_{51}$ is mapped to the identity section of $\hat{D}_{M_1,M_1} = (M_1, M_1)^{-1}Q_{15}$.

Let ∇_{15} denote the bundle gerbe connection on Q_{15} induced by ∇. Then ∇_{15} induces a connection $\hat{\nabla}_{ij}$ on the (line) bundle $\hat{D}_{M_i,M_j}$ by pullback: $\hat{\nabla}_{ij} = (M_i, M_j)^{-1}\nabla_{15}$. Since ∇_{15} is a bundle gerbe connection, under the isomorphism 10.13 above, the connection $\hat{\nabla}_{12}+\cdots+\hat{\nabla}_{51}$ on $\hat{D}_{M_1,M_2}\otimes\cdots\otimes\hat{D}_{M_5,M_1}$ is mapped to the connection $\hat{\nabla}_{11} = (M_1, M_1)^{-1}\nabla_{15}$ on $\hat{D}_{M_1,M_1}$. Hence we have that

$$(\hat{\nabla}_{12}+\cdots+\hat{\nabla}_{51})(\hat{a}_{12}\otimes\cdots\otimes\hat{a}_{51}) = 0. \tag{10.14}$$

We now need to calculate $\hat{\nabla}_{ij}(\hat{a}_{ij})$. Using Lemmas 10.1– 10.4 one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\nabla}_{12}(\hat{a}_{12}) &= (\pi^*\pi_1^*\alpha + 1\times\rho_1 + (m_1\times 1)^*\rho - (m_2\times 1)^*\rho)\otimes\hat{a}_{12} \\ \hat{\nabla}_{23}(\hat{a}_{23}) &= (\pi^*\pi_3^*\alpha + (1\times m\times 1)^*\rho_1)\otimes\hat{a}_{23} \\ \hat{\nabla}_{34}(\hat{a}_{34}) &= (\pi^*\pi_5^*\alpha + (1\times m_1)^*\rho - (1\times m_2)^*\rho)\otimes\hat{a}_{34} \\ \hat{\nabla}_{45}(\hat{a}_{45}) &= (-\pi^*\pi_2^*\alpha - (1\times 1\times m)^*\rho_1)\otimes\hat{a}_{45} \\ \hat{\nabla}_{51}(\hat{a}_{51}) &= (-\pi^*\pi_4^*\alpha - (m\times 1\times 1)^*\rho_1)\otimes\hat{a}_{51}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have abused notation and denoted by π the projection $\pi_{Y_{12345}} : Y_{12345} \to X^{[5]}$. If one does the calculation then one finds that

$$(\hat{\nabla}_{12} + \cdots + \hat{\nabla}_{51})(\hat{a}_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{a}_{51}) = \delta(\alpha) \otimes (\hat{a}_{12} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{a}_{51}) \tag{10.15}$$

and so we conclude that $\delta(\alpha) = 0$, completing the proof. □

Let us explicitly write down the bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇, f) on (Q, Y, X, M) constructed in the above proof. Since $\delta(\alpha) = 0$, we can solve $\alpha = \delta(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \Omega^1(X^{[3]})$. Therefore we have $\delta(\mu) = \delta(d\beta)$ and so we can find $\nu \in \Omega^2(X^{[2]})$ such that $\mu = d\beta + \delta(\nu)$. Now give the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ the bundle gerbe connection ∇ with curving $f^{'} = f - \pi_Y^* \nu$. From equation 10.10 we have

$$p_1^* \pi_1^{-1} f^{'} + p_2^* \pi_3^{-1} f^{'} = m^* \pi_2^{-1} f^{'} + d\rho + \pi_{Y_{123}}^* (\mu - \delta(\nu)) = m^* \pi_2^{-1} f^{'} + \pi_{Y_{123}}^* d\beta. \tag{10.16}$$

This equation implies that the three curvature $\omega^{'} = \omega - d\nu$ of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ with bundle gerbe connection ∇ and curving $f^{'}$ satisfies $\delta(\omega^{'}) = 0$. Therefore $(\nabla, f^{'})$ is a bundle 2-gerbe connection for Q.

Chapter 11

Comparison of the Čech, Deligne and de Rham classes associated to a bundle 2-gerbe

11.1 A Čech three class

Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe (Definition 7.2). We will show how to construct a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-valued Čech 3-cocycle associated to Q.

Choose an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M, all of whose finite intersections are empty or contractible, and such that there exist local sections $s_i : U_i \to X|_{U_i}$ of $\pi : X \to M$. We have the usual maps $(s_i, s_j) : U_{ij} \to X^{[2]}$, $m \mapsto (s_i(m), s_j(m))$. Let (Q_{ij}, Y_{ij}, U_{ij}) denote the pullback of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ to U_{ij} by (s_i, s_j). Thus Y_{ij} is the space over U_{ij} whose fibre at $m \in U_{ij}$ is $Y_{ij_m} = Y_{(s_i(m),s_j(m))}$.

Since U_{ij} is contractible, the bundle gerbe (Q_{ij}, Y_{ij}, U_{ij}) is trivial. Hence there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle $L_{ij} \to Y_{ij}$ such that $\delta(L_{ij}) = \pi_1^{-1}L_{ij} \otimes \pi_2^{-1}L^*_{ij} \simeq Q_{ij}$ on $Y^{[2]}_{ij}$.

Over U_{ijk} the bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m} = (\hat{m}, m, \mathrm{id})$ induces a map $m : Y_{ijk} = Y_{jk} \times_M Y_{ij} \to Y_{ik}$. Let $\hat{L}_{ijk} = L_{jk} \otimes m^{-1}L^*_{ik} \otimes L_{ij}$. Notice that we have $\delta(\hat{L}_{ijk}) \simeq Q_{jk} \otimes (m^{[2]})^{-1}Q^*_{ik} \otimes Q_{ij}$, which is trivial. In fact, the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle $\hat{L}_{ijk}$ descends to a bundle $L_{ijk} \to U_{ijk}$. To see this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 11.1. *Suppose (P, X, M) and (Q, Y, M) are bundle gerbes with a bundle gerbe morphism $(\hat{f}, f, id) : P \to Q$. If P and Q are both trivial, so there exist $L \to X$, $J \to Y$ such that $P \simeq \delta(L)$, $Q \simeq \delta(J)$, then the bundle $L \otimes f^{-1}J^*$ over X descends to M.*

Proof. $\hat{f} : P \to Q$ induces an isomorphism $\delta(L) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \delta(f^{-1}J)$ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d] & (f^{[2]})^{-1}Q \arrow[d] \\
\delta(L) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \delta(f^{-1}J)
\end{tikzcd}$$

This diagram lives over $X^{[2]}$. Clearly over $X^{[3]}$ we get the following diagram, induced from the one above by tensor product and pullback,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\delta(P) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \delta((f^{[2]})^{-1}Q) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\delta\delta(L) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \delta\delta(f^{-1}J)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let s_P and s_Q denote the sections of $\delta(P)$ and $\delta(Q)$ induced by the bundle gerbe products respectively. In the second diagram above, the isomorphism $\delta(P) \to \delta((f^{[2]})^{-1}Q)$ maps s_P to $s_{(f^{[2]})^{-1}Q} = (f^{[3]})^{-1}s_Q$, since this isomorphism is induced by a bundle gerbe morphism. Similarly, the vertical isomorphism $\delta(P) \to \delta\delta(L)$ maps s_P to 1_L and the vertical isomorphism $\delta((f^{[2]})^{-1}Q) \to \delta\delta(f^{-1}J)$ maps $(f^{[3]})^{-1}s_Q$ to $(f^{[3]})^{-1}1_J$. Here 1_L and 1_J are the sections induced by the canonical trivialisations of $\delta\delta(L)$ and $\delta\delta(J)$ respectively. It follows now that if we define a section t of $\delta(L \otimes f^{-1}J^*)$ using the isomorphism $\delta(L) \to \delta(f^{-1}J)$ from the first diagram above, then t satisfies $\delta(t) = 1$ and hence $L \otimes f^{-1}J^*$ descends to M. ☐

It is easy to see from this that $\hat{L}_{ijk}$ descends to some $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle $L_{ijk} \to U_{ijk}$. Next, over U_{ijkl} we have two bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{m}_1$ and $\bar{m}_2$ obtained from the bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{m} = (\hat{m}, m, \mathrm{id}) : \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ over $X^{[3]}$:

$$\bar{m}_1, \bar{m}_2 : Q_{kl} \otimes Q_{jk} \otimes Q_{ij} \to Q_{ik}$$

The $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q_{il}$ on $Y_{ijkl} = Y_{kl} \times_M Y_{jk} \times_M Y_{ij}$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle A_{ijkl} on U_{ijkl}. It is clear from Definition 7.2 that A_{ijkl} is canonically trivial with a section $a_{ijkl} = a(s_i, s_j, s_k, s_l)$ which clearly satisfies $\delta(a)_{ijklm} = 1$, where 1 is the section of $\delta(A_{ijkl})$ on U_{ijklm} induced by the canonical section of $\delta(A) \to X^{[5]}$. We need to relate $(m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q_{il}$ to the principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles L_{ijk}. The map m_1 is defined by composition as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_{ijkl} \arrow[r, "m\times 1"] & Y_{ijl} \arrow[r, "m"] & Y_{il}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_{kl} \otimes L_{jk} \otimes L_{ij} \otimes m_2^{-1}L_{il}^* \\
= \;& L_{kl} \otimes L_{jk} \otimes L_{ij} \otimes (m \circ (m \times 1))^{-1}L_{il}^* \\
= \;& L_{kl} \otimes L_{jk} \otimes L_{ij} \otimes (m \times 1)^{-1}m^{-1}L_{il}^* \\
\simeq \;& L_{kl} \otimes L_{jk} \otimes L_{ij} \otimes (m \times 1)^{-1}[\pi_{Y_{ijl}}^{-1}L_{ijl} \otimes L_{jl}^* \otimes L_{ij}^*] \\
= \;& L_{kl} \otimes m^{-1}L_{jl}^* \otimes L_{jk} \otimes L_{ij} \otimes L_{ij}^* \otimes \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}L_{ijl} \\
\simeq \;& \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}(L_{ijl} \otimes L_{jkl}).
\end{aligned}$$

The map m_2 is induced by composition as indicated in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_{ijkl} \arrow[r, "1\times m"] & Y_{ikl} \arrow[r, "m"] & Y_{il}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{kl}\otimes L_{jk}\otimes L_{ij}\otimes m_2^{-1}L_{il}^* \\ = \;& L_{kl}\otimes L_{jk}\otimes L_{ij}\otimes (m\circ(1\times m))^{-1}L_{il}^* \\ = \;& L_{kl}\otimes L_{jk}\otimes L_{ij}\otimes (1\times m)^{-1}m^{-1}L_{il}^* \\ \simeq \;& L_{kl}\otimes L_{jk}\otimes (1\times m)^{-1}[\pi_{Y_{ikl}}^{-1}L_{ikl}\otimes L_{kl}^*L_{ik}^*] \\ = \;& L_{kl}\otimes L_{kl}^*\otimes L_{jk}\otimes m^{-1}L_{ik}^*\otimes L_{ij}\otimes \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}L_{ikl} \\ \simeq \;& \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}(L_{ijk}\otimes L_{ikl}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{kl}\otimes L_{jk}\otimes L_{ij}\otimes m_2^{-1}L_{il}^*)^*\otimes(L_{kl}\otimes L_{jk}\otimes L_{ij}\otimes m_1^{-1}L_{il}^*) \\ \simeq \;& m_2^{-1}L_{il}\otimes m_1^{-1}L_{il}^* \\ = \;& (m_1,m_2)^{-1}\delta(L_{il}) \\ \simeq \;& (m_1,m_2)^{-1}Q_{il} \\ \simeq \;& \pi_{Y_{ijkl}}^{-1}A_{ijkl}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we must have

$$L_{jkl}\otimes L_{ikl}^*\otimes L_{ijl}\otimes L_{ijk}^*\simeq A_{ijkl}$$

over U_{ijkl}. Now choose sections $\sigma_{ijk}\in\Gamma(U_{ijk},L_{ijk})$ and define $g_{ijkl}:U_{ijkl}\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\sigma_{jkl}\otimes\sigma_{ikl}^*\otimes\sigma_{ijl}\otimes\sigma_{ijk}^*\cdot g_{ijkl}=a_{ijkl}.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 11.1. *g_{ijkl} satisfies the Čech 3-cocycle condition*

$$g_{jklm}g_{iklm}^{-1}g_{ijlm}g_{ijkm}^{-1}g_{ijkl}=1,$$

and hence is a representative of a class in $\check{H}^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}_M^\times)=H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. All that one really needs to do is to check that g_{ijkl} does indeed define a cocycle. This follows from the coherency condition on a. One can also check that another choice of the sections s_i, or the line bundles L_{ij}, or the sections σ_{ijk} changes g_{ijkl} by a coboundary. □

There is another method of calculating the Čech cocycle g_{ijkl} which is similar in spirit to the method used to calculate the Čech representative of the Dixmier-Douady class of a bundle gerbe. Let (Q,Y,X,M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. Choose an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M such that there exist local sections $s_i:U_i\to X$ of $\pi:X\to M$ and such that each non-empty finite intersection $U_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap U_{i_k}$ is contractible. As above, form the maps $(s_i,s_j):U_{ij}\to X^{[2]}$ and let (Q_{ij},Y_{ij},U_{ij}) denote the pullback of the bundle gerbe $(Q,Y,X^{[2]})$ with the map (s_i,s_j). So Y_{ij} has fibre Y_{ij_m} at $m\in U_{ij}$ equal to $Y_{(s_i(m),s_j(m))}$ and there is an induced projection $\pi_{Y_{ij}}:Y_{ij}\to U_{ij}$.

In certain circumstances, for instance if $\pi_Y:Y\to X^{[2]}$ is a fibration, then one can choose sections $\sigma_{ij}:U_{ij}\to Y_{ij}$ of $\pi_{Y_{ij}}$. We will assume that this is the case (in general one would only be able to choose an open cover $\{U_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma_{ij}}$ of U_{ij} such that there exist local sections σ^α_{ij} of $\pi_{Y_{ij}} : Y_{ij} \to U_{ij}$). Denote, for ease of notation, $m(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij})$ by $\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}$. Then we have a map $U_{ijk} \to Y^{[2]}_{ik}$ which sends $m \in U_{ijk}$ to $(\sigma_{ik}(m), (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij})(m))$. Let $Q_{ijk} = (\sigma_{ik}, \sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1} Q_{ik}$ denote the pullback bundle on U_{ijk}. Choose a non-vanishing section ρ_{ijk} of $Q_{ijk} \to U_{ijk}$. Define a non-zero section s_{ijkl} of the line bundle $(\sigma_{il}, (\sigma_{kl} \circ \sigma_{jk}) \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1} Q_{il}$ over U_{ijkl} by

$$s_{ijkl} = m_Q(\hat{m}(\rho_{jkl} \otimes e(\sigma_{ij})) \otimes \rho_{ijl}). \tag{11.1}$$

Here m_Q denotes the bundle gerbe multiplication on Q. We can also define a non-zero section t_{ijkl} of the line bundle $(\sigma_{il}, \sigma_{kl} \circ (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}))^{-1} Q_{il}$ by putting t_{ijkl} equal to

$$m_Q(\hat{m}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \otimes \rho_{ijk}) \otimes \rho_{ikl}). \tag{11.2}$$

Therefore we can use the associator section to define another section of $(\sigma_{il}, (\sigma_{kl} \circ \sigma_{jk}) \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1} Q_{il}$ by

$$m_Q(a(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}) \otimes s_{ijkl}).$$

Thus we can define a function $\epsilon_{ijkl} : U_{ijkl} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ which satisfies

$$t_{ijkl} = m_Q(a(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}) \otimes s_{ijkl}) \cdot \epsilon_{ijkl}. \tag{11.3}$$

One can show that ϵ_{ijkl} satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\epsilon_{jklm} \epsilon^{-1}_{iklm} \epsilon_{ijlm} \epsilon^{-1}_{ijkm} \epsilon_{ijkl} = 1.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 11.2. *The cocycle ϵ_{ijkl} defined above gives rise to the same class in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ as the cocycle g_{ijkl} of Proposition 11.1*

Proof. We have $(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij})^{-1} \hat{L}_{ijk} \simeq L_{ijk}$. But $\hat{L}_{ijk} = L_{jk} \otimes m^{-1} L^*_{ik} \otimes L_{ij}$ and so $(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij})^{-1} \hat{L}_{ijk} = \sigma^{-1}_{jk} L_{jk} \otimes (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1} L^*_{ik} \otimes \sigma^{-1}_{ij} L_{ij}$. Hence we get $(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij})^{-1} L_{ik} = L_{ijk} \otimes \sigma^{-1}_{jk} L^*_{jk} \otimes \sigma^{-1}_{ij} L^*_{ij}$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ik})^{-1} Q_{ik} \\
\simeq\ & (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ik})^{-1} \delta(L_{ik}) \\
=\ & \sigma^{-1}_{ik} L_{ik} \otimes (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1} L^*_{ik} \\
\simeq\ & L_{ijk} \otimes (\sigma^{-1}_{jk} L_{jk} \otimes \sigma^{-1}_{ik} L^*_{ik} \otimes \sigma^{-1}_{ij} L_{ij})^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can construct a section ρ_{ijk} of $(\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ik})^{-1} Q_{ik}$ by taking the section σ_{ijk} of L_{ijk} and choosing sections s_{ij} of $\sigma^{-1}_{ij} L_{ij}$ and forming the tensor product section $\sigma_{ijk} \otimes (s_{jk} \otimes s^*_{ik} \otimes s_{ij})^*$. From here it is not too hard to show that the cocycle ϵ_{ijkl} is cohomologous to g_{ijkl}. □

Example 11.1. Consider the lifting bundle 2-gerbe $(Q, \tilde{P}, P, M)$ of Proposition 9.1 associated to a principal G bundle $P \to M$ where G is part of a central extension of groups $B\mathbb{C}^\times \to \hat{G} \to G$. We associate a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ valued Čech 3-cocycle $g_{ijkl} : U_{ijkl} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ to Q as follows. Choose an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M all of whose finite intersections are contractible or empty and such that P is locally trivialised over U_i — say there exist sections s_i of $P \to M$ over U_i. Now let σ_{ij} be sections of the pullback $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\tilde{P}_{ij} = (s_i, s_j)^{-1} \tilde{P}$ over U_{ij}. We can now define a Čech 2-cocycle $g_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to B\mathbb{C}^\times$

representing the class in $\check{H}^2(M;\underline{B\mathbb{C}^\times_M})$ associated to the $B\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle gerbe $(\tilde{P},P,M)$ by $m_{\tilde{P}}(\sigma_{jk}\otimes_{B\mathbb{C}^\times}\sigma_{ij})=\sigma_{ik}\cdot g_{ijk}$. It follows that the image of the class $[g_{ijk}]$ defined by g_{ijk} under the coboundary map $H^2(M;\underline{B\mathbb{C}^\times_M})\to H^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ is the class defined by the Čech 3-cocycle $g_{ijkl}:U_{ijkl}\to\mathbb{C}^\times$. g_{ijkl} would then be defined by choosing lifts $\hat{g}_{ijk}:U_{ijk}\to E\mathbb{C}^\times$ of the g_{ijk} and then setting $g_{ijkl}=\hat{g}_{jk}\hat{g}_{ik}^{-1}\hat{g}_{ij}$.

We can also construct a Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} representing a class in $\check{H}^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)=H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to a stable bundle 2-gerbe. We record this in the following proposition.

Proposition 11.2. *Let (Q,Y,X,M) be a stable bundle 2-gerbe. Then there is a Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} representing a class in $\check{H}^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ associated to the stable bundle 2-gerbe Q.*

The class g_{ijkl} is constructed as follows. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{U}=\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M all of whose intersections are contractible and such that there exist local sections $s_i:U_i\to X$ of $\pi:X\to M$. Form the maps $(s_i,s_j):U_{ij}\to X^{[2]}$ and let (Q_{ij},Y_{ij},U_{ij}) denote the pullback bundle gerbe $(s_i,s_j)^{-1}Q$. Since U_{ij} is contractible there is a trivialisation L_{ij} of Q_{ij}. Also the stable morphism $m:\pi_1^{-1}Q\otimes\pi_3^{-1}Q\to\pi_2^{-1}Q$ induces a stable morphism $m_{ijk}:Q_{jk}\otimes Q_{ij}\to Q_{ik}$ corresponding to a trivialisation $(L_{m_{ijk}},\phi_{m_{ijk}})$ of $Q_{jk}\otimes Q^*_{ik}\otimes Q_{ij}$. Therefore there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle L_{ijk} on U_{ijk} such that $L_{m_{ijk}}\simeq L_{jk}\otimes L^*_{ik}\otimes L_{ij}\otimes\pi^{-1}L_{ijk}$, where $\pi:Y_{jk}\times_M Y_{ik}\times_M Y_{ij}\to U_{ijk}$ denotes the projection. It is not hard to see that there is an isomorphism $L_{jkl}\otimes L^*_{ikl}\otimes L_{ijl}\otimes L^*_{ijk}\simeq A_{ijkl}$ of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles on U_{ijkl} (here A_{ijkl} denotes the pullback $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(s_i,s_j,s_k,s_l)^{-1}A$). Therefore if we choose sections σ_{ijk} of the bundles L_{ijk}, then we can define a Čech 3-cocycle $g_{ijkl}:U_{ijkl}\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\sigma_{jkl}\otimes\sigma^*_{ikl}\otimes\sigma_{ijl}\otimes\sigma^*_{ijk}=a_{ijkl}\cdot g_{ijkl},$$

where a_{ijkl} denotes the pullback $(s_i,s_j,s_k,s_l)^{-1}a$ of the associator section a of A.

11.2 A Deligne hypercohomology class

Let (Q,Y,X,M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. Suppose the bundle gerbe $(Q,Y,X^{[2]})$ comes equipped with a bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇,f) so that the three curvature form ω of the bundle gerbe $(Q,Y,X^{[2]})$ satisfies $\delta(\omega)=0$ in $\Omega^3(X^{[3]})$, as in Definition 10.1. Let $K\in\Omega^3(X)$ be a 2-curving for ω so that $\delta(K)=\omega$. We will construct a class $D(Q,\nabla,f,K)$ associated to the bundle 2-gerbe Q with bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇,f) and 2-curving K in the Deligne hypercohomology group $H^3(M;\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M\to\underline{\Omega}^1_M\to\underline{\Omega}^2_M\to\underline{\Omega}^3_M)$.

Choose an open covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M such that each finite intersection $U_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap U_{i_p}$ is either empty or contractible and such that there exist local sections $s_i:U_i\to X$ of π for all $i\in I$. As in Section 11.1, let (Q_{ij},Y_{ij},U_{ij}) denote the bundle gerbe on U_{ij} obtained by pulling back Q with the map $(s_i,s_j):U_{ij}\to X^{[2]}$. Therefore ∇ induces a bundle gerbe connection ∇_{ij} on Q_{ij} by pullback. Let $F_{\nabla_{ij}}$ denote the curvature of Q_{ij} with respect to ∇_{ij}. The curving f on Y induces a curving f_{ij} for ∇_{ij} by pullback with the map $Y_{ij}\to Y$. Similarly the three curvature of Q_{ij} with respect to the bundle gerbe connection ∇_{ij} and curving f_{ij} is $\omega_{ij}=(s_i,s_j)^*\omega\in\Omega^3(U_{ij})$. Since U_{ij} is contractible, ω_{ij} must be exact and so, using the same notation as in Section 11.1, let $L_{ij}\to Y_{ij}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle which trivialises Q_{ij}. As noted in Section 5.1, the isomorphism $H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) \cong H^2(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M)$ of truncated Deligne hypercohomology groups implies that a bundle gerbe with trivial Dixmier-Douady class can be endowed with a trivial bundle gerbe connection — so that if Q is a trivial bundle gerbe with a trivialisation $Q \simeq \delta(L)$, then, given a bundle gerbe connection ∇_Q on Q, one may choose a connection ∇_L on L so that the isomorphism $Q \simeq \delta(L)$ is an isomorphism of bundle gerbes with connection: $(Q, \nabla_Q) \simeq (\delta(L), \delta(\nabla_L))$. Therefore we may choose a connection $\tilde{\nabla}_{ij}$ on $L_{ij} \to Y_{ij}$ such that $(Q_{ij}, \nabla_{ij}) \simeq (\delta(L_{ij}), \delta(\tilde{\nabla}_{ij}))$ is an isomorphism of bundle gerbes with connection on $Y_{ij}^{[2]}$. Let $\tilde{F}_{ij}$ denote the curvature of L_{ij} with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}_{ij}$. Then we must have $F_{\nabla_{ij}} = \delta(f_{ij}) = \delta(\tilde{F}_{ij})$. Therefore there exists $\gamma_{ij} \in \Omega^2(U_{ij})$ such that

$$\tilde{F}_{ij} = f_{ij} - \pi^* \gamma_{ij}. \tag{11.4}$$

From this we deduce $0 = d\tilde{F}_{ij} = df_{ij} - \pi^* d\gamma_{ij}$ and hence

$$\omega_{ij} = d\gamma_{ij}. \tag{11.5}$$

Next we examine the situation over U_{ijk}. Recall equation 10.7 from Section 10.2,

$$(p_1^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}\nabla + (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_3^{-1}\nabla = \tilde{m}^{-1} \circ (m^{[2]})^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}\nabla \circ \tilde{m} + \delta(\rho). \tag{11.6}$$

Since (∇, f) is a bundle 2-gerbe connection and the associated three curvature ω of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ satisfies $\delta(\omega) = 0$, we must have

$$p_1^*\pi_1^{-1} f + p_2^*\pi_3^{-1} f = m^*\pi_2^{-1} f + d\rho + \pi_{Y_{123}}^*\beta, \tag{11.7}$$

on $\pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y$ (Compare with equation 10.16). Here β is a one form on $X^{[3]}$ such that $\nabla_A(a) = \delta(\beta) \otimes a$. This formula pullsback to $Y_{ijk} = Y_{jk} \times_M Y_{ij}$ to give the following equation in $\Omega^2(Y_{ijk})$:

$$p_1^* f_{jk} + p_2^* f_{ij} = m^* f_{ik} + d\rho_{ijk} + \pi_{Y_{ijk}}^* d\beta_{ijk}, \tag{11.8}$$

where ρ_{ijk} is the pullback of ρ to Y_{ijk} via the inclusion map $Y_{jk} \times_M Y_{ij} \to \pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y$ and $\beta_{ijk} = (s_i, s_j, s_k)^*\beta$. Pulling equation 11.6 back to $(Y_{ijk})^{[2]}$ gives

$$\nabla_{jk} + \nabla_{ij} = \tilde{m}^{-1} \circ (m^{[2]})^{-1}\nabla_{ik} \circ \tilde{m} + \delta(\rho_{ijk}). \tag{11.9}$$

Recall also from Section 11.1 that we defined $\hat{L}_{ijk} = L_{jk} \otimes m^{-1}L_{ik}^* \otimes L_{ij}$ on Y_{ijk}. $\hat{L}_{ijk}$ has the tensor product connection

$$\hat{\nabla}_{ijk} = \tilde{\nabla}_{jk} - m^{-1}\tilde{\nabla}_{ik} + \tilde{\nabla}_{ij}.$$

As explained in Section 11.1, $\hat{L}_{ijk}$ descends to a bundle L_{ijk} on U_{ijk} and we may choose a non-vanishing section σ_{ijk} of $L_{ijk} \to U_{ijk}$. From equation 11.9 we get

$$\delta(\tilde{\nabla}_{jk} + \tilde{\nabla}_{ij}) = \tilde{m}^{-1} \circ \delta(m^{-1}\tilde{\nabla}_{ik}) \circ \tilde{m} + \delta(\rho_{ijk}),$$

which implies that the connection $\tilde{\nabla}_{jk} - m^{-1}\tilde{\nabla}_{ik} + \tilde{\nabla}_{ij} - \rho_{ijk}$ on $\hat{L}_{ijk}$ descends to a connection on L_{ijk} — call it ∇_{ijk}. Let $\nabla_{ijk}(\sigma_{ijk}) = \alpha_{ijk} \otimes \sigma_{ijk}$. Then we have

$$\pi_{Y_{ijk}}^* d\alpha_{ijk} = \tilde{F}_{jk} - m^*\tilde{F}_{ik} + \tilde{F}_{ij} - d\rho_{ijk}.$$

Therefore from equations 11.4 and 11.8 we have $\pi^*_{Y_{ijk}} d\alpha_{ijk} = \pi^*_{Y_{ijk}}(d\beta_{ijk} - \delta(\gamma_{ij})$ and so

$$dA_{ijk} = \gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ik} + \gamma_{ij}, \tag{11.10}$$

where we have put $A_{ijk} = \beta_{ijk} - \alpha_{ijk}$. One can check that the isomorphism

$$L_{jkl} \otimes L^*_{ikl} \otimes L_{ijl} \otimes L^*_{ijk} \simeq A_{ijkl}$$

maps the tensor product connection $\nabla_{jkl} - \nabla_{ikl} + \nabla_{ijl} - \nabla_{ijk}$ on $L_{jkl} \otimes L^*_{ikl} \otimes L_{ijl} \otimes L^*_{ijk}$ to the pullback connection $(s_i, s_j, s_k, s_l)^{-1}\nabla_A = \nabla_{ijkl}$ on A_{ijkl}. Since $\nabla_A(a) = \delta(\beta)$, $\nabla_{ijkl}(a_{ijkl}) = \delta(\beta_{ijk})$ and so we have

$$\alpha_{jkl} - \alpha_{ikl} + \alpha_{ijl} - \alpha_{ijk} + g^{-1}_{ijkl} dg_{ijkl} = \delta(\beta_{ijk}),$$

or $\delta(A_{ijk}) = g^{-1}_{ijkl} dg_{ijkl}$. Therefore we have the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} A_{jkl} - A_{ikl} + A_{ijl} - A_{ijk} &= g^{-1}_{ijkl} dg_{ijkl} \\ dA_{ijk} &= \gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ik} + \gamma_{ij} \\ d\gamma_{ij} &= K_j - K_i. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the quadruple $(g_{ijkl}, A_{ijk}, \gamma_{ij}, K_i)$ defines a class in the Deligne cohomology group $H^3(M : \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M \to \underline{\Omega}^3_M)$. Hence we have the following proposition.

Proposition 11.3. *Every bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) equipped with a bundle 2-gerbe connection (∇, f) and 2-curving K gives rise to a class $D(Q, \nabla, f, K)$ in the Deligne cohomology group $H^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\Omega}^1_M \to \underline{\Omega}^2_M \to \underline{\Omega}^3_M)$.*

In particular it follows from this by standard double complex arguments (see eg. [4]) that the class defined by g_{ijkl} is the same as the class defined by $\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\Theta$.

Note 11.1. It is also possible to construct singular analogues of the 2-curving and four class of a bundle 2-gerbe in a similar way to that used in Chapter 4.

11.3 The first Pontryagin class

Recall the bundle 2-gerbe $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}, P, M)$ constructed in Proposition 9.3 associated to a principal G bundle where G is a compact, simple, simply connected Lie group. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 11.4 ([8],[10]). *The class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ associated to the bundle 2-gerbe $\tilde{Q}$ is the transgression of $[\nu]$, that is the first Pontryagin class p_1 of P.*

Proof. We calculate the Čech four class of the bundle 2-gerbe $\tilde{Q}$ and get it exactly equal to the Čech cocycle defined by Brylinski and McLaughlin in [8] and [10]. We can then apply Theorem 6.2 of [8] to conclude that this Čech four class is p_1. We set out to calculate the Čech cocycle g_{ijkl}. First choose an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of M relative to which $\pi : P \to M$ has local sections s_i. Since $\tilde{P} \to P^{[2]}$ is a fibration, we can calculate the Čech cocycle representing the four class of $\tilde{Q}$ using the second construction of a Čech cocycle as detailed in Section 11.1. Recall that we first choose sections $\sigma_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \tilde{P}_{ij} = (s_i, s_j)^{-1}\tilde{P}$. This is equivalent to choosing maps $\gamma_{ij} : U_{ij} \times I \to G$

such that $\gamma_{ij}(m,0) = 1$ and $\gamma_{ij}(m,1) = g_{ij}(m)$. Next we have to choose sections $\rho_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to (\sigma_{ik}, \sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{ik}$. This amounts to choosing maps $\gamma_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \times I \times I \to G$ such that $\gamma_{ijk}(m,0,t) = \gamma_{ik}(m,t)$, $\gamma_{ijk}(m,1,t) = (\gamma_{ij} \circ g_{ij}\gamma_{jk})(m,t)$, $\gamma_{ijk}(m,s,0) = 1$ and $\gamma_{ijk}(m,s,1) = g_{ij}(m)g_{jk}(m)$ (This uses the fact that $\pi_1(G) = 0$ and the cocycle condition $g_{ij}g_{jk} = g_{ik}$ satisfied by the transition functions g_{ij}). Define the section t_{ijkl} of the bundle $(\sigma_{il}, \sigma_{kl} \circ (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}))^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{il}$ by $t_{ijkl} = m_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{m}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \otimes \rho_{ijk}) \otimes \rho_{ikl})$. If we denote a homotopy with end points fixed ϕ from a path α to a path β by a 2-arrow $\phi : \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ then we see that $\hat{m}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \otimes \rho_{ijk})$ amounts to composing horizontally the 2-arrows in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r, bend left, "\gamma_{ik}"] \arrow[r, bend right, "\gamma_{ij}\circ g_{ij}\gamma_{jk}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & g_{ik} \arrow[r, bend left, "g_{ik}\gamma_{kl}"] \arrow[r, bend right, "g_{ik}\gamma_{kl}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow"] & g_{il}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The bundle gerbe product $m_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{m}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \otimes \rho_{ijk}) \otimes \rho_{ikl})$ is obtained by composing the 2-arrows $\gamma_{ikl} : \gamma_{il} \Rightarrow \gamma_{ik} \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl}$ and $\gamma_{ijk} \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl} : \gamma_{ik} \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl} \Rightarrow (\gamma_{ij} \circ g_{ij}\gamma_{jk}) \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl}$ vertically. In a similar manner, one constructs the section $s_{ijkl} = m_{\tilde{Q}}(\hat{m}(\rho_{jkl} \otimes e(\sigma_{ij})) \otimes \rho_{ijl})$ of the bundle $(\sigma_{il}, \sigma_{kl} \circ (\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}))^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{il}$. We make t_{ijkl} into a section of $(\sigma_{il}, (\sigma_{kl} \circ \sigma_{jk}) \circ \sigma_{ij})^{-1}\tilde{Q}_{il}$ by using the associator section: $m_{\tilde{Q}}(a(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}) \otimes t_{ijkl})$. Finally we define the cocycle g_{ijkl} by $s_{ijkl} = m_{\tilde{Q}}(a(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}) \otimes t_{ijkl}) \cdot g_{ijkl}$. We can get an explicit formula for g_{ijkl} as follows: we choose a homotopy with endpoints fixed $H_{ijkl} : U_{ijkl} \times I \times I \times I \to G$ such that $H_{ijkl}(m,0,s,t) = (\gamma_{ij} \circ g_{ij}\gamma_{jkl})\gamma_{ijl}(s,t)$, $H_{ijkl}(m,1,s,t) = \bar{a}(\gamma_{kl}, \gamma_{jk}, \gamma_{ij})(\gamma_{ijk} \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl})\gamma_{ikl}(s,t)$, $H_{ijkl}(m,r,0,t) = \gamma_{il}(m,t)$, $H_{ijkl}(m,r,1,t) = (\gamma_{ij} \circ g_{jk}\gamma_{jk}) \circ g_{ik}\gamma_{kl}$, $H_{ijkl}(m,r,s,0) = 1$ and $H_{ijkl}(m,r,s,1) = g_{il}$ and we set $g_{ijkl} = \exp(\int_{I^3} H^*_{ijkl}\nu)$. This is just the integral of ν over the tetrahedron described in [8] and [10]. Thus our cocycle must agree with that defined by Brylinski and McLaughlin. $\square$

Chapter 12

Trivial bundle 2-gerbes

12.1 Trivial bundle 2-gerbes

Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M. Form the sets $X_i = \pi^{-1}(U_i)$. Then the collection $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ forms an open cover of X. Define maps $\hat{s}_i : X_i \to X^{[2]}$ by sending $x \in X_i$ to $(x, s_i(m)) \in X^{[2]}$ for $s_i : U_i \to X$ a local section of π and $m = \pi(x)$.

Pullback the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ to X_i with the map $\hat{s}_i$ and define $Q_i = \hat{s}_i^{-1}Q$ and $Y_i = \hat{s}_i^{-1}Y$. Thus Y_i has fibre Y_{i_x} at $x \in X_i$ equal to $Y_{(x, s_i(m))}$ where again $m = \pi(x)$.

We will assume for the time being that we can choose sections $\sigma_{ij} : U_{ij} \to Y_{ij}$ where $Y_{ij} \to U_{ij}$ is defined above. Define maps $\phi_{ij} : Y_i \to Y_j$ covering the identity map on X_{ij} by sending $y \in Y_i$ to $m(\sigma_{ij}, y) \in Y_j$. The ϕ_{ij} extend to bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{\phi}_{ij} : Q_i \to Q_j$, $\bar{\phi}_{ij} = (\hat{\phi}_{ij}, \phi_{ij}, \mathrm{id})$ with $\hat{\phi}_{ij}(u_i) = \hat{m}(e(\sigma_{ij}) \otimes u_i)$ for $u_i \in Q_{(y_1, y_2)}$ where $(y_1, y_2) \in Y_i^{[2]}$.

Let $Z = \coprod_{i \in I} Y_i$ and let $\pi_Z : Z \to X$ denote the obvious projection. The fibre product $Z^{[2]} = Z \times_X Z$ is equal to the disjoint union $\coprod_{i,j} Y_i \times_X Y_j$. Define maps $\chi_{ij} : Y_i \times_X Y_j \to Y_j^{[2]}$ by $\chi_{ij}(y_i, y_j) = (\phi_{ij}(y_i), y_j)$, for $y_i \in Y_i$ and $y_j \in Y_j$. Let L_{ij} denote the pullback line bundle $\chi_{ij}^{-1}Q_j$ on $Y_i \times_X Y_j$. Define a line bundle $L \to Z^{[2]}$ by setting $L = \coprod_{i,j} L_{ij}$ with projection map $L \to Z^{[2]}$ induced by the projections $L_{ij} \to Y_i \times_X Y_j$.

Our aim is to show that the triple (L, Z, X) forms a bundle gerbe. To do this we need to define an associative product $m_L : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}L \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ on $Z^{[3]}$. Since $Z^{[3]} = \coprod_{i,j,k} Y_i \times_X Y_j \times_X Y_k$ this amounts to finding a bundle map $L_{jk} \otimes L_{ij} \to L_{ik}$ which satisfies the associativity condition over $Y_i \times_X Y_j \times_X Y_k \times_X Y_l$.

Let $u_{jk} \in L_{jk_{(y_j, y_k)}}$, $u_{ij} \in L_{ij_{(y_i, y_j)}}$ with $y_i \in Y_{i_x}$, $y_j \in Y_{j_x}$ and $y_k \in Y_{k_x}$. So $u_{jk} \in Q_{k_{(\phi_{jk}(y_j), y_k)}}$, $u_{ij} \in Q_{j_{(\phi_{ij}(y_i), y_j)}}$. Then

$$\hat{m}(e(\sigma_{jk}) \otimes u_{ij}) \in Q_{k_{(\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}(y_i), \phi_{jk}(y_j))}}.$$

Therefore

$$m_Q(u_{jk} \otimes \hat{m}(e(\sigma_{jk}) \otimes u_{ij})) \in Q_{(\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}(y_i), y_k)}.$$

Denote as before by Q_{ijk} the line bundle $(\sigma_{ik}, m(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}))^{-1}Q$ on U_{ijk} and choose as before a non-vanishing section σ_{ijk} of Q_{ijk}. Define a section $\hat{\xi}_{ijk}$ of the line bundle $(\phi_{ik}, \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij})^{-1}Q_k$ on Y_i by

$$\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i) = m_Q(\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i) \otimes \hat{m}(\sigma_{ijk} \otimes e(y_i))),$$

where $y_i \in Y_i$ and where $\hat{a}$ denotes the section of the pullback line bundle $(m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q_{14}$ which is mapped to the pullback of the associator section $\pi_{1234}^{-1}a$ under the isomorphism of line bundles $(m_1, m_2)^{-1}Q_{14} \cong \pi_{1234}^{-1}A$. Here $\pi_{1234} : Y_{1234} \to X^{[4]}$ denotes the projection.

Finally we define for u_{jk}, u_{ij} as above,

$$m_L(u_{jk} \otimes u_{ij}) = m_Q(m_Q(u_{jk} \otimes \hat{m}(e(\sigma_{jk}) \otimes u_{ij})) \otimes \hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)). \tag{12.1}$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 12.1. *Suppose (Q, Y, X, M) is a bundle 2-gerbe with trivial four class and suppose L and Z are defined as above. Then m_L is associative and the triple (L, Z, X) forms a bundle gerbe.*

This uses crucially the fact that the cocycle g_{ijkl} is trivial, without this the proof will fail.

Proof. We need to show that m_L is associative, that is $m_L \circ (1 \otimes m_L) = m_L \circ (m_L \otimes 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & m_L(u_{kl} \otimes m_L(u_{jk} \otimes u_{ij})) \\ = \quad & (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ ((u_{jk}(e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij}))\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i))))\hat{\xi}_{ikl}(y_i), \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted composition by bundle gerbe product by juxtaposition and composition via the bundle 2-gerbe product with $\circ$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & m_L(m_L(u_{kl} \otimes u_{jk}) \otimes u_{ij}) \\ = \quad & (((u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))\hat{\xi}_{jkl}(y_j))(e(\sigma_{jl}) \circ u_{ij}))\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i). \end{aligned}$$

We have to show these two expressions are the same. For this we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 12.1. *Under the hypotheses of the above proposition, we have*

$$(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ \hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i))\hat{\xi}_{ikl}(y_i) = \hat{\xi}_{jkl}(\sigma_{ij} \circ y_i)\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i).$$

To prove this one needs to use the associativity isomorphism to regroup brackets and use the coherency condition on $\hat{a}$.

The first of the above two expressions is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (u_{kl}((e(\sigma_{kl})e(\sigma_{kl})) \circ ((u_{jk}(e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij}))\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i))))\hat{\xi}_{ikl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}((e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk})(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ ((e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)))))\hat{\xi}_{ikl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))((e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ ((e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)))\hat{\xi}_{ikl}(y_i)) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))((e(\sigma_{kl})e(\sigma_{kl})) \circ ((e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)))\hat{\xi}_{ikl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))((e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ (e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij}))(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ \hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)))\hat{\xi}_{ikl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))((e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ (e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij}))(\hat{\xi}_{jkl}(\sigma_{ij} \circ y_i)\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i)) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))\hat{a}(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, y_j)((e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ e(\sigma_{jk})) \circ u_{ij}) \\
&\quad\ \hat{a}(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij} \circ y_i)^{-1}\hat{\xi}_{jkl}(\sigma_{ij} \circ y_i)\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))\hat{a}(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, y_j)(e(\sigma_{kl} \circ \sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})(\sigma_{jkl} \circ e(\sigma_{ij} \circ y_i))\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))\hat{a}(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, y_j)(\sigma_{jkl} \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))\hat{a}(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, y_j)((\sigma_{jkl}e(\sigma_{jl})) \circ (e(y_j)u_{ij}))\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))\hat{a}(\sigma_{kl}, \sigma_{jk}, y_j)(\sigma_{jkl} \circ e(y_j))(e(\sigma_{jl}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i) \\
&= (u_{kl}(e(\sigma_{kl}) \circ u_{jk}))\hat{\xi}_{jkl}(y_i)(e(\sigma_{jl}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijl}(y_i).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that m_L is associative and hence the triple (L, Z, X) is a bundle gerbe. □

Definition 12.1. Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. We say that Q is *trivial* if there exists a bundle gerbe (L, Z, X) on X together with a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{\eta} : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ over $X^{[2]}$. We also require that there is a transformation θ between the bundle gerbe morphisms in the diagram below.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[rr, "1\otimes\bar{m}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}\bar{\eta}\otimes 1"'] & & \pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] \\
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] & & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[ll, Rightarrow, "\theta"'] \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}\bar{\eta}"] \\
\pi_3^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}L \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[dr, "\pi_3^{-1}\bar{\eta}"'] & & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}L \arrow[dl, equal] \\
& \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}L &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So θ is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle $B = D_{\bar{\eta}_1,\bar{\eta}_2}$ over $X^{[3]}$. Here $\bar{\eta}_1 = \pi_2^{-1}\bar{\eta} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \bar{m})$ and $\bar{\eta}_2 = \pi_3^{-1}\bar{\eta} \circ (\pi_1^{-1}\bar{\eta} \otimes \mathrm{id})$ and $D_{\bar{\eta}_1,\bar{\eta}_2}$ denotes the descended bundle on $X^{[3]}$ whose lift to $Z_4 \times_{X^{[3]}} Y_{123}$ is isomorphic to $(\eta_1, \eta_2)^{-1}L_1$ — see Section 3.4. There is a canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{-1}B \otimes \pi_2^{-1}B^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}B \otimes \pi_4^{-1}B^* \simeq A$ over $X^{[4]}$, where A is the associator bundle of Q. We require that $\pi_1^{-1}\theta \otimes \pi_2^{-1}\theta^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\theta \otimes \pi_4^{-1}\theta^* = a$ under this isomorphism. We say that the bundle gerbe L *trivialises* Q.

Note 12.1. The canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{-1}B \otimes \pi_2^{-1}B^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}B \otimes \pi_4^{-1}B^* \cong A$ is a result of the following. We can define five bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f}_i : L_4 \otimes Q_{1234} \to L_1$ for

$i = 1, \dots, 5$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{f}_1 &= \bar{\eta} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \bar{m}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \bar{m} \otimes \mathrm{id}), \\
\bar{f}_2 &= \bar{\eta} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \bar{m}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \bar{m}), \\
\bar{f}_3 &= \bar{\eta} \circ (\bar{\eta} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes textid \otimes \bar{m}), \\
\bar{f}_4 &= \bar{\eta} \circ (\bar{\eta} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\bar{\eta} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}), \\
\bar{f}_5 &= \bar{\eta} \circ (\bar{\eta} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \bar{m} \otimes \mathrm{id}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\bar{f}_3$ can also be written as

$$\bar{f}_3 = \bar{\eta} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \bar{m}) \circ (\bar{\eta} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id}).$$

From this we can see that we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2} &\simeq A, \\
D_{\bar{f}_2, \bar{f}_3} &\simeq \pi_2^{-1} B, \\
D_{\bar{f}_3, \bar{f}_4} &\simeq \pi_4^{-1} B, \\
D_{\bar{f}_4, \bar{f}_5} &\simeq \pi_1^{-1} B^*, \\
D_{\bar{f}_5, \bar{f}_1} &\simeq \pi_3^{-1} B^*,
\end{aligned}$$

In each case, as in the notation used in Section 3.4, $D_{\bar{f}_i, \bar{f}_j}$ denotes the line bundle on $X^{[4]}$ whose lift to $Z_4 \times_{X^{[4]}} Y_{1234}$ is the line bundle $(f_i, f_j)^{-1} L_1$. The bundle gerbe product on L_1 provides a trivialisation of the line bundle

$$(f_5, f_1)^{-1} L_1 \otimes (f_4, f_5)^{-1} L_1 \otimes (f_3, f_4)^{-1} L_1 \otimes (f_2, f_3)^{-1} L_1 \otimes (f_1, f_2)^{-1} L_1$$

on $Z_4 \times_{X^{[4]}} Y_{1234}$. This provides the canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{-1} B \otimes \pi_2^{-1} B^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1} B \otimes \pi_4^{-1} B^* \simeq A$. The coherency condition on the section θ of B is as follows. Each bundle $(f_i, f_j)^{-1} L_1$ has a trivialising section $\hat{f}_{ij}$ induced from θ and given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{12}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\eta}(e(z_4) \otimes \hat{a}(y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12})), \\
\hat{f}_{23}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\theta}(z_4, y_{34}, m(y_{23}, y_{12})), \\
\hat{f}_{34}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\theta}(\eta(z_4, y_{34}), y_{23}, y_{12}), \\
\hat{f}_{45}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\eta}(\hat{\theta}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}) \otimes e(y_{12}))^{-1} \\
\hat{f}_{51}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\theta}(z_4, m(y_{34}, y_{23}), y_{12})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ denotes the lift of the section θ of $B = D_{\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\eta}_2}$ under the isomorphism $\hat{D} \simeq (\eta_1, \eta_2)^{-1} L_1$. The coherency condition $\pi_1^{-1} \theta \otimes \pi_2^{-1} \theta^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1} \theta \otimes \pi_4^{-1} \theta^* = a$ under the isomorphism $\pi_1^{-1} B \otimes \pi_2^{-1} B^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1} B \otimes \pi_4^{-1} B^* \cong A$ translates into

$$\hat{f}_{51} \hat{f}_{45} \hat{f}_{34} \hat{f}_{23} \hat{f}_{12} = e(\eta(z_4, m(m(y_{34}, y_{23}), y_{12}))),$$

where e is the identity section of the bundle gerbe $(f_1, f_1)^{-1} L_1$.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 12.2. *Suppose (Q, Y, X, M) is a trivial bundle 2-gerbe with trivialising bundle gerbe (L, Z, X). Then the bundle 2-gerbe Q has zero four class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a 'nice' open cover of M. So each non-empty finite intersection $U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}$ is contractible and there exist local sections $s_i : U_i \to X$ of $\pi_X : X \to M$. Define maps $(s_i, s_j) : U_{ij} \to X^{[2]}$ by $m \mapsto (s_i(m), s_j(m))$. Pullback the bundle gerbe $Q = (Q, Y, X^{[2]})$ with this map to obtain a new bundle gerbe $Q_{ij} = (Q_{ij}, Y_{ij}, U_{ij})$. So we get a manifold Y_{ij} and an induced projection map $\pi_{ij} : Y_{ij} \to U_{ij}$. If $m \in U_{ij}$ then $\pi_{ij}^{-1}(m) = Y_{ij_m} = Y_{(s_i(m),s_j(m))}$. Since U_{ij} is contractible the bundle gerbe Q_{ij} is trivial. So let $J_{ij} \to Y_{ij}$ be a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle trivialising Q_{ij}. Let (L, Z, X) be a bundle gerbe trivialising the bundle 2-gerbe Q. Pullback the bundle gerbe L with the sections s_i to get a new bundle gerbe $L_i = (L_i, Z_i, U_i)$ on the contractible open set U_i. Let $K_i \to Z_i$ be a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle trivialising the bundle gerbe L_i. The bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{\eta} : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ induces a bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{\eta}_{ij} : L_j \otimes Q_{ij} \to L_i$ over U_{ij}. Consider the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $K_j \otimes J_{ij} \otimes \eta_{ij}^{-1}K_i^*$ on $Z_j \times_M Y_{ij}$. Since the bundles K_j, J_{ij} and K_i trivialise the bundle gerbes L_j, Q_{ij} and L_i respectively, and because $\bar{\eta}_{ij}$ is a bundle gerbe morphism and hence commutes with the bundle gerbe products on $L_j \otimes Q_{ij}$ and L_i, it follows that there is a section $\hat{\phi}_{ij}$ of $\delta(K_j \otimes J_{ij} \otimes \eta_{ij}^{-1}K_i^*)$ over $(Z_j \times_M Y_{ij})^{[2]}$ satisfying the coherency condition $\delta(\hat{\phi}) = \underline{1}$. Hence the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $K_j \otimes J_{ij} \otimes \eta_{ij}^{-1}K_i^*$ descends to a bundle C_{ij} on U_{ij}. From the construction of the Čech cocycle g_{ijkl} associated to the bundle 2-gerbe Q given in Section 11.1 we have that the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{L}_{ijk} = J_{jk} \otimes J_{ij} \otimes m^{-1}J_{ik}^*$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle L_{ijk} on U_{ijk} and that furthermore there is an isomorphism

$$L_{jkl} \otimes L_{ikl}^* \otimes L_{ijl} \otimes L_{ijk}^* \simeq A_{ijkl}$$

over U_{ijkl}, where $A_{ijkl} = (s_i, s_j, s_k, s_l)^{-1}A$. Also the Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} was defined by choosing sections σ_{ijk} of L_{ijk} and then comparing the section $a_{ijkl} = (s_i, s_j, s_k, s_l)^{-1}a$ with the image of the section $\sigma_{jkl} \otimes \sigma_{ikl}^* \otimes \sigma_{ijl} \otimes \sigma_{ijk}^*$ under the above isomorphism.

It is not difficult to show that there is an isomorphism

$$C_{jk} \otimes C_{ij} \otimes B_{ijk} \simeq L_{ijk} \otimes C_{ik}$$

of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundles over U_{ijk}, where B_{ijk} denotes the pullback of the bundle B on $X^{[3]}$ via the map $U_{ijk} \to X^{[3]}$ defined by sending a point m of U_{ijk} to $(s_i(m), s_j(m), s_k(m))$. From here we see that if we choose sections ρ_{ij} of C_{ij} then we can define a section σ_{ijk} of L_{ijk} by $\sigma_{ijk} = \rho_{ij} \otimes \rho_{jk} \otimes \rho_{ik}^* \otimes \theta_{ijk}$, where $\theta_{ijk} = (s_i, s_j, s_k)^{-1}\theta$. Comparing $\sigma_{jkl} \otimes \sigma_{ikl}^* \otimes \sigma_{ijl} \otimes \sigma_{ijk}^*$ with a_{ijkl} and using the coherency condition $\delta(\theta) = a$, we see that the cocycle g_{ijkl} must be trivial. □

We shall now prove that the converse is also true, justifying the terminology. Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe with zero four class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$. We shall prove that the bundle gerbe (L, Z, M) we constructed in Proposition 12.1 trivialises the bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M). First of all we need to define the bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{\eta} : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L$. Recall $Z = \coprod_{i\in I} Y_i$. Therefore if $(x_1, x_2) \in X^{[2]}$, then $\pi_1^{-1}Z \times_{X^{[2]}} Y$ has fibre at (x_1, x_2) equal to the disjoint union of the spaces $Y_{(x_2,s_i(m))} \times Y_{(x_1,x_2)}$, where $m = \pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2)$. Therefore the map $m : \pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y \to \pi_2^{-1}Y$ induces a map $\eta : \pi_1^{-1}Z \times_{X^{[2]}} Y \to \pi_2^{-1}Z$ which sends (y_i, y) to $m(y_i, y)$ for $y_i \in \pi_1^{-1}Y_i$ and $y \in Y$. We define a bundle map $\hat{\eta} : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L$ covering the map $\eta^{[2]} : (\pi_1^{-1}Z \times_{X^{[2]}} Y)^{[2]} \to \pi_2^{-1}Z^{[2]}$ as follows. Let $u \in Q_{(y_1,y_2)}$ and $u_{ij} \in Q_{(m(\sigma_{ij},y_i),y_j)}$ where $(y_1, y_2) \in Y^{[2]}$ and $y_i \in \pi_1^{-1}Y_i$, $y_j \in \pi_1^{-1}Y_j$. So $(y_i, y_j) \in \pi_1^{-1}Z^{[2]}$ and $(y_i, y_j, u_{ij}) \in \pi_1^{-1}L_{(y_i,y_j)}$. Then

$m((\hat{m}(u_{ij} \otimes u) \otimes \hat{a}(\sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1})$ is in $Q_{(m(\sigma_{ij}, m(y_i, y_1)), m(y_j, y_2))}$. Therefore we define

$$\hat{\eta}(u_{ij} \otimes u) = m((\hat{m}(u_{ij} \otimes u) \otimes \hat{a}(\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha}, y_i, y_1)^{-1}). \tag{12.2}$$

The problem that confronts us now is to show that $\bar{\eta}$ so defined with $\bar{\eta} = (\hat{\eta}, \eta, \mathrm{id})$ is in fact a bundle gerbe morphism, that is it commutes with the respective bundle gerbe products.

So let $((y_i, y_1), (y_j, y_2), (y_k, y_3))$ be a point of $(\pi_1^{-1} Z \times_{X^{[2]}} Y)^{[3]}$ and let $u_{jk} \otimes u_{23}$ be an element of $(\pi_1^{-1} L \otimes Q)_{((y_j, y_2), (y_k, y_3))}$ and $u_{ij} \otimes u_{12}$ be an element of $(\pi_1^{-1} L \otimes Q)_{((y_i, y_1), (y_j, y_2))}$. Thus $u_{23} \in Q_{(y_2, y_3)}$ and u_{jk} is an element of $Q_{(m(\sigma_{jk}, y_j), y_k)}$ and similarly for u_{12} and u_{ij}. We show that

$$\hat{\eta}(u_{jk} \otimes u_{23}) \cdot \hat{\eta}(u_{ij} \otimes u_{12}) = \hat{\eta}((u_{jk} \cdot u_{ij}) \otimes (u_{23} u_{12})).$$

We start with the left hand side and for convenience of notation, we denote by $\circ$ both m and $\hat{m}$. The left hand side is equal to

$$[(u_{jk} \circ u_{23})\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, y_j, y_2)^{-1}] \cdot [(u_{ij} \circ u_{12})\hat{a}(\sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1}],$$

where the dot between the square brackets denotes multiplication in the bundle gerbe L. Therefore the left hand side is equal to

$$[(u_{jk} \circ u_{23})\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, y_j, y_2)^{-1}][e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ ((u_{ij} \circ u_{12})\hat{a}(\sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1))^{-1}]\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i \circ y_1).$$

Notice that since $e(\sigma_{jk})$ is just the identity section, we can write $e(\sigma_{jk}) = e(\sigma_{jk})e(\sigma_{jk})$ and, since $\circ$ is a bundle gerbe morphism, we get the above expression is equal to

$$[(u_{jk} \circ u_{23})\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, y_j, y_2)^{-1}][e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ (u_{ij} \circ u_{12})][e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ \hat{a}(\sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1}]\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i \circ y_1).$$

The right hand side is more complicated:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHS} &= \hat{\eta}([u_{jk}(e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)] \otimes [u_{23} u_{12}]) \\ &= [(u_{jk} \circ (e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)) \circ (u_{23} u_{12})]\hat{a}(\sigma_{ik}, y_i, y_1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we use the fact that $\circ$ commutes with bundle gerbe products to see that the expression in square brackets is equal to

$$(u_{jk} \circ u_{23})(((e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)) \circ u_{12}).$$

Now we re-express $((e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)) \circ u_{12}$ by observing that it is equal to

$$((e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i)) \circ (u_{12} e(y_1))$$

and then using again the fact that $\circ$ is a bundle gerbe morphism to get it equal to

$$((e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij}) \circ u_{12})(\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i) \circ e(y_1)).$$

Next we use the associator section $\hat{a}$ to write $(e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ u_{ij}) \circ u_{12}$ as

$$\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, y_j, y_2)^{-1}(e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ (u_{ij} \circ u_{12}))\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij} \circ y_i, y_1).$$

At this point, if we substitute all this into our original expression for the right hand side, then we see that if we can show that

$$\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij} \circ y_i, y_1)(\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i) \circ e(y_1))\hat{a}(\sigma_{ik}, y_i, y_1)^{-1} \tag{12.3}$$

is equal to $(e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ \hat{a}(\sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1})\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i \circ y_1)$ then we are done. $\hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i) \circ e(y_1)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i)(\sigma_{ijk} \circ e(y_i))) \circ e(y_1) \\ = \; & (\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i) \circ e(y_1))((\sigma_{ijk} \circ e(y_i)) \circ e(y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the associator section $\hat{a}$, we see that $(\sigma_{ijk} \circ e(y_i)) \circ e(y_1)$ is equal to

$$\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1}(\sigma_{ijk} \circ (e(y_i) \circ e(y_1))\hat{a}(\sigma_{ik}, y_i, y_1).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}_{ijk}(y_i) \circ e(y_1) = (\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i) \circ e(y_1))(\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1} & \\ (\sigma_{ijk} \circ (e(y_i) \circ e(y_1))\hat{a}(\sigma_{ik}, y_i, y_1)). & \end{aligned}$$

If we now substitute this into equation 12.3 then we get

$$\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij} \circ y_i, y_1)(\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i) \circ e(y_1))\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk} \circ \sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1}(\sigma_{ijk} \circ e(y_i \circ y_1)),$$

since $e(y_i) \circ e(y_1)$ equals $e(y_i \circ y_1)$. Using the coherency condition on $\hat{a}$, we get that this is equal to

$$(e(\sigma_{jk}) \circ \hat{a}(\sigma_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1})\hat{a}(\sigma_{jk}, \sigma_{ij}, y_i \circ y_1)(\sigma_{ijk} \circ e(y_i \circ y_1)),$$

as required. Thus $\bar{\eta}$ is a bundle gerbe morphism. To complete the demonstration that L trivialises the bundle 2-gerbe Q, we need to define the transformation section θ and show that it is coherent with a.

First we need to define the section $\hat{\theta}$ of the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $(\eta_1, \eta_2)^{-1}L_1$ on $Z_3 \times_{X^{[3]}} Y_{23} \times_{X^{[3]}} Y_{12}$. If $(y_i, y_{23}, y_{12}) \in Z_3 \times_{X^{[3]}} Y_{23} \times_{X^{[3]}} Y_{12}$, then $\hat{J} = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^{-1}L_1$ has fibre equal to $Q_{(y_i \circ (y_{23} \circ y_{12}), \sigma_{ii} \circ ((y_i \circ y_{23}) \circ y_{12}))}$ at (y_i, y_{23}, y_{12}). Let $1(y_i)$ denote the identity section of L evaluated at (y_i, y_i). Then we can define a section $\hat{\theta}$ of $\hat{J}$ by

$$\hat{\theta}(y_i, y_{23}, y_{12}) = (e(\sigma_{ii}) \circ \hat{a}(y_i, y_{23}, y_{12})^{-1})\hat{a}(\sigma_{ii}, y_i, y_{23} \circ y_{12})(1(y_i)^{-1} \circ e(y_{23} \circ y_{12})). \tag{12.4}$$

Here $1(y_i)^{-1}$ means the inverse of the identity section $1(y_i)$ of L at y_i calculated in the bundle gerbe Q (recall $L_{(y_i, y_i)} = Q_{(\sigma_{ii} \circ y_i, y_i)}$ so $1(y_i)^{-1} \in Q_{(y_i, \sigma_{ii} \circ y_i)}$). We need to show that $\hat{\theta}$ descends to a section θ of $J \to X^{[3]}$, that is we need to show that $\phi(\pi_1^{-1}\hat{\theta}) = \pi_2^{-1}\hat{\theta}$, where $\phi : \pi_1^{-1}\hat{J} \to \pi_2^{-1}\hat{J}$ is the descent isomorphism. Recall that ϕ is constructed by choosing $u_{ij} \in Q_{(\sigma_{ij} \circ y_i, y_j)} = L_{(y_i, y_j)}$, $u_{23} \in Q_{(y_{23}, y'_{23})}$ and $u_{12} \in Q_{(y_{12}, y'_{12})}$ where $(y_{23}, y'_{23}) \in Y_{23}^{[2]}$, $(y_{12}, y'_{12}) \in Y_{12}^{[2]}$ and $(y_i, y_j) \in Z_3^{[2]}$ and setting

$$\phi(v) = \hat{\eta}_2(u_{ij} \otimes u_{23} \otimes u_{12})^{-1} \cdot v \cdot \hat{\eta}_1(u_{ij} \otimes u_{23} \otimes u_{12})$$

for $z \in J_{(y_j, y'_{23}, y'_{12})}$ and where the $\cdot$ denotes multiplication in the bundle gerbe L. It is very tedious to prove that θ commutes with the descent isomorphism ϕ and so we will omit the calculation.

The final step is to show that the descended section is coherent with a. Recall from Note 12.1 the definitions of the bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{f_i} : L_4 \otimes Q_{1234} \to L_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5$ and the sections $\hat{f}_{ij}$ of $(f_i, f_j)^{-1}L_1$ over $Z_4 \times_{X^{[4]}} Y_{1234}$. Recall in particular that we had

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{12}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\eta}(1(z_4) \otimes \hat{a}(y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12})), \\
\hat{f}_{23}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\theta}(z_4, y_{34}, m(y_{23}, y_{12})), \\
\hat{f}_{34}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\theta}(\eta(z_4, y_{34}), y_{23}, y_{12}), \\
\hat{f}_{45}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\eta}(\hat{\theta}(z_4, y_{34}, y_{23}) \otimes e(y_{12}))^{-1}, \\
\hat{f}_{51}(y_i, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) &= \hat{\theta}(z_4, m(y_{34}, y_{23}), y_{12})^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(y_i, y_{34}, y_{23}, y_{12}) \in Z_4 \times_{X^{[4]}} Y_{1234}$. We need to show that

$$\hat{f}_{51}\hat{f}_{45}\hat{f}_{34}\hat{f}_{23}\hat{f}_{12} = 1(y_i \circ ((y_{34} \circ y_{23}) \circ y_{12}))$$

in the bundle gerbe $(f_1, f_1)^{-1}L_1$. This will imply the coherency condition $\delta(\theta) = a$. Again I will omit the proof as it is long and cumbersome. Combining the above results we have the following proposition.

Proposition 12.2. *Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a bundle 2-gerbe. Then Q has zero four class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if Q is a trivial bundle 2-gerbe.*

Note 12.2. Throughout we have assumed that it is possible to find a section σ_{ij} of $Y_{ij} = (s_i, s_j)^{-1}Y \to U_{ij}$ over U_{ij}. In general though $\pi_Y : Y \to X^{[2]}$ only admits local sections and therefore it is only possible to find an open cover $\{U_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{ij}}$ of U_{ij} and local sections $\sigma_{ij}^\alpha : U_{ij}^\alpha \to Y_{ij}$ of $Y_{ij} \to U_{ij}$. It is possible to get around this restriction as we will now show. Assume that $\pi_Y : Y \to X^{[2]}$ only admits local sections. Then there is an open cover $\{U_{ij}^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{ij}}$ of U_{ij} such that there exist sections $\sigma_{ij}^\alpha : U_{ij}^\alpha \to Y_{ij}$. Let $X_{ij}^\alpha = X_{U_{ij}^\alpha}$. We can define maps $\phi_{ij}^\alpha : Y_i|_{X_{ij}^\alpha} \to Y_j|_{X_{ij}^\alpha}$ by sending $y \in Y_i|_{X_{ij}^\alpha}$ to $m(\sigma_{ij}^\alpha, y)$. As before the ϕ_{ij}^α extend to define bundle gerbe morphisms $\bar{\phi}_{ij}^\alpha : Q_i|_{X_{ij}^\alpha} \to Q_j|_{X_{ij}^\alpha}$ with $\bar{\phi}_{ij}^\alpha = (\hat{\phi}_{ij}^\alpha, \phi_{ij}^\alpha, \mathrm{id})$ if we put $\hat{\phi}_{ij}^\alpha(u_i) = \hat{m}(e(\sigma_{ij}^\alpha) \otimes u_i)$. Now we can use the ϕ_{ij}^α to define maps $\chi_{ij}^\alpha : (Y_i \times_X Y_j)|_{X_{ij}^\alpha} \to Y_j^{[2]}|_{X_{ij}^\alpha}$ by $\chi_{ij}^\alpha(y_i, y_j) = (\phi_{ij}^\alpha(y_i), y_j)$. Define line bundles L_{ij}^α on $(Y_i \times_X Y_j)|_{X_{ij}^\alpha}$ by $L_{ij}^\alpha = (\chi_{ij}^\alpha)^{-1}Q_j$.

We now need to digress for a moment. Let $U_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = U_{ij}^\alpha \cap U_{ij}^\beta$. Let $\rho_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ be a section of the pullback bundle $(\sigma_{ij}^\alpha, \sigma_{ij}^\beta)^{-1}Q_{ij}$ over $U_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$. Observe that since U_{ij} is contractible, the bundle gerbe (Q_{ij}, Y_{ij}, U_{ij}) is trivial and so it follows that we may choose the $\rho_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ so that

$$m_Q(\rho_{ij}^{\beta\gamma} \otimes \rho_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}) = \rho_{ij}^{\alpha\gamma} \tag{12.5}$$

on $U_{ij}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = U_{ij}^\alpha \cap U_{ij}^\beta \cap U_{ij}^\gamma$. This is simply the statement that the Dixmier-Douady class of Q_{ij} is zero. Next choose sections $\sigma_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ of the pullback bundle $Q_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (\sigma_{jk}^\beta \circ \sigma_{ij}^\alpha, \sigma_{ik}^\gamma)^{-1}Q_{ik}$ over $U_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Here $U_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = U_{ij}^\alpha \cap U_{jk}^\beta \cap U_{ik}^\gamma$. If α', β' and γ' are more indices of Σ_{ij}, Σ_{jk} and Σ_{ik} respectively, we can define a map $h_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} : U_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$m_Q(\rho_{ik}^{\gamma\gamma'} \otimes \sigma_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = m_Q(\sigma_{ijk}^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \otimes (\rho_{jk}^{\beta\beta'} \circ \rho_{ij}^{\alpha\alpha'}) \cdot h_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'},$$

where $U_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} = U_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \cap U_{ijk}^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}$. If α'', β'' and γ'' are more indices of Σ_{ij}, Σ_{jk} and Σ_{ik}, then using Equation 12.5 for the various indices of I and the Σ, one can show that

$$h_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} h_{ijk}^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\alpha''\beta''\gamma''} = h_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha''\beta''\gamma''}.$$

Since U_{ijk} is contractible and $\{U_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}_{\alpha\in\Sigma_{ij},\beta\in\Sigma_{jk},\gamma\in\Sigma_{ik}}$ is a cover of U_{ijk}, it follows that there exist $c_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} : U_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $h_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} = c_{ijk}^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} c_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\ -1}$. It follows that we can define the sections $\sigma_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ of $Q_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ so that

$$m_Q(\rho_{ik}^{\gamma\gamma'} \otimes \sigma_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = m_Q(\sigma_{ijk}^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'} \otimes (\rho_{jk}^{\beta\beta'} \circ \rho_{ij}^{\alpha\alpha'})). \tag{12.6}$$

Now we can define a section $s_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta\epsilon}$ of the pullback bundle $(\sigma_{il}^{\epsilon}, (\sigma_{kl}^{\delta} \circ \sigma_{jk}^{\beta}) \circ \sigma_{ij}^{\alpha})^{-1} Q_{il}$ in the same fashion as in equation 11.1, namely we set

$$s_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta\epsilon} = (\sigma_{jkl}^{\beta\gamma\eta} \circ e(\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha}))\sigma_{ijl}^{\alpha\eta\epsilon}. \tag{12.7}$$

We also define a section $t_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon}$ of the pullback bundle $(\sigma_{il}^{\epsilon}, \sigma_{kl}^{\delta} \circ (\sigma_{jk}^{\beta} \circ \sigma_{ij}^{\alpha}))^{-1} Q_{il}$ in the analogous way to equation 11.2,

$$t_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} = ((e(\sigma_{kl}^{\delta}) \circ \sigma_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma})\sigma_{ikl}^{\gamma\delta\epsilon}. \tag{12.8}$$

Finally, after equation 11.3, we define a map $g_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta\epsilon} : U_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta\epsilon} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$t_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} = a(\sigma_{kl}^{\delta}, \sigma_{jk}^{\beta}, \sigma_{ij}^{\alpha}) s_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\delta\eta\epsilon} \cdot g_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta\epsilon}. \tag{12.9}$$

Using equation 12.6, one can show that if α', β', γ', δ', η' and ϵ' are some more indices of Σ, then

$$g_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta\epsilon} = g_{ijkl}^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\delta'\eta'\epsilon'}. \tag{12.10}$$

Therefore the various maps $g_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta\epsilon}$ are the restrictions to $U_{ijkl}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta\epsilon}$ of a map $g_{ijkl} : U_{ijkl} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. It is not hard to see that g_{ijkl} satisfies the cocycle condition

$$g_{jklm} g_{iklm}^{-1} g_{ijlm} g_{ijkm}^{-1} g_{ijkl} = 1$$

and that g_{ijkl} is the Čech 3-cocycle constructed in Section 11.1.

Returning to the problem at hand, we will use the $\rho_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ to define maps $\psi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} : L_{ij}^{\alpha} \to L_{ij}^{\beta}$ covering the identity on $(Y_i \times_X Y_j)|_{X_{ij}^{\alpha} \cap X_{ij}^{\beta}}$ as follows. Recall that $L_{ij_{(y_i,y_j)}}^{\alpha} = Q_{j_{(\phi_{ij}^{\alpha}(y_i),y_j)}}$. Therefore if $u_{ij}^{\alpha} \in L_{ij_{(y_i,y_j)}}^{\alpha}$ then $u_{ij}^{\alpha} \in Q_{j_{(\phi_{ij}^{\alpha}(y_i),y_j)}}$. Therefore $u_{ij}^{\alpha}\hat{m}(\rho_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \otimes e(y_i))$ lives in $Q_{j_{(\phi_{ij}^{\beta}(y_i),y_j)}}$. So we let $\psi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(u_{ij}^{\alpha}) = u_{ij}^{\alpha}\hat{m}(\rho_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \otimes e(y_i))$. Equation 12.5 shows that $\psi_{ij}^{\beta\gamma} \circ \psi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \psi_{ij}^{\alpha\gamma}$. Hence we can glue the L_{ij}^{α} together using the standard clutching construction to form a line bundle L_{ij} on the whole of $Y_i \times_X Y_j$.

One can define a bundle gerbe product $m_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} : L_{jk}^{\beta} \otimes L_{ij}^{\alpha} \to L_{ik}^{\gamma}$ using the analogue of equation 12.1 — providing, of course, that the cocycle g_{ijkl} is trivial :

$$m_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(u_{jk}^{\beta} \otimes u_{ij}^{\alpha}) = m_Q(m_Q(u_{jk}^{\beta} \otimes \hat{m}(e(\sigma_{ij}^{\alpha}) \otimes u_{ij}^{\alpha})) \otimes \hat{\xi}_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(y_i)), \tag{12.11}$$

where $\hat{\xi}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}$ is the section of the bundle $(\phi^{\gamma}_{ik}, \phi^{\beta}_{jk} \circ \phi^{\alpha}_{ij})^{-1}Q_k$ on $Y_i|_{X^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}}$ given by

$$\hat{\xi}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}(y_i) = m_Q(\hat{a}(\sigma^{\beta}_{jk}, \sigma^{\alpha}_{ij}, y_i) \otimes \hat{m}(\sigma^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk} \otimes e(y_i)))$$

where $y_i \in Y_i|_{X^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}}$. We want to show that the various bundle gerbe products $m^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}$ extend to define a bundle gerbe product $m_L : L_{jk} \otimes L_{ij} \to L_{ik}$. For this, we need to know that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^{\beta}_{jk} \otimes L^{\alpha}_{ij} \arrow[r, "m^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{ijk}"] \arrow[d, "\psi^{\beta\beta'}_{jk} \otimes \psi^{\alpha\alpha'}_{ij}"'] & L^{\gamma}_{ik} \arrow[d, "\psi^{\gamma\gamma'}_{ik}"] \\
L^{\beta'}_{jk} \otimes L^{\alpha'}_{ij} \arrow[r, "m^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'}_{ijk}"'] & L^{\gamma'}_{ik}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The commutativity of this diagram follows from equation 12.6. We also need to define the bundle gerbe morphism $\bar{\eta} : \pi_1^{-1}L \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L$. Again we define $\bar{\eta}$ locally and then show that it is compatible with the glueing isomorphisms $\psi^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}$. We define $\bar{\eta}^{\alpha}_{ij} : \pi_1^{-1}L^{\alpha}_{ij} \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L^{\alpha}_{ij}$ by putting $\hat{\eta}^{\alpha}_{ij}(u^{\alpha}_{ij} \otimes u) = (u^{\alpha}_{ij} \circ u)\hat{a}(\sigma^{\alpha}_{ij}, y_i, y_1)^{-1}$ where $u^{\alpha}_{ij} \in L^{\alpha}_{ij}$ and $u \in Q$. One can then show that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}L^{\alpha}_{ij} \otimes Q \arrow[r, "\hat{\eta}^{\alpha}_{ij}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}\psi^{\alpha\beta}_{ij} \otimes 1_Q"'] & \pi_2^{-1}L^{\alpha}_{ij} \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}\psi^{\alpha\beta}_{ij}"] \\
\pi_1^{-1}L^{\beta}_{ij} \otimes Q \arrow[r, "\hat{\eta}^{\beta}_{ij}"'] & \pi_2^{-1}L^{\beta}_{ij}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the various $\hat{\eta}^{\alpha}_{ij}$ glue together to form a bundle gerbe morphism $\eta_{ij} : \pi_1^{-1}L_{ij} \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}L_{ij}$. Similarly, one can define the transformation θ locally and show that it glues together to form a globally defined transformation which satisfies the required coherency condition.

12.2 Trivial stable bundle 2-gerbes

We first define the notion of a *trivial* stable bundle 2-gerbe. So let (T, Z, X) be a bundle gerbe on X where $\pi : X \to M$ is a surjection admitting local sections. Form the bundle gerbe $(\delta(T), \delta(Z), X^{[2]})$ where $\delta(T) = \pi_1^{-1}T \otimes \pi_2^{-1}T^*$ and where $\delta(Z) = \pi_1^{-1}Z \times_{X^{[2]}} \pi_2^{-1}Z$. We want to show that the quadruple $(\delta(T), \delta(Z), X, M)$ defines a stable bundle 2-gerbe.

We first need to define a stable morphism

$$m : \pi_1^{-1}(\delta(T)) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}(\delta(T)) \to \pi_2^{-1}(\delta(T))$$

Such a stable morphism will correspond to a trivialisation (L_m, ϕ_m) of the bundle gerbe

$$(\pi_1^{-1}(\delta(T)) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}(\delta(T)))^* \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(\delta(T)).$$

Let us use the notation of Chapter 7 to denote the bundle gerbe $(\pi_1^{-1}T, \pi_1^{-1}Z, X^{[2]})$ as $(T_2, Z_2, X^{[2]})$ and the bundle gerbe $(\pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}T, \pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}Z, X^{[3]})$ as $(T_3, Z_3, X^{[3]})$ and so on. Hence the bundle gerbe above can be rewritten in the new notation as

$$(T_3 \otimes T_2^* \otimes T_2 \otimes T_1^*)^* \otimes (T_3 \otimes T_1^*).$$

We seek a trivialisation (L_m, ϕ_m) of this bundle gerbe. So L_m will be a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on

$$Z_3 \times_\pi Z_2 \times_\pi Z_2 \times_\pi Z_1 \times_\pi Z_3 \times_\pi Z_1.$$

The obvious candidate for L_m is $T_3 \otimes T_2 \otimes T_1^*$. It is easy to check that this trivialises the bundle gerbe. One can also check that there is a transformation $a : m \circ (m \otimes 1) \Rightarrow m \circ (1 \otimes m)$ of stable morphisms of bundle gerbes over $X^{[4]}$ which satisfies the coherency condition over $X^{[5]}$.

We now define what it means for a stable bundle 2-gerbe to be trivial.

Definition 12.2. We say that a stable bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) is *trivial* if there is a bundle gerbe (T, Z, X) on X and a stable morphism $f : Q \to \delta(T)$ over $X^{[2]}$. We also require that there is a transformation of stable morphisms of bundle gerbe over $X^{[3]}$ as pictured in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[r, "\pi_1^{-1}f \otimes \pi_3^{-1}f"] \arrow[d, "m"'] & \pi_1^{-1}\delta(T) \otimes \pi_3^{-1}\delta(T) \arrow[d] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\theta"] \\
\pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[r, "\pi_2^{-1}f"] & \pi_2^{-1}\delta(T).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Finally we require that θ satisfies the compatibility condition $\delta(\theta) = a$ with a.

Note 12.3. This definition suggests that we could define a stable bundle 2-gerbe morphism from a stable bundle 2-gerbe (P, Y, X, M) to a stable bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Z, X, M) to be a stable morphism $f : P \to Q$ from the bundle gerbe $(P, Y, X^{[2]})$ to the bundle gerbe $(Q, Z, X^{[2]})$ together with a transformation θ_f as pictured in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}P \otimes \pi_3^{-1}P \arrow[r, "m"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}f \otimes \pi_3^{-1}f"'] & \pi_2^{-1}P \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}f"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\theta_f"] \\
\pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[r, "m"'] & \pi_2^{-1}Q.
\end{tikzcd}$$

θ is required to satisfy the compatibility condition $\delta(\theta) = a_P^* \otimes a_Q$. One could then go further and show that any stable bundle 2-gerbe morphism was invertible up to a coherent 'stable bundle 2-gerbe transformation'.

The following Lemma is not difficult to prove.

Lemma 12.3. *The class in $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ represented by the cocycle g_{ijkl} associated to a trivial stable bundle 2-gerbe (Q, Y, X, M) is zero.*

Chapter 13

The relationship of bundle 2-gerbes with 2-gerbes

13.1 Simplicial gerbes and 2-gerbes

We first recall the definition of a simplicial gerbe (see [8] and [9]). A simplicial gerbe is defined as follows.

Definition 13.1 ([8], [9]). Let $X = \{X_p\}$ be a simplicial manifold. A *simplicial gerbe* on X consists of the following data.

1. A gerbe $\mathcal{Q}$ on X_1. We will only be interested in the case where $\mathcal{Q}$ has band equal to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{X_1}$.

2. A morphism of gerbes
$$m : d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_2^*\mathcal{Q} \to d_1^*\mathcal{Q}$$
over X_2 where the d_i denote the face operators of $X_\cdot$.

3. An invertible natural transformation θ between morphisms of gerbes over X_3 as pictured in the following diagram
$$\begin{tikzcd}
d_1^*d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_3^*(d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_2^*\mathcal{Q}) \arrow[rrr, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes d_3^*m"'] & & & d_0^*(d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_2^*\mathcal{Q}) \otimes d_2^*d_2^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[d, "d_0^*m\otimes 1"] \\
d_1^*d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_3^*d_1^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[dd, "\simeq"'] & & & d_0^*d_1^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_2^*d_2^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[dd, "\simeq"] \\
& {} & {} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\theta"'] & \\
d_1^*(d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_2^*\mathcal{Q}) \arrow[d, "d_1^*m"'] & & & d_2^*(d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_2^*\mathcal{Q}) \arrow[d, "d_2^*m"] \\
d_1^*d_1^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[rrr, "\simeq"] & & & d_2^*d_1^*\mathcal{Q}.
\end{tikzcd}$$
Here the equivalences of gerbes denoted by $\xrightarrow{\simeq}$ are the equivalences of gerbes $\phi_{f,g}$ of Lemma 6.1.

4. θ is required to satisfy a coherency condition on X_4. This is $\delta(\theta) = 1$, where we use the notation of Note 6.3.

Note that this differs from the definition of simplicial gerbe given in [8] and [9] in that $m : d_0^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes d_2^*\mathcal{Q} \to d_1^*\mathcal{Q}$ is only a morphism of gerbes, rather than an equivalence of gerbes.

We also recall the definition of a 2-gerbe (see [5], [8] and [9]).

Definition 13.2 ([5],[8],[9]). A *2-gerbe* on a manifold M consists of the following data:

1. For each open subset $U \subset M$ there is an assignment of a bicategory $\mathcal{B}_U$ to U, $U \mapsto \mathcal{B}_U$. Given another open subset $V \subset U \subset M$ there is a restriction bifunctor $\rho_{U,V} : \mathcal{B}_U \to \mathcal{B}_V$ such that if we have a third open subset W with $W \subset V \subset U \subset M$ then $\rho_{V,W} \circ \rho_{U,V} = \rho_{U,W}$. Such an assignment is called a pre-sheaf of bicategories on M.

2. Various glueing laws for objects, 1-arrows and 2-arrows are required to hold. The glueing conditions for objects are as follows. Let $U \subset M$ and let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a covering of U by open subsets U_i of M. Suppose we are given objects A_i in each $\mathcal{B}_{U_i}$ together with 1-arrows $\alpha_{ij} : \rho_{U_i,U_{ij}}(A_i) \to \rho_{U_j,U_{ij}}$ between the restrictions of the objects A_i, A_j to $\mathcal{B}_{U_{ij}}$. So the α_{ij} belong to $\mathcal{B}_{U_{ij}}$. Suppose also that we are given 2-arrows ϕ_{ijk} of $\mathcal{B}_{U_{ijk}}$ such that

$$\phi_{ijk} : \rho_{U_{jk},U_{ijk}}(\alpha_{jk}) \circ \rho_{U_{ij},U_{ijk}}(\alpha_{ij}) \Rightarrow \rho_{U_{ik},U_{ijk}}(\alpha_{ik}),$$

   where $\circ$ denotes the application of the composition functor in $\mathcal{B}_{U_{ijk}}$. We try and indicate this in the following diagram which lives in $\mathcal{B}_{U_{ijk}}$ (we abuse notation and omit the restriction bifunctors ρ).

$$\begin{tikzcd} A_i \arrow[r, bend left, "\alpha_{jk}\circ\alpha_{ij}"] \arrow[r, bend right, "\alpha_{ik}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Downarrow\phi_{ijk}"] & A_k. \end{tikzcd}$$

   We can now construct two 2-arrows $\alpha_{kl} \circ \alpha_{jk} \circ \alpha_{ij} \Rightarrow \alpha_{il}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{U_{ijkl}}$ as pictured in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \alpha_{kl}\circ(\alpha_{jk}\circ\alpha_{ij}) \arrow[dr, Rightarrow, "1_{\alpha_{kl}}\circ\phi_{ijk}"] & \\ (\alpha_{kl}\circ\alpha_{jk})\circ\alpha_{ij} \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "a"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi_{jkl}\circ 1_{\alpha_{ij}}"'] & & \alpha_{kl}\circ\alpha_{ik} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\phi_{ikl}"] \\ \alpha_{jl}\circ\alpha_{ij} \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\phi_{ijl}"'] & & \alpha_{il} \end{tikzcd}$$

   Finally we can state the glueing axiom for objects by saying that if these two 2-arrows coincide then there is an object A belonging to $\mathcal{B}_U$ and a 1-arrow β in $\mathrm{Hom}(\rho_{U,U_i}(A), A_i)$ which is compatible with the glueing 1-arrows α_{ij} up to a coherent 2-arrow in the sense of Proposition 6.5.

   The glueing conditions for 1-arrows and 2-arrows are as follows. For an open set $U \subset M$ and objects A_1 and A_2 of $\mathcal{B}_U$ we require that $\mathrm{Hom}(A_1, A_2)$ is a sheaf of categories. Thus, given an open cover $\{V_i\}_{i\in I}$ of U by open sets $V_i \subset M$ together with 1-arrows $\alpha_i : A_1|_{V_i} \to A_2|_{V_i}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(A_1, A_2)|_{V_i}$ and 2-arrows $\phi_{ij} : \alpha_i \Rightarrow \alpha_j$

which satisfy the cocycle condition $\phi_{jk}\phi_{ij} = \phi_{ik}$, then there is a 1-arrow α in $\mathrm{Hom}(A_1, A_2)$ which restricts to each α_i. The glueing conditions for 2-arrows are handled similarly.

Such an assignment $U \mapsto \mathcal{B}_U$ of a pre-sheaf of bicategories to M which satisfies the effective descent or glueing conditions on objects, 1-arrows and 2-arrows is called a 2-stack or a sheaf of bicategories.

3. For each point $m \in M$ there is an open neighbourhood U of m such that $\mathcal{B}_U$ is nonempty; that is, the set of objects of $\mathcal{B}_U$ is nonempty.

4. Given an open subset $U \subset M$, any two objects A_1 and A_2 of $\mathcal{B}_U$ can be locally joined by a 1-arrow. That is there is a covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U by open sets of M such that there exist 1-arrows $\alpha_i : \rho_{U,U_i}(A_1) \to \rho_{U,U_i}(A_2)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{U_i}$.

5. We also require that 1-arrows are weakly invertible. This means that given an open set $U \subset M$ and objects A_1 and A_2 of $\mathcal{B}_U$ together with a 1-arrow $\alpha : A_1 \to A_2$, there exists a 1-arrow $\beta : A_2 \to A_1$ of $\mathcal{B}_U$ together with 2-arrows $\phi : \beta \circ \alpha \Rightarrow Id_{A_1}$ in $\mathcal{B}_U$.

6. Finally we require that all two arrows are invertible.

We will also need to have the notion of a 2-gerbe on M *bound* by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$. This means that any two 1-arrows of $\mathcal{B}_U$ can be locally joined by a 2-arrow and for every 1-arrow α there is a given isomorphism $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \simeq \underline{Aut}(\alpha)$ which is required to be compatible with restrictions to smaller open sets and is compatible with 2-arrows. There is also a notion of equivalence of 2-gerbes — we will not spell this out but refer instead to [5]. One can associate to a 2-gerbe $\mathcal{B}$ on M bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$ a Čech three cocycle g_{ijkl} in $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$. We will not do this here and refer to [5] and [8] for a discussion of this. There is an isomorphism between equivalence classes of 2-gerbes and $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ via this cocycle. As is the case for gerbes, a 2-gerbe with zero class in $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ is trivial precisely when it has a global object. For more details we refer to [5].

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of M such that each non-empty intersection $U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}$ is contractible. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a 2-gerbe on M bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$. Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{B}$ to U_i for each $i \in I$. Since U_i is assumed contractible, the 2-gerbe $\mathcal{B}_i$ has a global object A_i say. Denote by $A_i|_{U_{ij}}$ and $A_j|_{U_{ij}}$ the restrictions of A_i and A_j to U_{ij} respectively. We have the presheaf of categories $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ on U_{ij} where $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ has fibre at an open set $V \subset U_{ij}$ $\mathcal{B}_{ij}(V)$ equal to $\mathrm{Hom}(A_i|_V, A_j|_V)$ (we ignore any complexities that may result from the objects $A_i|_{U_{ij}}|_V$ and $A_i|_V$ not being equal but isomorphic by a coherent 1-arrow — otherwise we get that $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ is a fibred category ([5]) or a pre-sheaf of categories in the sense of [7]). By definition of the glueing laws in $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ is a sheaf of categories. In fact, since $\mathcal{B}$ is bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$, $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ is a gerbe on U_{ij} bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$. The composition functor $m : \mathrm{Hom}(A_j|_{U_{ijk}}, A_k|_{U_{ijk}}) \times \mathrm{Hom}(A_i|_{U_{ijk}}, A_j|_{U_{ijk}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A_i|_{U_{ijk}}, A_k|_{U_{ijk}})$ gives rise to a morphism $\mathcal{B}_{jk} \times \mathcal{B}_{ij} \to \mathcal{B}_{ik}$ of gerbes 'bound' by the morphism of sheaves $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \times \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$. One can show that this morphism in turn defines a morphism of gerbes $\mathcal{B}_{jk} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{ij} \to \mathcal{B}_{ik}$ over U_{ijk}. The associator natural transformation in the bicategory $\mathcal{B}_{U_{ijkl}}$ then provides a natural transformation ϕ between morphisms of gerbes as pictured in the following diagram (we have omitted any restriction functors and equivalences of gerbes that may result by passing between them for convenience):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}_{kl} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{jk} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{ij} \arrow[r, "1\otimes m"] \arrow[d, "m\otimes 1"'] & \mathcal{B}_{jl} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{ij} \arrow[d, "m"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\phi"] \\
\mathcal{B}_{kl} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{ik} \arrow[r, "m"'] & \mathcal{B}_{il}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that we have defined a simplicial gerbe on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_0 = \coprod U_i$, $X_1 = \coprod U_{ij}$ and so on. It is not hard to construct a Čech 3-cocycle g_{ijkl} representing a class in $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M) = H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to this simplicial gerbe (compare with Theorem 5.7 of [8]). It is also easy to see that the class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to this simplicial gerbe is equal to the class of the 2-gerbe in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

This simplicial gerbe on $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = \coprod U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}$ is an example of a simplicial gerbe on the special class of simplicial manifolds formed by taking iterative fibre products of a surjection $\pi : X \to M$. I had hoped to relate bundle 2-gerbes to 2-gerbes by first showing that a bundle 2-gerbe gave rise to a simplicial gerbe on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$ and then showing that any simplicial gerbe on X gave rise to a 2-gerbe on M. Unfortunately, as noted in Chapter 6 (Note 6.2), checking directly that the construction which associates a gerbe $\mathcal{G}(P)$ to a given bundle gerbe P commutes with equivalences of gerbes induced by successive tensor products and transitivity of pullbacks gets very messy and I was unable to produce a convincing proof that this was the case. Note that any simplicial gerbe $\mathcal{Q}$ on the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ formed by taking iterated fibre products of a surjection $\pi : X \to M$ admitting local sections gives rise to a 'local description' of a 2-gerbe as described above — ie gerbes $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}$ on U_{ij} and morphisms of gerbes $m : \mathcal{Q}_{jk} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_{ij} \to \mathcal{Q}_{ik}$ and so on — by pulling back the simplicial gerbe on X to the simplicial gerbe on simplicial manifold associated to the nerve of the open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ using the simplicial map $\coprod U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p} \to X^{[p]}$, $(i_1, \ldots, i_p, m) \mapsto (s_{i_1}(m), \ldots, s_{i_p}(m))$. Hence every simplicial gerbe on $X = \{X_p\}$ has a class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ associated to it.

Let $\pi : X \to M$ be a surjection admitting local sections. Form the simplicial manifold $X = \{X_p\}$ with $X_p = X^{[p+1]}$. Let $(\mathcal{Q}, m, \theta)$ be a simplicial gerbe on X. We define a sheaf of 2-categories $\mathcal{B}$ on M as follows.

For an open set $U \subset M$, the objects of the 2-category $\mathcal{B}_U$ will be gerbes $\mathcal{T}_U$ on $X_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$ which 'trivialise' $\mathcal{Q}$ on $X_U^{[2]}$. Thus an object will consist of a gerbe $\mathcal{T}_U$ on X_U together with a morphism of gerbes $\eta : \pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_U \otimes \mathcal{Q} \to \pi_2^*\mathcal{T}$ plus an invertible natural transformation χ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_U \otimes \pi_1^*\mathcal{Q} \otimes \pi_3^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[rr, "1\otimes m"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^*\eta\otimes 1"'] & & \pi_1^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_U \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\pi_1^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_U \otimes \pi_3^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[dd, "\simeq"'] & & \pi_2^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_U \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[dd, "\pi_2^*\eta"] \\
{} \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\chi"] & & {} \\
\pi_3^*\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_U \otimes \pi_3^*\mathcal{Q} \arrow[dr, "\pi_3^*\eta"'] & & \pi_2^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_U \arrow[dl, "\simeq"'] \\
& \pi_3^*\pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_U. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

χ is required to satisfy the compatibility condition $\delta(\chi) = \theta$ with the natural transformation θ — see Note 6.3 for the notation 'δ'.

Given objects $(\mathcal{T}_1, \eta_1, \chi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{T}_2, \eta_2, \chi_2)$ a 1-arrow $(\phi, \tau_\phi) : (\mathcal{T}_1, \eta_1, \chi_1) \to (\mathcal{T}_2, \eta_2, \chi_2)$ consists of an equivalence of gerbes $\phi : \mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ over X_U and an invertible natural transformation of gerbes τ_ϕ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_1 \otimes \mathcal{Q} \arrow[r, "\eta_1"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^*\phi\otimes\mathrm{id}"'] & \pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_1 \arrow[d, "\pi_2^*\phi"] \\
\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_2 \otimes \mathcal{Q} \arrow[r, "\eta_2"'] \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "\tau_\phi"] & \pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_2.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We require that τ_ϕ satisfies $\delta(\tau_\phi) = \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1}$. A 2-arrow $\theta : (\phi_1, \tau_{\phi_1}) \Rightarrow (\phi_2, \tau_{\phi_2}) : (\mathcal{T}_1, \eta_1, \chi_1) \to (\mathcal{T}_2, \eta_2, \chi_2)$ is an invertible natural transformation θ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{T}_1 \arrow[r, "\phi_1"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathcal{T}_2 \arrow[d, equal] \\
\mathcal{T}_1 \arrow[r, "\phi_2"'] \arrow[ur, Rightarrow, "\theta"] & \mathcal{T}_2.
\end{tikzcd}$$

θ is required to be compatible with τ_{ϕ_1} and τ_{ϕ_2} in that $\delta(\theta) = \tau_{\phi_2}\tau_{\phi_1}^{-1}$. Given another open subset V with $V \subset U \subset M$, there is a natural restriction 2-functor $\rho_{U,V} : \mathcal{B}_U \to \mathcal{B}_V$. It follows that we have defined a presheaf of 2-categories. In actual fact the assignment $U \to \mathcal{B}_U$ is a sheaf of 2-categories as we will now see. Firstly we check that the glueing axioms for objects are satisfied. Let $U \subset M$ be an open set and let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a covering of U by open subsets U_i of M. Let $(\mathcal{T}_i, \eta_i, \chi_i)$ be objects of $\mathcal{B}_{U_i}$ for $i \in I$. Suppose we have 1-arrows $(\phi_{ij}, \tau_{\phi_{ij}}) : (\mathcal{T}_i, \eta_i, \chi_i) \to (\mathcal{T}_j, \eta_j, \chi_j)$, where for convenience of notation we have omitted the restriction 2-functors $\rho_{U_i,U_{ij}}$. Suppose we also have 2-arrows $\theta_{ijk} : (\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}, \tau_{\phi_{jk}} \circ \tau_{\phi_{ij}}) \Rightarrow (\phi_{ik}, \tau_{\phi_{ik}})$ which satisfy the glueing condition

$$\theta_{ijl}(\theta_{jkl} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\phi_{ij}}) = \theta_{ikl}(\mathrm{id}_{\phi_{kl}} \circ \theta_{ijk})$$

of (2) in Definition 13.2 above and where $\mathrm{id}_{\phi_{ij}}$ is the identity 2-arrow at $(\phi_{ij}, \tau_{\phi_{ij}})$, that is $\mathrm{id}_{\phi_{ij}}$ is the identity natural transformation from ϕ_{ij} to itself. $\mathcal{T}_i$, ϕ_{ij} and θ_{ijk} provide 2-descent data for the 2-stack of gerbes on X_U — see [5][pages 32–33] and also Proposition 6.5. Consequently, there is a gerbe $\mathcal{T}$ on X_U which restricts to $\mathcal{T}_i$ on X_{U_i}. In other words there are equivalences of gerbes $\psi_i : \mathcal{T}|_{X_i} \overset{\simeq}{\to} \mathcal{T}_i$ on X_i which are compatible with the glueing 1-arrows ϕ_{ij} up to a coherent natural transformation ξ_{ij} (the coherency condition that the ξ_{ij} satisfy is $\xi_{ik}(\theta_{ijk} \circ 1_{\psi_i}) = \xi_{jk}(1_{\phi_{jk}} \circ \xi_{ij})$). We have to show also that the gerbe morphisms η_i glue together to give a gerbe morphism $\eta : \pi_1^*\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \to \pi_2^*\mathcal{T}$ and similarly that the χ_i glue together to give an invertible natural transformation χ. The gerbe morphisms $\eta_i : \pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{Q}|_{X_i^{[2]}} \to \pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_i$ give rise to morphisms of gerbes $\tilde{\eta}_i : (\pi_1^*\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{Q})|_{X_i^{[2]}} \to (\pi_2^*\mathcal{T})|_{X_i^{[2]}}$ over $X_i^{[2]}$. $\tilde{\eta}_i$ is defined by the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\pi_1^*\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{Q})|_{X_i^{[2]}} \arrow[r, "\tilde{\eta}_i"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & (\pi_2^*\mathcal{T})|_{X_i^{[2]}} \\
\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}|_{X_i^{[2]}} \otimes \mathcal{Q}|_{X_i^{[2]}} \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \\
\pi_1^*(\mathcal{T}_{X_i}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}|_{X_i^{[2]}} \arrow[d, "\pi_1^*\psi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{Q}|_{X_i^{[2]}}}"'] & \pi_2^*(\mathcal{T}|X_i) \arrow[uu] \\
\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_i \otimes \mathcal{Q}|_{X_i^{[2]}} \arrow[r, "\eta_i"] & \pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_i \arrow[u, "\pi_2^*\psi_i^{-1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We can define natural transformations $\tilde{\tau}_{ij} : \tilde{\eta}_i \Rightarrow \tilde{\eta}_j$ by $\tilde{\tau}_{ij} = \pi_2^*\xi_{ij}^{-1}\tau_{\phi_{ij}}\pi_1^*\xi_{ij}$. Because of the coherency conditions on $\tau_{\phi_{ij}}$, ξ_{ij} and θ_{ijk} one can show that $\tilde{\tau}_{jk}\tilde{\tau}_{ij} = \tilde{\tau}_{ik}$. Therefore by Proposition 6.3 there is a morphism of gerbes $\eta : \pi_1^*\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \to \pi_2^*\mathcal{T}$ over $X_U^{[2]}$ and a natural transformation $\tau_i : \eta|_{X_i^{[2]}} \Rightarrow \eta_i$ between the morphisms of gerbes over $X_i^{[2]}$. Similarly one can show that the natural transformations χ_i glue together to give a natural transformation χ which is compatible with ϕ in the required sense.

We also have to check that the glueing conditions for 1-arrows and 2-arrows are satisfied. The glueing laws for 2-arrows are clearly satisfied, to show that the same is true for 1-arrows requires a little more work. So suppose that we have objects $(\mathcal{T}_1, \eta_1, \chi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{T}_2, \eta_2, \chi_2)$ of $\mathcal{B}_U$ and suppose that there are 1-arrows (ϕ_i, τ_{ϕ_i}) from the restriction of $(\mathcal{T}_1, \eta_1, \chi_1)$ to X_{U_i} to the restriction of $(\mathcal{T}_2, \eta_2, \chi_2)$ to X_{U_i} for an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of U and glueing 2-arrows $\theta_{ij} : (\phi_i, \tau_{\phi_i}) \Rightarrow (\phi_j, \tau_{\phi_j})$. Thus we have equivalences of gerbes $\phi_i : \mathcal{T}_1|_{X_i} \to \mathcal{T}_2|_{X_i}$ and natural transformations $\theta_{ij} : \phi_i|_{X_{ij}} \Rightarrow \phi_j|_{X_{ij}}$ which satisfy the glueing condition $\theta_{jk}\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ik}$. Therefore by Proposition 6.3 there is an equivalence of gerbes $\phi : \mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ over X_U and natural transformations $\alpha_i : \phi|_{X_i} \Rightarrow \phi_i$ which are compatible with the θ_{ij}. We can use the natural transformations α_i and τ_{ϕ_i} to construct natural transformations $\tilde{\tau}_i$ between the morphisms of gerbes bounding the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_1 \otimes \mathcal{Q})|_{X_i^{[2]}} \arrow[r, "\eta_1|_{X_i^{[2]}}"] \arrow[d, "(\pi_1^*\phi\otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}})|_{X_i^{[2]}}"'] & (\pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_1)|_{X_i^{[2]}} \arrow[d, "(\pi_2^*\phi)|_{X_i^{[2]}}"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\tilde{\tau}_i"] \\
(\pi_1^*\mathcal{T}_2 \otimes \mathcal{Q})|_{X_i^{[2]}} \arrow[r, "\eta_2|_{X_i^{[2]}}"'] & (\pi_2^*\mathcal{T}_2)|_{X_i^{[2]}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because of the coherency conditions satisfied by α_i and τ_{ϕ_i} with θ_{ij} one can show that $\tilde{\tau}_i|_{X_{ij}^{[2]}} = \tilde{\tau}_j|_{X_{ij}^{[2]}}$ and hence there is a natural transformation $\tau_\phi : \pi_2^*\phi\circ\eta_1 \Rightarrow \eta_2\circ(\pi_1^*\phi\otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}})$ with $\tau_\phi|_{X_i^{[2]}} = \tilde{\tau}_i$. Again one can show that the natural transformation τ_ϕ satisfies the required coherency condition with χ_1 and χ_2. Thus we have shown that the assignment $U \mapsto \mathcal{B}_U$ is a sheaf of 2-categories. We have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 13.1. *The sheaf of 2-categories $\mathcal{B}$ described above is actually a 2-gerbe. Furthermore the four class of the 2-gerbe $\mathcal{B}$ equals the four class of the simplicial gerbe $\mathcal{Q}$.*

Unfortunately I have been unable to give a concrete proof of this. However one can prove a version of this conjecture with gerbes replaced by bundle gerbes and gerbe morphisms replaced by stable morphisms (bundle gerbe morphisms will not do here as they do not glue together properly).

Proposition 13.1. *Let* (Q, Y, X, M) *be a stable bundle 2-gerbe. Then there is a 2-gerbe* $\mathcal{Q}$ *on* M *bound by* $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}_{M}$ *such that the class in* $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ *of* $\mathcal{Q}$ *is equal to the class of* Q *in* $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $U \subset M$ be an open subset of M. We define a bicategory $\mathcal{Q}_U$ as follows. $\mathcal{Q}_U$ has as objects the bundle gerbes (T, Z, X_U) on X_U such that there is a stable morphism $f : \pi_1^{-1}T \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}T$ of bundle gerbes over $X_U^{[2]}$ and a transformation χ between the stable morphisms of bundle gerbes over $X_U^{[3]}$ as pictured in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}T \otimes \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[rr, "1\otimes m"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}f\otimes 1"'] & & \pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}T \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[d, equal] \\
\pi_1^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}T \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[rr, Rightarrow, "\chi"] \arrow[d, equal] & & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}T \otimes \pi_2^{-1}Q \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}f"] \\
\pi_3^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}T \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \arrow[dr, "\pi_3^{-1}f"'] & & \pi_2^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}T \arrow[dl, equal] \\
& \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}T. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore χ is a section of the $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ bundle B on $X_U^{[3]}$ such that

$$L_{\pi_2^{-1}f\circ(1_{\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}T}\otimes m)} = L_{\pi_3^{-1}f\circ(\pi_1^{-1}f\otimes 1_{\pi_3^{-1}Q})} \otimes \pi^{-1}B,$$

where

$$\pi : \pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}Z \times_{X_U^{[3]}} \pi_1^{-1}Y \times_{X_U^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}Y \times_{X_U^{[3]}} \pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}Z \to X_U^{[3]}$$

is the projection. One can show, see Chapter 12, that there is an isomorphism $\delta(B) \simeq A$ of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ bundles over $X_U^{[4]}$. We finally require that the induced section $\delta(\chi)$ of $\delta(B)$ maps to a under this isomorphism. Given two such objects (T, f_T, χ_T) and (S, f_S, χ_S) a 1-arrow $(T, f_T, \chi_T) \to (S, f_S, \chi_S)$ consists of a stable morphism $g : T \to S$ and a transformation α_g between the stable morphisms of bundle gerbes over $X_U^{[2]}$ as pictured in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{-1}T \otimes Q \arrow[r, "f_T"] \arrow[d, "\pi_1^{-1}g\otimes 1"'] & \pi_2^{-1}T \arrow[d, "\pi_2^{-1}g"] \arrow[dl, Rightarrow, "\alpha_g"'] \\
\pi_1^{-1}S \otimes Q \arrow[r, "f_S"'] & \pi_2^{-1}S.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We also require that α_g satisfies the coherency condition $\delta(\alpha_g) = \chi_T \otimes \chi_S^*$ over $X_U^{[3]}$. Finally a 2-arrow $\theta : (g, \alpha_g) \Rightarrow (h, \alpha_h) : (T, f_T, \chi_T) \to (S, f_S, \chi_S)$ is a transformation $\theta : g \Rightarrow h$ between stable morphisms of bundle gerbes over X_U which satisfies the coherency condition $\delta(\theta) = \alpha_g \otimes \alpha_h^*$ over $X_U^{[2]}$. By Proposition 3.9, $\mathcal{Q}_U$ is a bicategory. The proof that $U \mapsto \mathcal{B}_U$ is a sheaf of 2-categories given above carries through to the case of bundle gerbes and stable morphisms (although now $\mathcal{Q}$ is a sheaf of bicategories). Therefore we have only have to show that the sheaf of bicategories $\mathcal{Q}$ is (i) locally non-empty, (ii) any two objects of $\mathcal{Q}_U$ may locally be joined by a 1-arrow, (iii) 1-arrows are weakly invertible and (iv) 2-arrows are invertible.

Proof. We first prove that the sheaf of bicategories $\mathcal{Q}$ is locally non-empty. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M such that there exist local sections $s_i : U_i \to X$ of $\pi : X \to M$. Form the maps $\hat{s}_i : X_i \to X^{[2]}$ sending $x \in X_i$ to $(x, s_i(\pi(x)))$. Let $Q_i = \hat{s}_i^{-1}Q$. So $Q_i = (Q_i, Y_i, X_i)$ is a bundle gerbe on X_i. Now pullback the stable morphism $m : \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ using the map $X_i^{[2]} \to X^{[3]}$, $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, s_i(m))$ where $m = \pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2)$. Let f_i denote this pullback stable morphism $f_i : \pi_1^{-1}Q_i \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q_i$. To construct a transformation $\chi_i : \pi_2^{-1}f_i \circ (1_{\pi_1^{-1}\pi_1^{-1}Q_i} \otimes m) \Rightarrow \pi_3^{-1}f_i \circ (\pi_1^{-1}f_i \otimes 1_{\pi_3^{-1}Q})$ we pullback the transformation a^{-1} using the map $X_i^{[3]} \to X^{[4]}$, $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, s_i(m))$ where again $m = \pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2) = \pi(x_3)$. The coherency condition $\delta(a) = 1$ satisfied by a ensures that $\delta(\chi_i) = a$. Therefore for each $i \in I$ we have constructed objects (Q_i, f_i, χ_i) of $\mathcal{Q}_{U_i}$. Therefore $\mathcal{Q}$ is locally non-empty.

We now show that $\mathcal{Q}$ is locally connected. Let (T, f, χ) and (S, g, ρ) be objects of $\mathcal{Q}_U$. So T and S are bundle gerbes (T, Z, X) and (S, W, X). We need to show that at least locally on U these two objects are connected by a 1-arrow. We have stable morphisms $f : \pi_1^{-1}T \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}T$ and $g : \pi_1^{-1}S \otimes Q \to \pi_2^{-1}S$ corresponding to trivialisations (L_f, ϕ_f) and (L_g, ϕ_g) of the bundle gerbes $(\pi_1^{-1}T \otimes Q)^* \otimes \pi_2^{-1}T$ and $(\pi_1^{-1}S \otimes Q)^* \otimes \pi_2^{-1}S$ respectively. Form the bundle gerbe $(\pi_1^{-1}(S^* \otimes T))^* \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(S^* \otimes T) \otimes (Q^* \otimes Q)$. This is trivialised by the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $L_f \otimes L_g^*$ which lives over $V \times_\pi Y^{[2]}$, where

$$V = \pi_1^{-1}(Z \times_\pi W) \times_\pi \pi_2^{-1}(Z \times_\pi W).$$

Consider the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{L} = L_f \otimes L_g^* \otimes Q^*$ which lives over $V \times_\pi Y^{[2]}$. One can show that there is a section $\hat{\phi}$ of $\delta_{Y^{[2]}}(\hat{L})$ satisfying the coherency condition $\delta_{Y^{[2]}}(\hat{\phi}) = \underline{1}$. $\hat{\phi}$ corresponds to the isomorphism given fibrewise by composition

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_f(z, y_1, z') \otimes L_g^*(w, y_2, w') \otimes Q^*(y_1, y_2) \\
\simeq \;& L_f(z, y_1, z') \otimes L_g^*(w, y_2, w') \otimes Q^*(y_1, y_3) \otimes Q^*(y_3, y_4) \otimes Q^*(y_4, y_2) \\
\simeq \;& L_f(z, y_3, z') \otimes L_g^*(w, y_2, w') \otimes Q(y_2, y_4) \otimes Q^*(y_3, y_4) \\
\simeq \;& L_f(z, y_3, z') \otimes L_g^*(w, y_4, w') \otimes Q^*(y_3, y_4).
\end{aligned}$$

One can show this isomorphism satisfies a coherency condition given a third point (z, z', w, w', y_5, y_6) of $V \times_\pi Y^{[2]}$ lying in the same fibre as the points (z, z', w, w', y_1, y_2) and (z, z', w, w', y_3, y_4). Therefore by Lemma 3.2 $\hat{L}$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle L on V and it is not difficult to show that L trivialises the bundle gerbe $\pi_1^{-1}(S^* \otimes T)^* \otimes \pi_2^{-1}(S^* \otimes T)$ over $V^{[2]}$. Therefore the trivialisation L corresponds to a stable morphism $h : \pi_1^{-1}(S^* \otimes T) \to \pi_2^{-1}(S^* \otimes T)$. One can also show that there is a transformation $\theta : \pi_1^{-1}h \circ \pi_3^{-1}h \Rightarrow \pi_2^{-1}h$ satisfying the coherency condition $\delta(\theta) = 1$. We will omit the proof of this as the calculation gets rather messy. The calculation exploits the fact that the transformations χ and ρ satisfy $\delta(\chi) = a$ and $\delta(\rho) = a$ and so $\delta(\chi \otimes \rho^*) = 1$ in a sense one has to make precise. Therefore we can apply Proposition 6.7 to conclude there is a bundle gerbe (P, X_P, U) on U and a stable morphism $\eta : \pi^{-1}P \to S^* \otimes T$ over X_U corresponding to a trivialisation (L_η, ϕ_η). There is also a transformation $\xi : \phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}\eta \Rightarrow \pi_2^{-1}\eta$ compatible with ψ. By shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that the bundle gerbe P is trivial — say $P \simeq \delta(J)$. Therefore $S^* \otimes T$ is trivial as well. In fact, if one considers the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\pi^{-1}J^* \otimes L_\eta$ on $\pi^{-1}X_P \times_\pi W \times_\pi Z$ then one can show that there is a coherent section $\hat{\phi}$ of $\delta_{\pi^{-1}X_P}(\pi^{-1}J^* \otimes L_\eta)$ and hence $\pi^{-1}J^* \otimes L_\eta$

descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle L_k on $W \times_\pi Z$. One can also show that there is a trivialisation $\phi_k : \delta(L_k) \to S^* \otimes T$. So we have a stable morphism $k : S \to T$. As well, one can show that the transformations ξ give rise to transformations $\alpha_k : \pi_2^{-1}k \circ g \Rightarrow f \circ (\pi_1^{-1}k \otimes 1)$ and which satisfy the compatibility condition with χ and ρ. Therefore $(k, \alpha_k) : (S, g, \rho) \to (T, f, \chi)$ is a 1-arrow. So $\mathcal{Q}$ is locally connected.

Since the bicategory of bundle gerbes and stable morphisms is a bigroupoid (Proposition 3.9), all 1-arrows of $\mathcal{Q}$ are coherently invertible and all 2-arrows of $\mathcal{Q}$ are invertible.

We now have to show that the 2-gerbe $\mathcal{Q}$ is bound by the sheaf of abelian groups $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$. For this, we first need to show that any two 1-arrows can be locally connected by a 2-arrow. So let (S, g, ρ) and (T, f, χ) be objects of $\mathcal{Q}_U$ joined by 1-arrows $(h, \alpha_h), (k, \alpha_k) : (S, g, \rho) \to (T, f, \chi)$. So h and k correspond to trivialisations (L_h, ϕ_h) and (L_k, ϕ_k) respectively of $S^* \otimes T$. Therefore there is a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $\hat{D}$ on X_U such that $L_h \simeq L_k \otimes \pi^{-1}\hat{D}$. It is easy to check that α_h and α_k provide a section $\alpha_k \otimes \alpha_h^*$ of the bundle $\delta(\hat{D})$ over $X_U^{[2]}$. The coherency conditions satisfied by α_h and α_k ensure that $\delta(\alpha_k \otimes \alpha_h^*) = 1$ and hence by Lemma 3.1 the bundle $\hat{D}$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle D on U. By shrinking U if necessary we may assume that D is trivial. Hence there is a section of D which lifts to a section θ of $\hat{D}$ which is compatible with $\alpha_k \otimes \alpha_h^*$. In other words, θ is a 2-arrow $(h, \alpha_h) \Rightarrow (k, \alpha_k)$. In a similar way one can show that for a given 1-arrow (h, α_h) the sheaf of 2-arrows $(h, \alpha_h) \Rightarrow (h, \alpha_h)$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$ and that this isomorphism is natural with respect to composition of 2-arrows. Therefore $\mathcal{Q}$ is a 2-gerbe on M bound by $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M$.

If one calculates the Čech cocycle g_{ijkl} representing the class of $\mathcal{Q}$ in $\check{H}^3(M; \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\times_M)$ then it is not hard to show that g_{ijkl} represents the same class as the four class of the stable bundle 2-gerbe Q. ☐

As a consequence of this Proposition we have the following corollary:

Corollary 13.1. *A stable bundle 2-gerbe* (Q, Y, X, M) *has zero class in* $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ *precisely when it is trivial.*

Proof. We have already seen (Lemma 12.3) that a trivial stable bundle 2-gerbe has zero class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Conversely, given a stable bundle 2-gerbe with zero class in $H^4(M : \mathbb{Z})$, the associated 2-gerbe also has zero class in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and hence has a global object. This global object trivialises the stable bundle 2-gerbe Q. ☐

Let (Q, Y, X, M) be a stable bundle 2-gerbe. We can form a new stable bundle 2-gerbe (Q^*, Y, X, M) from Q which we could think of as the 'dual' of Q by letting the bundle gerbe $(Q^*, Y, X^{[2]})$ be the dual of the bundle gerbe $(Q, Y, X^{[2]})$. So the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle $Q^* \to Y^{[2]}$ is Q with the action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ changed to its inverse. It is clear that the stable morphism $m : \pi_1^{-1}Q \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q \to \pi_2^{-1}Q$ induces a stable morphism $m^* : \pi_1^{-1}Q^* \otimes \pi_3^{-1}Q^* \to \pi_2^{-1}Q^*$ and it is not difficult to show m^* satisfies the requirements of Definition 7.4. It is also not difficult to show that the four class of the stable bundle 2-gerbe Q^* is the negative of the four class of the stable bundle 2-gerbe Q. Similarly, given stable bundle 2-gerbes (Q_1, Y_1, X_1, M) and (Q_2, Y_2, X_2, M) there is a notion of their product. This is the stable bundle 2-gerbe $(Q_1 \otimes Q_2, Y_{12}, X_{12}, M)$ where $X_{12} = X_1 \times_M X_2$, $Y_{12} = (p_1^{[2]})^{-1}Y_1 \times_{X_{12}^{[2]}} (p_2^{[2]})^{-1}Y_2$ (here $p_1 : X_1 \times_M X_2 \to X_1$ and $p_2 : X_1 \times_M X_2 \to X_2$ denote the projections on the first and second factors respectively). $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ is the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle on $Y_{12}^{[2]}$ given by $(q_1^{[2]})^{-1}Q_1 \otimes (q_2^{[2]})^{-1}Q_2$ where now $q_1 : Y_{12}^{[2]} \to Y_1^{[2]}$ and

$q_2 : Y_{12}^{[2]} \to Y_2^{[2]}$ are the projections on the first and second factors respectively. Again it is not difficult to show that $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ is a stable bundle 2-gerbe and that the four class of $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ is the sum of the four classes of the stable bundle 2-gerbes Q_1 and Q_2.

Let us now apply the preceding discussion to the following situation. Suppose we are given stable bundle 2-gerbes (Q_1, Y_1, X_1, M) and (Q_2, Y_2, X_2, M) with four classes $D_4(Q_1)$ and $D_4(Q_2)$ respectively. Suppose moreover that $D_4(Q_1) = D_4(Q_2)$. Form the stable bundle 2-gerbe $Q_1 \otimes Q_2^*$. Then by the above discussion $D_4(Q_1 \otimes Q_2^*) = 0$. Therefore by the corollary above $Q_1 \otimes Q_2^*$ is trivial. Hence there is a bundle gerbe (T, Z, X_{12}) on X_{12} and a stable morphism $f : Q_1 \otimes Q_2^* \to \delta(T)$ satisfying the requirements of Definition 12.2. Therefore f induces a stable bundle 2-gerbe morphism (see Note 12.3) $Q_1 \otimes Q_2^* \otimes Q_2 \to \delta(T) \otimes Q_2$. The stable bundle 2-gerbe $Q_2^* \otimes Q_2$ can then be written as $\delta(T^{'})$ and so we have a stable bundle 2-gerbe morphism $Q_1 \otimes \delta(T^{'}) \to Q_2 \otimes \delta(T)$. It should then be the case that this notion of 'stable 2-isomorphism' for stable bundle 2-gerbes introduces an equivalence relation on the set of all stable bundle 2-gerbes on M. Since every class in $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ appears as the four class of some stable bundle 2-gerbe (for example the stable bundle 2-gerbe arising from the strict bundle 2-gerbe associated to the $BB\mathbb{C}^\times$ bundle with the four class in question — see Section 9.1) this leads us to the following result which we will state as a conjecture since we have not given a definite proof.

Conjecture 13.2. *There is a bijection between the set of all stable 2-isomorphism classes of stable bundle 2-gerbes on M and $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$.*

Bibliography

[1] J. Bénabou. *Introduction to Bicategories.* Number 47 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

[2] A. Borel. *Topics in the Homology Theory of Fibre Bundles.* Number 36 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

[3] R. Bott, H. Shulman, and J. Stasheff. On the de Rham Theory of Certain Classifying Spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 20:43–56, 1976.

[4] R. Bott and L. W. Tu. *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[5] L. Breen. *On the Classification of Two Gerbes and Two Stacks.* Number 225 in Astérisque. Société de Mathématique de France, 1994.

[6] J.-L. Brylinski. Private communication.

[7] J.-L. Brylinski. *Loop Spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization*, volume 107 of *Progress in Mathematics.* Birkhauser, Berlin, 1992.

[8] J.-L. Brylinski and D. McLaughlin. Geometry of Degree Four Characteristic Classes and Line Bundles on Loop Spaces I. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 75(3):603–638, 1994.

[9] J.-L. Brylinski and D. McLaughlin. Geometry of Degree Four Characteristic Classes and of Line Bundles on Loop Spaces II. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 83(1):105–139, 1996.

[10] Jean Luc Brylinski and D. McLaughlin. A Geometric Construction of the First Pontryagin Class. In Louis H. Kauffman and Randy A. Baadhio, editors, *Series on Knots and Everything*, pages 209–220, Singapore, 1992. World Scientific.

[11] A. L. Carey, D. Crowley, and M. K. Murray. Principal Bundles and the Dixmier-Douady Class. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 193(1):171–196, 1997.

[12] A. L. Carey, J. Mickelsson, and M. K. Murray. Index Theory, Gerbes and Hamiltonian Quantization. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 183(3):702–722, 1997.

[13] A. L. Carey and M. K. Murray. Faddeev's Anamoly and Bundle Gerbes. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 37:29–36, 1996.

[14] A. L. Carey, M. K. Murray, and B. L. Wang. Higher Bundle Gerbes and Cohomology Classes in Gauge Theories. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 21:183–197, 1997.

[15] E. Cartan. La topologie des espaces représentatifs des groupes de Lie. In *Oeuvres Complètes, Part 1, Vol. 2*, pages 1307–1330. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1952.

[16] K-T. Chen. Iterated Integrals of Differential Forms and Loop Space Homology. *Ann. of Math.*, 97:217–246, 1973.

[17] J. L. Dupont. *Curvature and Characteristic Classes.* Number 640 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[18] P. Gajer. Geometry of Deligne Cohomology. *Inventiones Math.*, 127:155–207, 1997.

[19] J. Giraud. *Cohomologie non-abélienne.* Number Band 179 in Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971.

[20] R. Gordon, A. J. Power, and R. Street. *Coherence in Tri-categories.* Number 558 in Memoirs of the A.M.S. Wiley Interscience, 1995.

[21] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of Algebraic Geometry.* Wiley (Interscience), New York, 1978.

[22] N. Hitchin. Lectures on Special Lagrangian Submanifolds. In *Proceedings of School on Differential Geometry*, Trieste, 1999. International Centre for Theoretical Physics.

[23] D. Husemoller. *Fibre Bundles.* McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, 1966.

[24] J. Kalkkinen. Gerbes and Massive Type II Configurations. `hep-th/9905018`.

[25] G. M. Kelly and R. Street. *Review of the Elements of 2-categories.* Number 420 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, 1974.

[26] S. Kobayishi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, volume 1. Wiley (Interscience), New York, 1963.

[27] S. MacLane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

[28] S. MacLane and I. Moerdijk. *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[29] J. P. May. *Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology.* Van Nostrand, 1967.

[30] J. P. May. *Geometry of Iterated Loop Spaces.* Number 271 in Lecture Notes in Mahtematics. Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[31] M. Mostow and J. Perchick. Notes on Gel'fand-Fuks Cohomology and Characteristic Classes (Lectures by R. Bott). In *Eleventh Holiday Symposium.* New Mexico State University, December 1973.

[32] M. A. Mostow. The Differentiable Space Structures of Milnor Classifying Spaces, Simplicial Complexes, and Geometric Realisation. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 14(2):255–293, 1979.

[33] M. K. Murray. Bundle Gerbes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 54(2):403–416, 1996.

[34] M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson. Bundle Gerbes: Stable Isomorphism and Local Theory. Preprint.

[35] G. Segal. Classifying Spaces and Spectral Sequences. *Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques Publications Mathématiques*, 34:105–112, 1968.

[36] G. Segal. Cohomology of Topological Groups. In *Symposia Mathematica*, volume IV, pages 377–387, 1970.

[37] G. Segal. Categories and Cohomology Theories. *Topology*, 13:293–312, 1974.

[38] E.H. Spanier. *Algebraic Topology.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1966.

[39] R. Street. Categorical Structures. In M. Hazewinkel, editor, *Handbook of Algebra*, pages 529–577. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1996.